Probability and Statistics

Solution sheet 1

Solution 1.1

- (a) $\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}^2$. The first coordinate will represent the green dice and the second one the red dice.
- (b) $W_1 := \{(x, y) \in \Omega : x \le 2, y \le 2\} = \{1, 2\}^2.$
 - $W_2 := \{(x,y) \in \Omega : x = y\} = \{(1,1), (2,2), (3,3), (4,4), (5,5), (6,6)\}.$
 - $W_3 := \{(x, y) \in \Omega : x = 3y\} = \{(3, 1), (6, 2)\}.$
 - $W_4 := \{(x,y) \in \Omega : x+1 = y\} = \{(1,2), (2,3), (3,4), (4,5), (5,6)\}.$
 - $W_5 := \{(x, y) \in \Omega : x \ge y\}.$
- (c) We can define the equivalence relation \sim as

$$(x,y) \sim (z,w) \Leftrightarrow \{x,y\} = \{z,w\},\$$

then $\tilde{\Omega} = \Omega / \sim = \{\{x, y\} : x, y \in \Omega\}$. The W_i that can still be defined on $\tilde{\Omega}$ are the sets W such that if $(x, y) \in W$ then $(y, x) \in W$. This is the case for W_1 , and W_2 only.

Solution 1.2

(a) 1.
$$A = \bigcap_{j=1}^{n} A_{j}^{c}$$
.
2. $B = \bigcup_{\substack{j=1 \ |C| = |D|}}^{n} B_{j}$.
3. $C = \bigcup_{\substack{C,D \subseteq \{1,\dots,n\}\\|C| = |D|}} \left(\bigcap_{j \in C} A_{j} \cap \bigcap_{j \in D^{c}} B_{j}^{c} \right)$.
(b) 1. $\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{n} (A_{j})^{c} \right)^{c} = \bigcap_{j=1}^{n} A_{j}$: All the numbers drawn are larger than $2k$.

2. $\bigcup_{j=1} (A_j \cap A_{j+1} \cap B_{j+2})$: There exists one point in time, where in two consecutive draws

we got a number larger than 2k and in the following draw we got an even number.

3. $\bigcup_{n=1}^{N} \bigcap_{j=n=1}^{N} A_j \cap B_j$: There is a point in time after which, we only draw numbers that are even *and* bigger than 2k.

Solution 1.3

(a) It's clear that

$$\mathbb{1}_{\bigcup_{j=1}^{n} A_{j}} = 1 - \mathbb{1}_{\bigcap_{j=1}^{n} A_{j}^{c}}$$
$$= 1 - \prod_{j=1}^{n} \mathbb{1}_{A_{j}^{c}}$$
$$= 1 - \prod_{j=1}^{n} (1 - \mathbb{1}_{A_{j}}),$$

Then we can just use the product formula to obtain

$$\prod_{j=1}^{n} \left(1 - \mathbb{1}_{A_j} \right) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{1 \le i_1 < \dots < i_k \le n} \prod_{j=1}^{k} - \mathbb{1}_{A_{i_j}}.$$

Taking expectations on both sides

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\bigcup_{j=1}^{n} A_{j}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbbm{1}_{\bigcup_{j=1}^{n} A_{j}}\right]$$
$$= -\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sum_{1 \le i_{1} < \dots < i_{k} \le n} \prod_{j=1}^{k} -\mathbbm{1}_{A_{i_{j}}}\right]$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^{k+1} \sum_{1 \le i_{1} < \dots < i_{k} \le n} \mathbb{P}\left[A_{i_{1}} \cap \dots \cap A_{i_{k}}\right]$$

(b) We want to use induction. For n = 1 both formulas are true. For the inductive step suppose that the formulas are true for an integer n and we will prove that the formulas hold for n + 1. We first compute

$$\mathbb{P}\left[\bigcup_{j=1}^{n+1} A_j\right] = \mathbb{P}\left[\bigcup_{j=1}^n A_j \cup A_{n+1}\right]$$
$$= \mathbb{P}\left[\bigcup_{j=1}^n A_j\right] + \mathbb{P}[A_{n+1}] - \mathbb{P}\left[\bigcup_{j=1}^n A_j \cap A_{n+1}\right]$$
$$\leq \mathbb{P}\left[\bigcup_{j=1}^n A_j\right] + \mathbb{P}[A_{n+1}] - \mathbb{P}[A_n \cap A_{n+1}]$$
$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{P}[A_j] - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{P}[A_j \cap A_{j+1}] + \mathbb{P}[A_{n+1}] - \mathbb{P}[A_n \cap A_{n+1}]$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \mathbb{P}[A_j] - \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{P}[A_j \cap A_{j+1}],$$

where we used the additivity property of a probability measure for the first inequality and

 \mathbb{P}

the induction hypothesis for the second. For the second formula we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \prod_{j=1}^{n+1} A_j \\ \bigcup_{j=1}^n A_j \end{bmatrix} = \mathbb{P} \begin{bmatrix} \prod_{j=1}^n A_j \cup A_{n+1} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \mathbb{P} \begin{bmatrix} \prod_{j=1}^n A_j \end{bmatrix} + \mathbb{P} [A_{n+1}] - \mathbb{P} \begin{bmatrix} \prod_{j=1}^n (A_j \cap A_{n+1}) \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\geq \mathbb{P} \begin{bmatrix} \prod_{j=1}^n A_j \end{bmatrix} + \mathbb{P} [A_{n+1}] - \sum_{j=1}^n P(A_j \cap A_{n+1})$$
$$\geq \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{P} [A_j] - \sum_{i,j=1,i < j}^n \mathbb{P} [A_j \cap A_i] + \mathbb{P} [A_{n+1}] - \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbb{P} (A_j \cap A_{n+1})$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} \mathbb{P} [A_j] - \sum_{i,j=1,i < j}^{n+1} \mathbb{P} [A_j \cap A_i],$$

where we used again the additivity property of a probability measure for the first inequality and the induction hypothesis for the second.

Solution 1.4 We prove the statement by induction. For n = 2, we use the definition of conditional probabilities: if $\mathbb{P}(B_1) > 0$, then

$$\mathbb{P}(B_2|B_1) = \mathbb{P}(B_2 \cap B_1) / \mathbb{P}(B_1),$$

therefore $\mathbb{P}(B_2 \cap B_1) = \mathbb{P}(B_1)\mathbb{P}(B_2|B_1)$.

Assume the statement true for n-1 and apply the previous step to $B_2 = A_n$ and $B_1 = A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \cdots \cap A_{n-1}$, we get

$$\mathbb{P}(A_1 \cap \cdots \cap A_n) = \mathbb{P}(A_1 \cap \cdots \cap A_{n-1})\mathbb{P}(A_n | A_1 \cap \cdots \cap A_{n-1}).$$

Since $A_1 \cap \cdots \cap A_{n-2}$ contains $A_1 \cap \cdots \cap A_{n-1}$, the former event has positive probability, we can inductively deduce the multiplication rule as announced.