

Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus

Exercise sheet 1

Please hand in your solutions during exercise class or in your assistant's box in HG E65 no latter than March 3rd

Exercise 1.1 Let W be a Brownian motion on $[0, 1]$ and define the *Brownian bridge* $X = (X_t)_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$ by $X_t = W_t - tW_1$.

- (a) Show that X is a Gaussian process and calculate its mean and covariance functions. Sketch a typical path of X .
- (b) Show that X does **not** have independent increments.

Solution 1.1

- (a) We need to show that for any $n \geq 1$ and any $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \dots \leq t_n \leq 1$ the random vector $(X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_n})$ is a Gaussian vector. However any linear combination of X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_n} is also a linear combination of $W_{t_1}, \dots, W_{t_n}, W_1$ which is Gaussian because the Brownian motion is a Gaussian process.

For any $t \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$E[X_t] = E[W_t - tW_1] = 0.$$

For any $0 \leq s, t \leq 1$, using that $\text{Cov}(W_t, W_s) = t \wedge s$ (see Prop 1.15), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(X_t, X_s) &= \text{Cov}(W_t - tW_1, W_s - sW_1) \\ &= \text{Cov}(W_t, W_s) - s \text{Cov}(W_t, W_1) - t \text{Cov}(W_1, W_s) + ts \text{Cov}(W_1, W_1) \\ &= t \wedge s - ts. \end{aligned}$$

- (b) Take any $t \in (0, 1)$. We show that the increment $X_1 - X_t, X_t - X_0$ are correlated. In the same way as above we obtain that

$$\text{Cov}(X_1 - X_t, X_t - X_0) = \text{Cov}(-W_t + tW_1, W_t - tW_1) = t(t-1) \neq 0.$$

Exercise 1.2 Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space and assume that $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$, $Y = (Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$ are two stochastic processes on (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) . Two processes Z and Z' on (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) are said to be *modifications* of each other if $P(Z_t = Z'_t) = 1 \forall t \geq 0$, while Z and Z' are *indistinguishable* if $P(Z_t = Z'_t \forall t \geq 0) = 1$.

- (a) Assume that X and Y are both right-continuous or both left-continuous. Show that the processes are modifications of each other if and only if they are indistinguishable.

Remark: A stochastic process is said to *have the path property* \mathcal{P} (\mathcal{P} can be continuity, right-continuity, differentiability, ...) if the property \mathcal{P} holds for P -almost every path.

- (b) Give an example showing that one of the implications of part **a)** does not hold for general X , Y .

Solution 1.2

- (a) We just show that the fact that X is a modification of Y implies the indistinguishability, since the converse is obvious. Without loss of generality, we assume that X and Y are right-continuous.

For $t \geq 0$, we define the null set $N_t := \{\omega : X_t(\omega) \neq Y_t(\omega)\}$. We consider $N := \cup_{t \in \mathbb{Q}_+} N_t$, which remains a null set as a countable union of null sets. Finally, we introduce the null set $A_Z := \{\omega : Z(\omega) \text{ not right-continuous}\}$ for $Z = X, Y$ and we define $M := A_X \cup A_Y \cup N$, which is still a null set.

It suffices to check that, for all $\omega \in M^c$, $X_t(\omega) = Y_t(\omega) \forall t \geq 0$. By definition of M we clearly have that, for $\omega \in M^c$, $X_t(\omega) = Y_t(\omega) \forall t \in \mathbb{Q}_+$. Now, take any $t \geq 0$ and let (t_n) be a sequence in \mathbb{Q}_+ with $t_n \downarrow t$. The right-continuity of the paths $X(\omega)$ and $Y(\omega)$ then implies $X_t(\omega) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_{t_n}(\omega) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Y_{t_n}(\omega) = Y_t(\omega)$.

- (b) Take $\Omega = [0, \infty)$, $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{B}([0, \infty))$ the Borel σ -algebra, and P a probability measure with $P(\{\omega\}) = 0 \forall \omega \in \Omega$ (for instance, the exponential distribution).

$$\text{Set } X \equiv 0 \text{ and } Y_t(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1, & t = \omega, \\ 0, & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Then, $P[X_t = Y_t] = 1 \forall t \geq 0$, since single points have no mass, but $\{X_t = Y_t \forall t \geq 0\} = \emptyset$. Note that all sample paths of X are continuous, while all sample paths of Y are discontinuous at $t = \omega$.

Exercise 1.3 Let $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a stochastic process defined on a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t), P)$. The aim of this exercise is to show the following chain of implications:

X optional $\Rightarrow X$ progressively measurable $\Rightarrow X$ product-measurable and adapted.

- (a) Show that every progressively measurable process is product-measurable and adapted.
- (b) Assume that X is adapted and *every* path of X is right-continuous. Show that X is progressively measurable.
Remark: The same conclusion holds true if every path of X is left-continuous.
Hint: For fixed $t \geq 0$, consider an approximating sequence of processes Y^n on $\Omega \times [0, t]$ given by $Y_0^n = X_0$ and $Y_u^n = \sum_{k=0}^{2^n-1} 1_{(tk2^{-n}, t(k+1)2^{-n}]}(u) X_{t(k+1)2^{-n}}$ for $u \in (0, t]$.
- (c) Recall that the optional σ -field \mathcal{O} is generated by the class $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ of all adapted processes whose paths are all RCLL. Show that \mathcal{O} is also generated by the subclass \mathcal{M} of all *bounded* processes in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$.
- (d) Use the monotone class theorem to show that every optional process is progressively measurable.

Solution 1.3

- (a) Let X be progressively measurable. Then $X|_{\Omega \times [0, t]}$ is $\mathcal{F}_t \otimes \mathcal{B}[0, t]$ -measurable for every $t \geq 0$. For any $t \geq 0$, we see that $X_t = X \circ i_t$, where $i_t : (\Omega, \mathcal{F}_t) \rightarrow (\Omega \times [0, t], \mathcal{F}_t \otimes \mathcal{B}[0, t])$, $\omega \mapsto (\omega, t)$ is measurable. Therefore, X_t is \mathcal{F}_t -measurable for every $t \geq 0$. Moreover, the processes X^n defined by $X_u^n := X|_{\Omega \times [0, n]} 1_{[0, n]}(u)$, $u \geq 0$, are $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}[0, \infty)$ -measurable. Since $X^n \rightarrow X$ pointwise (in (t, ω)) as $n \rightarrow \infty$, also X is $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{B}[0, \infty)$ -measurable.
- (b) Fix a $t \geq 0$ and consider the sequence of processes Y^n on $\Omega \times [0, t]$ given by $Y_0^n = X_0$ and $Y_u^n = \sum_{k=1}^{2^n-1} 1_{(tk2^{-n}, t(k+1)2^{-n}]}(u) X_{t(k+1)2^{-n}}$ for $u \in (0, t]$. By construction, each Y^n is $\mathcal{F}_t \otimes \mathcal{B}[0, t]$ -measurable. Since $Y^n \rightarrow X|_{\Omega \times [0, t]}$ pointwise as $n \rightarrow \infty$ due to right-continuity, the result follows.
- (c) Let X be adapted, with all paths being RCLL. Consider the processes $X^n := (X \wedge n) \vee (-n)$. Clearly, each X^n is bounded and RCLL. Thus, each X^n is $\sigma(\mathcal{M})$ -measurable. As the pointwise limit of the X^n , also X is $\sigma(\mathcal{M})$ -measurable. It follows that $\mathcal{O} \subset \sigma(\mathcal{M})$. The reverse inclusion is trivial.
- (d) If a process X is optional, then $X^n := X 1_{\{|X| \leq n\}}$ is also optional and of course $X^n \rightarrow X$; so if each X^n is progressively measurable, then so is X , and hence we can assume without loss of generality that X is bounded.

Let \mathcal{H} denote the real vector space of bounded, progressively measurable processes. By part b), \mathcal{H} contains \mathcal{M} . Clearly, \mathcal{H} contains the constant process 1 and is closed under monotone bounded convergence. Also, \mathcal{M} is closed under multiplication. The monotone class theorem yields that every bounded $\sigma(\mathcal{M})$ -measurable process is contained in \mathcal{H} . Due to c), we conclude that every bounded optional process is progressively measurable.