## **Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus**

## Exercise sheet 7

Please hand in your solutions during exercise class or in your assistant's box in HG E65 no latter than April 14th

**Exercise 7.1** Let  $(B_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$  be a Brownian motion on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  and define the process  $(M_t)_{t \geq 0}$  by  $M_t = \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} B_s$ . Consider the random variable

$$D = \sup_{0 \le t' \le 1} (\sup_{0 \le t \le t'} B_t - B_{t'}).$$
(1)

That is, D characterizes the maximal possible "downfall" in trajectories of the Brownian motion on the time interval [0, 1].

- (a) Show that  $D \stackrel{law}{=} \sup_{0 \le t \le 1} |B_t|$ . *Hint:* You can use a stronger version of Ex 5-1, which is known as "Lévy's Theorem": The processes M - B and |B| have the saw law under P.
- (b) Show that  $\sup_{0 \le t \le 1} |B_t| \stackrel{law}{=} 1/\sqrt{\bar{T}_1}$ , where  $\bar{T}_1 = \inf\{t > 0 : |B_t| \ge 1\}$ . *Hint:* Rewrite  $P[\sup_{0 \le t \le 1} |B_t| \le x]$  using the self-similarity property of Brownian motion.
- (c) Conclude that  $E[D] = \sqrt{\pi/2}$ . *Hint:* For  $\sigma > 0$  use the identity

$$\sqrt{2/\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{-x^2/(2\sigma^2)} dx = \sigma,$$

to rewrite the expectation and apply the Laplace transform of  $\overline{T}_1$  to conclude the result. Note that you can use the same techniques as Exercise 6.3. to show that

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\exp\left(-\frac{\lambda^2}{2}\bar{T}_1\right)\right] = \frac{1}{\cosh(\lambda)}$$

**Exercise 7.2** For a function  $f:[0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ , we define its variation  $|f|:[0,\infty) \to [0,\infty]$  by

$$f|(t) := \sup\bigg\{\sum_{t_i \in \Pi} \big|f(t_{i+1}) - f(t_i)\big| \bigg| \Pi \text{ is a partition of } [0,t]\bigg\}.$$

We say that f has finite variation (FV) if  $|f|(t) < \infty$  for all  $t \ge 0$ .

(a) Show that f has finite variation if and only if there exist non decreasing functions f<sub>1</sub>, f<sub>2</sub>:
[0,∞) → ℝ such that f = f<sub>1</sub> - f<sub>2</sub>. *Hint:* Show that |f| is non decreasing.

Recall that if f is a non decreasing function, then there exists a unique positive measure  $\mu_f$  on  $(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_+))$  such that  $\mu_f([0,t]) = f(t) - f(0)$  for all  $t \ge 0$ . Therefore, if f is non decreasing, we call a function  $g: [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  f-integrable in the Lebesgue–Stieltjes sense if  $\int_0^\infty |g(s)| \mu_f(ds) < \infty$ . In that case, we define  $\int g(s) df(s) := \int g(s) \mu_f(ds)$  and call it the Lebesgue–Stieltjes integral.

(b) Let f be of finite variation and continuous and  $g: [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\int_0^\infty |g(s)| \, \mu_{|f|}(ds) < \infty$ . Show that there are non decreasing, continuous functions  $f_1, f_2: [0, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f = f_1 - f_2$  and both

$$\int_0^\infty |g(s)|\,\mu_{f_1}(ds)<\infty,\quad \int_0^\infty |g(s)|\,\mu_{f_2}(ds)<\infty.$$

Moreover, show that

$$\int g(s) \, df(s) := \int g(s) \, \mu_{f_1}(ds) - \int g(s) \, \mu_{f_2}(ds)$$

is well-defined.

**Remark:** If f is of finite variation and continuous, we call g f-integrable in the Lebesgue-Stieltjes sense if g satisfies  $\int_0^\infty |g(s)| \mu_{|f|}(ds) < \infty$ .