

## Cyclotomic Extensions.

Loosely speaking a cyclotomic extension of a field  $K$  is a field  $K(\zeta)$  where  $\zeta^n = 1$ . Cyclotomic extensions have abelian Galois groups, and cyclotomic extensions are pretty much the only method of constructing such over arbitrary fields.

Recall:  $X^n - 1$  is separable over  $K$   
 $\iff \begin{cases} \text{char } K = 0 \\ \text{or} \\ \text{char } K \nmid n. \end{cases}$

Which we will assume from now on.

Then the set  $\mu_n$  of roots of  $X^n - 1$  has  $n$  elements and is a cyclic group.

Thus  $k(\mu_n)$  is the splitting field of  $X^n - 1$  and thus a Galois extension of  $k$ .

We are going to determine  $\text{Gal}(k(\mu_n)/k)$  in two cases, namely  $k = \mathbb{Q}$  and  $k = \mathbb{F}_p$ .

Now let  $\zeta$  be a primitive nth root of 1 that is, a generator of  $\mu_n$ . Hence

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \mu_n \\ k & \longrightarrow & \zeta^k \end{array}$$

is an isomorphism.

Any automorphism  $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\mu_n)$  is determined by  $\sigma(\zeta) = \zeta^a$ ,  $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$

and this establishes an isomorphism

$$\text{Aut}(\mu_n) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times.$$

Thus we obtain an injective group homomorphism:

$$\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_n)/\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$$
$$\sigma \longmapsto a_\sigma$$

where  $\sigma(\zeta) = \zeta^{a_\sigma}$ .

The following <sup>proof</sup> is due to Dedekind (1857)

Thm IV.26  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_n)/\mathbb{Q}) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$   
is an isomorphism.

Proof: Let  $\zeta$  be a primitive  $n$ 'th root of 1 and  $\zeta^a$ ,  $(a, n) = 1$  another such. Let  $f$  be the min. pol of  $\zeta$  and  $g$  the min. polyn. of  $\zeta^a$  (both over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ). We will show that  $f = g$ .

Since  $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_n) = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta) = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta^a)$  this will imply the existence of  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_n)/\mathbb{Q})$  with  $\sigma(\zeta) = \zeta^a$  and prove the theorem.

The first observation is that we may assume  $a$  to be a prime  $p \nmid n$ .

Indeed: writing  $a = p_1 \cdots p_r$

we have that the successive pairs

$$\zeta, \zeta^{p_1}, \zeta^{p_1 p_2}, \dots, \zeta^{p_1 \cdots p_r} = \zeta^a$$

have same minimal polynomial.

Thus let  $\zeta$  be any primitive <sup>nth</sup> root of 1

and  $p$  a prime not dividing  $n$ .

Assume that  $f = \text{irr}(\zeta, \mathbb{Q})$  and

$g = \text{irr}(\zeta^p, \mathbb{Q})$  are different:

$$f \neq g.$$

Observe that any monic factor of  $X^n - 1$

that is in  $\mathbb{F}_p[X]$  is in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  (Gauss).

Thus  $f, g \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  and since  $f \mid g$

We have  $X^n - 1 = f(x)g(x)h(x)$

with  $h \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ .

Reduce everything modulo  $p$ :

$$X^n - 1 = \bar{f}(x) \bar{g}(x) \bar{h}(x)$$

in  $\mathbb{F}_p[X]$ .

Since  $X^n - 1$  has no repeated roots,

$\bar{f}, \bar{g}$  are coprime. Also  $\bar{f}, \bar{g}$  are

not constant (!) since  $f, g$  are monic

of  $\deg > 0$ .

But:  $g(\rho^p) = 0$ , hence  $g(X^p)$  has

$\rho$  as a root which implies that

$$g \mid x^p - 1 = f(x) k(x), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$$

monic

Thus

$$\bar{g}(x^p) = \bar{f}(x) \bar{k}(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{But: } \bar{g}(x^p) &= \bar{f}(x)^p \\ &= \bar{f}(x) \bar{k}(x) \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts that  $\bar{g}$  and  $\bar{f}$  are relatively prime.  $\square$

Def. IV.27 The  $n$ 'th cyclotomic polynomial

$$\Phi_n(x) := \prod_{\substack{0 \leq a < n \\ (a, n) = 1}} (x - \zeta^a)$$

i.e. the product is over all primitive  $n$ 'th roots of 1.

Corollary IV.28  $\bar{\phi}_n \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  and is irreducible

Proof: By the above thm., and since

$\mathbb{Q}(\mu_n) = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$  we have that

$$\phi_n(x) = \prod_{\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_n)/\mathbb{Q})} (x - \sigma(\zeta))$$

and hence by Galois theory  $\phi_n \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ ;

it is also the minimal polynomial of  $\zeta$ ,

for instance since Gal acts transitively on

its roots. In addition since  $\zeta^n - 1 = 0$ ,

$\phi_n$  divides  $x^n - 1$  and hence by

Gauss's lemma  $\phi_n \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ .  $\square$

Degree of  $\phi_n = \varphi(n) = |(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times|$

By Chinese Remainder, if  $(n, m) = 1,$

$\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}/nm\mathbb{Z}$  as rings (.)

hence  $\varphi(nm) = \varphi(n)\varphi(m).$

And  $\varphi(p^r) = p^r - p^{r-1} = p^{r-1}(p-1).$

Here is the list of the first ten cyclotomic polynomials:

- $\phi_1(T) = T - 1$
- $\phi_2(T) = T + 1$
- $\phi_3(T) = T^2 + T + 1$
- $\phi_4(T) = T^2 + 1$
- $\phi_5(T) = T^4 + T^3 + T^2 + T + 1$
- $\phi_6(T) = T^2 - T + 1$
- $\phi_7(T) = T^6 + T^5 + T^4 + T^3 + T^2 + T + 1$
- $\phi_8(T) = T^4 + 1$
- $\phi_9(T) = T^6 + T^3 + 1$
- $\phi_{10}(T) = T^4 - T^3 + T^2 - T + 1$

etc..., one has to wait for  $\phi_{1.5}$  to

see a coefficient not 1, 0, -1.



Here are a few properties:

Prop. IV. 29

$$(1) T^n - 1 = \prod_{d|n} \phi_d(T)$$

$$(2) \phi_n(T) = T^{\varphi(n)} \phi_n\left(\frac{1}{T}\right), n \geq 2$$

$$(3) \phi_1(T) = T^{0} + \dots + 1$$

$$(4) \phi_{pr}(T) = \phi_p(T^{r-1})$$

$$(5) n \text{ odd: } \phi_{2n}(T) = \phi_n(-T)$$

$$(6) \phi_{p_1^{r_1} \dots p_k^{r_k}}(T) = \phi_{p_1 \dots p_k}(T^{p_1^{r_1-1} \dots p_k^{r_k-1}})$$

Proof:

$$(1) \text{ Any } T^n - 1 = \prod_{S \in \mu_n} (T - S)$$

But now any  $S \in \mu_n$  is a primitive

d'th root for some  $d | n$ . Group the factors according to primitive  $d$ 'th roots to obtain (1).

(2)  ~~$\phi_n(x) = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq k < n \\ \gcd(k, n) = 1}} (x - \zeta_n^k)$~~

~~$= \prod_{d|n} \phi_d(x)$~~

~~$\phi_n(x)$~~

~~$\phi_n(x) = \prod_{d|n} \phi_d(x)$~~

First one shows that for  $n \geq 2$ :  $\phi_n(0) = 1$ .

This is done by recurrence on  $n$ , observing

$$\prod_{\substack{d|n \\ d > 1}} \phi_d(0) = 1.$$

$d > 1$

and observing  $\phi_r(x) = x^{r-1} + \dots + 1$

(Eisenstein).



Now we turn to the case  $\mathbb{F}_p$  for  $p \nmid n$ .

Theorem IV.30

Assume  $p \nmid n$ . Then the image of  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_p(\mu_n)/\mathbb{F}_p) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$  is the (cyclic) subgroup generated by  $p \pmod n$ .

Proof: Recall: pick  $\langle \zeta \rangle = \mu_n$ , then  $\sigma \mapsto a$  is given by  $\sigma(\zeta) = \zeta^a$ .

Now  $\mathbb{F}_p(\mu_n)$  is a finite extension of  $\mathbb{F}_p$  and hence  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_p(\mu_n)/\mathbb{F}_p) = \langle \varphi_p \rangle$

where  $\varphi_p(\zeta) = \zeta^p$  is the Frobenius automorphism. Now just observe that

$$\varphi_p \mapsto p. \quad \square$$

Corollary IV.31 : if  $p \nmid n$ :

$$[\mathbb{F}_p / \mathbb{F}_p : \mathbb{F}_p] = \text{Order of } p \text{ in } \left(\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{n\mathbb{Z}}\right)^\times$$

Concerning the structure of  $\left(\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{n\mathbb{Z}}\right)^\times$

We have

Thm. IV.32 :

$$(1) \text{ } p \text{ odd} \quad \left(\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p^r\mathbb{Z}}\right)^\times \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p\mathbb{Z}} \times \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{(p-1)\mathbb{Z}}$$

and hence is cyclic since  $(p-1, p^{r-1}) = 1$ .

$$(2) \left(\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2^r\mathbb{Z}}\right)^\times \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2\mathbb{Z}} \times \left(\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2^{r-2}\mathbb{Z}}\right) \text{ and}$$

as a result is only cyclic if  $r = 1, 2$ .

Example IV.33 =

$$n = 7 = \left( \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{7\mathbb{Z}} \right)^{\times} = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\} \cong \mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}.$$

and the possible orders are

$$1, 2, 3, 6$$

They are all realized:

①  $p = 2: 2^3 = 8 \equiv 1$ , order 3.

So  $[(\mathbb{F}_2 / M_7) : \mathbb{F}_2] = 3$ .

And  $\phi_7(T) = (T^2 + T + 1)(T^3 + T^2 + 1)$   
in  $\mathbb{F}_2[T]$ .

②  $p = 3: \text{Order } 6 \text{ and}$

$\phi_7 \text{ mod } 3 \text{ is irreducible.}$

(3)  $p = 13$  : order 2,  $13 = 169 = 24 \cdot 7 + 1$ .

$$\phi_7(T) = (T^2 + 3T + 1) / (T^2 + 5T + 1) (T^2 + 6T + 1)$$

(4)  $p = 29$  : order 1.

$$\phi_7(T) = (T-7)(T-16)(T-20)(T-23)(T-24)(T-25)$$

Thm IV. 34 : If  $p \nmid n$  then the  
(monic) irreducible factors of  $\phi_n$  in  $\mathbb{F}_p[X]$   
are all distinct and have the same  
degree, equal to the order of  $p \pmod n$  in  $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ .

Proof: Since  $p \nmid n$ ,  $T^n - 1$  has no  
repeated roots and hence so does  $\phi_n(T)$ .

This implies the first statement.

The second will follow from the foll.

claim: let  $\alpha$  be a root of  $\phi_n$  in ~~some~~  
~~extension~~  $\mathbb{F}_p(\mu_n)$ . Then  $\alpha$  is a primitive  
nth root of 1:

Otherwise  $\alpha^m = 1$  with  $m < n$   
and  $m/n$ . Since

$$T^m - 1 = \prod_{d|m} \phi_d(T)$$

we get  $\exists d|m, \phi_d(\alpha) = 0$ .

But now  $T^n - 1 = \phi_n(T) \prod_{\substack{d|n \\ d < n}} \phi_d(T)$

Which makes  $\alpha$  a multiple root of

$T^n - 1$ . Contradiction.

Since

~~That~~  $\mathbb{F}_p(\mu_n) = \mathbb{F}_p(\alpha)$  for any

$\alpha$  prim. nth root, we have the conclusion.

□



A rather deep thm. of Dirichlet states that given  $(a, n) = 1$  there are infinitely many primes  $p \equiv a \pmod{n}$ . We can use the properties of cyclotomic polynomials to prove

Thm IV. 35 :  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$  : There are  $\infty$  many primes  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ .

Proof:

It suffices to show that  $\forall n \exists p \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ .

Indeed: if  $p_1, \dots, p_t$  are primes  $\equiv 1 \pmod{n}$

let  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{(n \cdot p_1 \dots p_t)}$ . Then  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$

and clearly  $p \neq p_1, \dots, p_t$ . Since

$$p \equiv 1 \pmod{p_i}$$

First, when  $n \geq 3$ ,  $|\Phi_n(n)| > 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Indeed: } |\Phi_n(n)| &= \prod_{d|n, d < n} (n-d) \\ &\geq \prod_{d|n, d < n} (n-1) \geq \prod_{d|n, d < n} 2 > 1. \end{aligned}$$

Now we show that for every prime

$$p \mid \Phi_n(n), \quad p \equiv 1 \pmod{n}.$$

First: since  $\Phi_n(0) = \pm 1$  (in fact, here)

$p \nmid n$ . Next, since  $\Phi_n(x) \mid x^n - 1$

$p \mid \Phi_n(n) \mid n^n - 1$  hence

$$n^n = 1 \text{ in } \mathbb{F}_p^{\times}.$$

Let  $t = \text{order of } n \text{ in } \mathbb{F}_p^{\times}$ .

Clearly  $t \mid n$ , and we claim  $t = n$ .

This implies  $n \mid p-1$  and we are done.

By contradiction:  $t < n$ ,  $t \mid n$ .

Then 
$$\frac{x^n - 1}{x^t - 1} = \phi_n(x) \cdot \prod_d \phi_d(x)$$

Where  $d$  runs through all proper divisors of  $n$  that do not divide  $t$ .

Hence 
$$\phi_n(n) \mid \frac{n^n - 1}{n^t - 1}$$

But 
$$\frac{n^n - 1}{n^t - 1} = \frac{(n^t)^{n/t} - 1}{n^t - 1} = \underbrace{(n^t)^{\frac{n}{t} - 1} + \dots + 1}_{\frac{n}{t} \text{ terms}}$$

$$\equiv \underbrace{1 + \dots + 1}_{\frac{n}{t}} \pmod{p}$$

$\Rightarrow p \mid \frac{n}{t} \Rightarrow p \mid n$ . Contr.  $\square$