# Solution 17 

## Extensions of Finite Fields, Splitting Fields

1. Let $L_{1} / K_{1}$ and $L_{2} / K_{2}$ be two field extensions and $\varphi: L_{1} \longrightarrow L_{2}$ an isomorphism of fields such that $\varphi\left(K_{1}\right)=K_{2}$. Prove that $\left[L_{1}: K_{1}\right]=\left[L_{2}: K_{2}\right]$.
Solution: Let $\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$ be a $K_{1}$-basis of $L_{1}$, so that $n=\left[L_{1}: K_{1}\right]$. Since $\varphi$ is injective, $\left(\varphi\left(\alpha_{1}\right), \ldots, \varphi\left(\alpha_{n}\right)\right)$ consists of $n$ different elements of $L_{2}$. We want to prove that $\left(\varphi\left(\alpha_{1}\right), \ldots, \varphi\left(\alpha_{n}\right)\right)$ is a $K_{2}$-basis of $L_{2}$, so that $\left[L_{2}: K_{2}\right]=n=\left[L_{1}: K_{1}\right]$.
For every $\beta \in L_{2}$, there exists a unique $\alpha \in L_{1}$ such that $\varphi(\alpha)=\beta$. Writing $\alpha=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \alpha_{i}$ for $\lambda_{i} \in K_{1}$ and using the fact that $\varphi$ is a group homomorphism, we obtain

$$
\beta=\varphi(\alpha)=\varphi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \alpha_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varphi\left(\lambda_{i}\right) \varphi\left(\alpha_{i}\right)
$$

and since $\varphi\left(\lambda_{i}\right) \in K_{2}$ by assumption and $\beta$ is arbitrary, we have proven that $\left(\varphi\left(\alpha_{1}\right), \ldots, \varphi\left(\alpha_{n}\right)\right)$ is a generating set.
Now let $\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{n} \in K_{2}$ and assume that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{i} \varphi\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=0$. Since $K_{2}=\varphi\left(K_{1}\right)$, there exist $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n} \in K_{1}$ such that $\varphi\left(\lambda_{i}\right)=\mu_{i}$ for all $i$. Hence, using the fact that $\varphi$ is a field homomorphism, we obtain that

$$
0=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{i} \varphi\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varphi\left(\lambda_{i}\right) \varphi\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=\varphi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \alpha_{i}\right),
$$

which by injectivity of $\varphi$ implies that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \alpha_{i}=0$. As $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ are linear independent, we obtain that $\lambda_{i}=0$ for each $i$, so that $\mu_{i}=\varphi\left(\lambda_{i}\right)=0$ for each $i$. By arbitrarity of $\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{n}$, we can conclude that the elements $\varphi\left(\alpha_{1}\right), \ldots, \varphi\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \in L_{2}$ are $K_{2}$-linear independent.
2. Let $p$ be a prime number. By factoring $X^{p-1}-1$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$, show that

$$
(p-1)!+1 \equiv 0(\bmod p)
$$

Solution: For $p=2$, the above equality is immediately checked. Therefore, we assume from now on that $p$ is an odd prime number.

By Fermat's little theorem, each $x \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{\times}$satisfies $x^{p-1}=1$, that is, $x$ is a root of $X^{p-1}-1 \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[X]$, so that $X-x \mid X^{p-1}-1$. Since $\operatorname{card}\left(\mathbb{F}_{p}^{\times}\right)=p-1=\operatorname{deg}\left(X^{p-1}-1\right)$ and $\mathbb{F}_{p}[X]$ is a UFD, we conclude that

$$
X^{p-1}-1=\prod_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{\times}}(X-x) .
$$

Evaluating at $0 \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$, we obtain that $0=1+(-1)^{p-1} \prod_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{\times}} x=1+\prod_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{\times}} x$. Since the representatives of the $x \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{\times}$can be taken to be $1,2, \ldots, p-1$, we obtain the desired equality.
3. Let $f=X^{3}-X+1 \in \mathbb{F}_{3}[X]$.
(a) Show that $f$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_{3}[X]$.
(b) Show that if $E$ is a splitting field and $\rho \in E$ is a root, then so are $\rho+1$ and $\rho-1$.
(c) Construct a splitting field of $f$ and write out its multiplication table.
(d) Write down explicitly the action of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(E / \mathbb{F}_{3}\right)$ on the elements of $E$.

## Solution:

(a) Since $f$ has degree 3 , it is reducible if and only if it has a linear factor in $\mathbb{F}_{3}[X]$, which is equivalent to having a root in $\mathbb{F}_{3}$. But $f(0)=f(1)=f(-1)=1$ so that $f$ has no root in $\mathbb{F}_{3}$. Hence $f$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_{3}[X]$.
(b) Recall that $x \mapsto x^{3}$ is a field automorphism of $K$ whenever $K$ has characteristic 3 , which is the identity on $\mathbb{F}_{3}$. In particular, it respects the sum. Then for $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{F}_{3}$ we compute

$$
f(\rho+\varepsilon)=(\rho+\varepsilon)^{3}-(\rho+\varepsilon)+1=\rho^{3}+\varepsilon^{3}-\rho-\varepsilon+1=f(\rho)+\varepsilon-\varepsilon=0 .
$$

This implies that $\rho+1$ and $\rho-1$ are roots of $f$ as well.
(c) By b), any field extension $E$ containing a root $\rho$ of $f$ contains three distinct roots of $f$, hence it contains all roots of $f$ and it is the splitting field of $f$. Such an extension can be obtained as

$$
E=\mathbb{F}_{3}[X] /(f) \cong\left\{a+b \rho+c \rho^{2}: a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_{3}\right\}
$$

where the sum on the set on the right is done by adding the coefficients of $1, \rho, \rho^{2}$, while the product is induced by the bijection $\mathbb{F}_{3}[X] /(f) \cong\{a+$ $\left.b \rho+c \rho^{2}: a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_{3}\right\}$ sending $X \mapsto \rho$. That means that we can multiply two expressions on the right as if they were polynomial in $\rho$, and then simplify the obtained expression to one of "degree two" by using the condition $\rho^{3}+\rho+1=$

0 , i.e., $\rho^{3}=-\rho-1$, which gives $\rho^{4}=\rho(-\rho-1)=-\rho^{2}-\rho$ as well. Hence the multiplication rule of $\left\{a+b \rho+c \rho^{2}: a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_{3}\right\}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(a+b \rho+c \rho^{2}\right)\left(a^{\prime}+b^{\prime} \rho+c^{\prime} \rho^{2}\right) \\
& =a a^{\prime}+\left(a b^{\prime}+a^{\prime} b\right) \rho+\left(a c^{\prime}+b b^{\prime}+c a^{\prime}\right) \rho^{2}+\left(b c^{\prime}+c b^{\prime}\right) \rho^{3}+c c^{\prime} \rho^{4} \\
& =a a^{\prime}-b c^{\prime}-c b^{\prime}+\left(a b^{\prime}+a^{\prime} b-b c^{\prime}-c b^{\prime}-c c^{\prime}\right) \rho+\left(a c^{\prime}+b b^{\prime}+c a^{\prime}-c c^{\prime}\right) \rho^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

(d) Using the same setup as in c), we write an element $x \in E$ as $x=a+b \rho+c \rho^{2}$ for $a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_{3}$. Since $E$ is the splitting field of $X^{3}-X+1 \in \mathbb{F}_{3}[X]$, the $\operatorname{group} \operatorname{Gal}\left(E / \mathbb{F}_{3}\right)$ has $\left|E: \mathbb{F}_{3}\right|=3$ elements. The image of $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(E / \mathbb{F}_{3}\right)$ is uniquely determined by $\sigma(\rho)$, which must be one of the three roots of $X^{3}-X+1 \in \mathbb{F}_{3}[X]$, which are $\rho, \rho+1, \rho-1$. This means that, aside the identity, there are two automorphisms $\rho_{+}$and $\rho_{-}$in $\operatorname{Gal}\left(E / \mathbb{F}_{3}\right)$ sending $\rho \mapsto \rho+1$ and $\rho \mapsto \rho-1$ respectively.
For a general element $a+b \rho+c \rho^{2} \in E$, we can hence write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho_{+}\left(a+b \rho+c \rho^{2}\right)=a+b(\rho+1)+c(\rho+1)^{2}=a+b+c+(b-c) \rho+c \rho^{2} \\
& \rho_{-}\left(a+b \rho+c \rho^{2}\right)=a+b(\rho-1)+c(\rho-1)^{2}=a-b+c+(b+c) \rho+c \rho^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

4. Let $p$ be a prime number.
(a) Show that an element of order $p$ in $S_{p}$ is a $p$-cycle.
(b) Show that a transposition and a $p$-cycle generated $S_{p}$.

## Solution:

(a) Each $\sigma \in S_{p}$ can be decomposed into a product of disjoint cycles $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}$ of lengths $\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{n}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell_{i}=p$. Since disjoint cycles commute, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we get

$$
\sigma^{k}=\sigma_{1}^{k} \cdots \sigma_{n}^{k}
$$

The permutations $\sigma_{1}^{k}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}^{k}$ have disjoint support (that is, the elements permuted by $\sigma_{i}$ and not permuted by $\sigma_{j}$ for $i \neq j$ ), so that $\sigma^{k}=\mathrm{id}$ if and only if $\sigma_{i}^{k}=\mathrm{id}$ for each $i=1, \ldots, n$. As the order of $\sigma_{i}$ (which, we recall, is a $\ell_{i}$ cycle) is $\ell_{i}$, we see that $\sigma^{k}=\mathrm{id}$ if and only if $\ell_{i} \mid k$ for each $i$. This means that $p=\operatorname{ord}(\sigma)=\operatorname{lcm}\left(\ell_{1}, \ldots, \ell_{n}\right)$. As $\ell_{i} \leqslant p$ for every $i$, we see that $\ell_{i} \in\{1, p\}$ for each $i$ and that one of the $\ell_{i}$ is $p$. As $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \ell_{i}=p$, the only possibility is $n=1$ with $\ell_{1}=p$, which is equivalent to saying that $\sigma$ is a $p$-cycle.
(b) See Assignment 10, Exercise 7(b).

