

Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus

Exercise sheet 4

Please hand in your solutions during exercise class or in your assistant's box in HG E65 no latter than March 24th

Exercise 4.1 Let W be a Brownian motion on $[0, \infty)$ and $S_0 > 0$, $\sigma > 0$, $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ constants. The stochastic process $S = (S_t)_{t \geq 0}$ given by

$$S_t = S_0 \exp(\sigma W_t + (\mu - \sigma^2/2)t)$$

is called *geometric Brownian motion*.

(a) Prove that for $\mu \neq \sigma^2/2$, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} S_t = +\infty \quad P\text{-a.s.} \quad \text{or} \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} S_t = 0 \quad P\text{-a.s.}$$

When do the respective cases arise?

(b) Discuss the behaviour of S_t as $t \rightarrow \infty$ in the case $\mu = \sigma^2/2$.

(c) For $\mu = 0$, show that S is a martingale, but not uniformly integrable.

Solution 4.1

(a) Noting that a.s. $W_t/t \rightarrow 0$ we have that:

- If $(\mu - \sigma^2/2) > 0$ a.s. $\sigma W_t + (\mu - \sigma^2/2)t \rightarrow \infty$, thus $\lim S_t = \infty$.
- If $(\mu - \sigma^2/2) < 0$ a.s. $\sigma W_t + (\mu - \sigma^2/2)t \rightarrow -\infty$, thus $\lim S_t = 0$.

(b) The fact that a.s. $\liminf B_t = -\infty$ and $\limsup B_t = \infty$ implies that when $\mu = \sigma^2/2$, $\liminf S_t = 0$ and $\limsup S_t = \infty$.

(c) Note that if $s \leq t$ we have that $W_t - W_s$ is independent of \mathcal{F}_s and follows the law of a centred normal with variance $t - s$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[S_t | \mathcal{F}_s] &= S_0 \mathbb{E}[\exp(\sigma(W_t - W_s) + \sigma W_s - \sigma^2 t/2) | \mathcal{F}_s] \\ &= S_0 \exp(\sigma W_s - \sigma^2 s/2) \mathbb{E}[\exp(\sigma(W_t - W_s) - \sigma^2(t - s)/2)] = S_s. \end{aligned}$$

Thus S_t is a martingale that converges to 0 a.s.. To see it is not uniformly integrable suppose it is. We would have that $S_0 = \mathbb{E}[\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} S_t] = 0$.

Exercise 4.2 Consider two stopping times σ, τ on a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t), P)$. The goal of this exercise is to show that

$$E[E[\cdot | \mathcal{F}_\sigma] | \mathcal{F}_\tau] = E[\cdot | \mathcal{F}_{\sigma \wedge \tau}] = E[E[\cdot | \mathcal{F}_\tau] | \mathcal{F}_\sigma] \quad P\text{-a.s.}, \quad (\star)$$

i.e., the operators $E[\cdot | \mathcal{F}_\tau]$ and $E[\cdot | \mathcal{F}_\sigma]$ commute and their composition equals $E[\cdot | \mathcal{F}_{\sigma \wedge \tau}]$.

Remark: For arbitrary sub- σ -algebras $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}' \subset \mathcal{F}$, the conditional expectations $E[E[\cdot | \mathcal{G}] | \mathcal{G}']$, $E[E[\cdot | \mathcal{G}'] | \mathcal{G}]$ and $E[\cdot | \mathcal{G} \cap \mathcal{G}']$ do **not** coincide in general.

- (a) Show that $\sigma \wedge \tau$ is a stopping time and $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma \wedge \tau} = \mathcal{F}_\tau \cap \mathcal{F}_\sigma$.
- (b) Show that if $A \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$, then $A \cap \{\sigma \leq \tau\}$ and $A \cap \{\sigma < \tau\}$ belong to \mathcal{F}_τ .
Hint: For the second assertion, use that $a < b$ if and only if there is a rational q such that $a \leq q < b$.
- (c) Conclude that $\{\sigma \leq \tau\}, \{\sigma < \tau\} \in \mathcal{F}_{\sigma \wedge \tau}$.
- (d) Show that $E[Y | \mathcal{F}_\tau]$ is $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma \wedge \tau}$ -measurable if Y is an integrable \mathcal{F}_σ -measurable random variable. Conclude (\star) .
- (e) Let $M = (M_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a right-continuous martingale. Show that the stopped process $M^\tau = (M_{\tau \wedge t})_{t \geq 0}$ is again a martingale.
Hint: Use (\star) and the stopping theorem.

Solution 4.2

- (a) Note that $\{\sigma \wedge \tau \leq t\} = \{\sigma \leq t\} \cup \{\tau \leq t\}$, because each of this element belongs to \mathcal{F}_t we have that $\sigma \wedge \tau$ is a stopping time.
For the second part let us first prove that $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma \wedge \tau} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_\sigma \cap \mathcal{F}_\tau$. Take $A \in \mathcal{F}_{\sigma \wedge \tau}$, by definition $(A \cap \{\sigma \wedge \tau \leq t\}) \in \mathcal{F}_t$ from where it follows that,

$$A \cap \{\sigma \leq t\} = (A \cap \{\sigma \wedge \tau \leq t\}) \cap (\{\sigma \leq t\}) \in \mathcal{F}_t.$$

Thus $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma \wedge \tau} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_\sigma$, doing the same thing for τ we have that $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma \wedge \tau} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_\sigma \cap \mathcal{F}_\tau$. For the other inclusion, let $A \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma \cap \mathcal{F}_\tau$. We see that

$$A \cap \{\sigma \wedge \tau \leq t\} = A \cap (\{\sigma \leq t\} \cup \{\tau \leq t\}) = (A \cap \{\sigma \leq t\}) \cup (A \cap \{\tau \leq t\}) \in \mathcal{F}_t$$

and thus, $A \in \mathcal{F}_{\sigma \wedge \tau}$.

- (b) Take $A \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$. To see that $A \cap \{\sigma < \tau\} \in \mathcal{F}_\tau$ note that

$$(A \cap \{\sigma < \tau\}) \cap \{\tau \leq t\} = (A \cap \{\tau \leq t\}) \cap \bigcup_{q \in [0, t] \cap \mathbb{Q}} \{\sigma \leq q\} \cap \{q < \tau\} \in \mathcal{F}_t.$$

To see that $A \cap \{\sigma \leq \tau\} \in \mathcal{F}_\tau$, note that thanks to (a) for any $t \geq 0$, $\sigma \wedge t$ is a stopping time and $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma \wedge t} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_t$, thus $\sigma \wedge t$ and $\tau \wedge t$ are \mathcal{F}_t measurable. Then for any $t \geq 0$,

$$A \cap \{\sigma \leq \tau\} \cap \{\tau \leq t\} = (A \cap \{\tau \leq t\}) \cap \{\sigma \wedge t \leq \tau \wedge t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t.$$

This is because the first and the second term belong to \mathcal{F}_t .

- (c) Let $t \geq 0$. By taking $A = \Omega$ in part (b) we know that $\{\sigma \leq \tau\}, \{\sigma < \tau\} \in \mathcal{F}_\tau$ and $\{\tau \leq \sigma\}, \{\tau < \sigma\} \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$. Thus by taking complements $\{\sigma \leq \tau\}, \{\sigma < \tau\} \in \mathcal{F}_\tau \cap \mathcal{F}_\sigma = \mathcal{F}_{\sigma \wedge \tau}$.

- (d) Let us first prove that if Y is \mathcal{F}_σ -measurable then $Y\mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma \leq \tau\}}$ is $\mathcal{F}_{\tau \wedge \sigma}$ measurable. Due to the fact that $\{\sigma \leq \tau\} \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$ we have that $Y\mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma \leq \tau\}}$ is \mathcal{F}_σ measurable. Now let us prove that it is \mathcal{F}_τ -measurable. To do this note that thanks to problem (b) this is true for any step function, i.e., if $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}$ then taking $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \mathbf{1}_{A_i}$ we have that $Y\mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma \leq \tau\}}$ is \mathcal{F}_τ -measurable. For a general Y , we take $Y^{(n)}$ a sequence of step function converging to Y , we have that $Y^{(n)}\mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma \leq \tau\}} \rightarrow Y\mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma \leq \tau\}}$. Thus, $Y\mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma \leq \tau\}}$ is \mathcal{F}_τ -measurable. We also have that if Z is \mathcal{F}_τ -measurable, $Z\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau < \sigma\}}$ is $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma \wedge \tau}$ -measurable.

Now, let us see that

$$\mathbb{E}[Y \mid \mathcal{F}_\tau] = \mathbb{E}[Y\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau < \sigma\}} \mid \mathcal{F}_\tau] + \mathbb{E}[Y\mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma \leq \tau\}} \mid \mathcal{F}_\tau] = \mathbb{E}[Y \mid \mathcal{F}_\tau]\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau < \sigma\}} + Y\mathbf{1}_{\{\sigma \leq \tau\}}$$

each term is $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma \wedge \tau}$ -measurable from where we conclude that $\mathbb{E}[Y \mid \mathcal{F}_\tau]$ is $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma \wedge \tau}$ -measurable.

To see (\star) it is just enough to note that for any integrable r.v. Z , $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[Z \mid \mathcal{F}_\sigma] \mid \mathcal{F}_\tau]$ is $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma \wedge \tau}$ -measurable, thus it is equal to $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[Z \mid \mathcal{F}_\sigma] \mid \mathcal{F}_{\tau \wedge \sigma}] = \mathbb{E}[Z \mid \mathcal{F}_{\sigma \wedge \tau}]$, thanks to the tower property.

- (e) Take $s \geq t$ and note that $\tau \wedge s \leq \tau \wedge t$ are bounded stopping times, then thanks to the Stopping theorem

$$\mathbb{E}[M_{\tau \wedge t} \mid \mathcal{F}_s] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[M_t \mid \mathcal{F}_{\tau \wedge t}] \mid \mathcal{F}_s] = \mathbb{E}[M_t \mid \mathcal{F}_{s \wedge \tau}] = M_{\tau \wedge s}$$

where in the second equality we used (\star) .

Exercise 4.3 Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}_t)$ a filtered probability space. Take N a continuous positive martingale (i.e. almost surely $N_t \geq 0$) with $N_0 = 1$ and $N_t \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

- (a) Show one example of a martingale satisfying this conditions.
- (b) Show that for all $a > 1$, $T_a := \inf\{t \geq 0, N_t = a\}$ is a stopping time.
- (c) Use the stopping time theorem to show that $\sup_{t \geq 0} N_t \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} 1/U$, where U is a uniform random variable.
Hint: It may be useful to note that $\{\sup_{t \geq 0} N_t \geq a\} = \{T_a < \infty\}$.

Solution 4.3

- (a) Take $\mu = 0$ and use S_t of Exercise 4.1.
- (b) Note that because N is continuous and $N_0 = 1$

$$\{T_a \leq t\} = \bigcap_{\epsilon \in (0,1) \cap \mathbb{Q}} \bigcup_{q \in (0,t) \cap \mathbb{Q}} \{N_q \geq a - \epsilon\} \in \mathcal{F}_t.$$

- (c) We have that $N_{t \wedge T_a}$ is a bounded martingale (see exercise 2. (e)) thus bounded convergence theorem

$$1 = \mathbb{E}[N_t \wedge T_a] \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}[N_{T_a} \mathbf{1}_{\{T_a < \infty\}}] = a\mathbb{P}(T_a < \infty).$$

Thus, thanks to the hint $\mathbb{P}(\sup N_t \geq a) = 1/a$, i.e., $\mathbb{P}(1/\sup N_t \leq a) = a$ which is exactly the law of a uniform random variable.