

Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus

Exercise sheet 9

Please hand in your solutions during exercise class or in your assistant's box in HG E65 no later than May 5th

Exercise 9.1 For $M \in \mathcal{M}_{0,loc}^c$, we denote by $L_{loc}^2(M)$ the space of all predictable processes for which there is a sequence of stopping times $\tau_n \uparrow \infty$ P -a.s. such that $E\left[\int_0^{\tau_n} H_s^2 d\langle M \rangle_s\right] < \infty$ for any n .

(a) Let H be predictable. Show that

$$H \in L_{loc}^2(M) \iff \int_0^t H_s^2 d\langle M \rangle_s < \infty \quad P\text{-a.s. for each } t \geq 0.$$

(b) Show that for any continuous semimartingale X , any adapted RCLL process H and any sequence of partitions $(\Pi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $[0, \infty)$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\Pi_n| = 0$, we have

$$\int_0^t H_{s-} dX_s = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{t_i \leq t, t_i \in \Pi_n} H_{t_i} (X_{t_{i+1} \wedge t} - X_{t_i}) \quad \text{in probability.}$$

(c) Find an adapted process with RCLL paths which is not locally bounded.

Solution 9.1

(a) (\Rightarrow) Let $H \in L_{loc}^2(M)$ and let $(\tau_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the corresponding localizing sequence. By definition, we have for each n that

$$P\left[\int_0^{\tau_n} H_s^2 d\langle M \rangle_s < \infty\right] = 1$$

Fix any $t \geq 0$. We see that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & P\left[\int_0^t H_s^2 d\langle M \rangle_s = \infty\right] \\ &= P\left[\left\{\int_0^t H_s^2 d\langle M \rangle_s = \infty\right\} \cap \{\tau_n \leq t\}\right] + P\left[\left\{\int_0^t H_s^2 d\langle M \rangle_s = \infty\right\} \cap \{\tau_n > t\}\right] \\ &\leq P[\tau_n \leq t] + P\left[\left\{\int_0^{\tau_n} H_s^2 d\langle M \rangle_s = \infty\right\} \cap \{\tau_n > t\}\right] \\ &\leq P[\tau_n \leq t] + P\left[\int_0^{\tau_n} H_s^2 d\langle M \rangle_s = \infty\right] \\ &= P[\tau_n \leq t]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we conclude that

$$P\left[\int_0^t H_s^2 d\langle M \rangle_s = \infty\right] \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P[\tau_n \leq t] = 0.$$

(\Leftarrow) Let H be predictable such that

$$\int_0^t H_s^2 d\langle M \rangle_s < \infty \quad P\text{-a.s. for each } t \geq 0. \tag{1}$$

Consider the sequence of stopping times $(\tau_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ defined by

$$\tau_n := \inf \left\{ t \geq 0 \mid \int_0^t H_s^2 d\langle M \rangle_s > n \right\}.$$

Due to (1), we obtain that $\tau_n \uparrow \infty$ P -a.s. Moreover, due to the definition of τ_n and the (left)-continuity of $\int H d\langle M \rangle$, we have for each n

$$E \left[\int_0^{\tau_n} H_s^2 d\langle M \rangle_s \right] \leq n < \infty.$$

(b) For each n , set

$$H^n := \sum_{t_i \in \Pi_n} H_{t_i} \mathbf{1}_{(t_i, t_{i+1}]}$$

By definition, we obtain that for each $t \geq 0$,

$$(H^n \cdot X)_t := \int_0^t H_s^n dX_s = \sum_{t_i \leq t, t_i \in \Pi_n} H_{t_i} (X_{t_{i+1} \wedge t} - X_{t_i}).$$

Set $\mathcal{H}^n := H^n - H_-$. Being left-continuous and adapted, each H^n and H_- are locally bounded and thus each \mathcal{H}^n is locally bounded, too. Moreover, $\mathcal{H}^n \rightarrow 0$ pointwise on $\Omega \times [0, \infty)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|\mathcal{H}^n| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $n \geq N$. Then, we have

$$|\mathcal{H}^n| \leq \varepsilon + \max_{n=1, \dots, N} |\mathcal{H}^n|,$$

which is locally bounded. Thus we can apply Theorem 4.2.19 of the script to derive that for each $t \geq 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |(\mathcal{H}^n \cdot X)_s| = 0 \quad \text{in probability.}$$

Thus, we obtain the result directly from the definition of \mathcal{H}^n .

(c) Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be probability space such that there is a random variable $U \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ which is \mathcal{F} -measurable. Fix any $u > 0$ and consider the process

$$X_t := U \mathbf{1}_{[u, \infty)}(t), \quad t \geq 0.$$

Moreover, we let \mathbb{F} be the filtration generated by the process X . By construction, X is right-continuous and \mathbb{F} -adapted. Assume that X is locally bounded. Then, as $|\Delta X_u| \leq 2 \sup_{t \in [0, u]} |X_t|$, we conclude that $|\Delta X_u| \leq C$ P -a.s. for some constant C by the locally boundedness of X . But by definition of X , this means that $|U| \leq C$ P -a.s. which gives a contradiction as $U \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$.

Exercise 9.2 Consider a filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, P)$ satisfying the usual conditions and let $\sigma \leq \tau$ be two stopping times. Moreover, let Z be a bounded, \mathcal{F}_σ -measurable random variable. The goal of this exercise is to compute the stochastic integral process $\int Z 1_{] \sigma, \tau]} dM$ for an integrator $M \in \mathcal{M}_{0, \text{loc}}^c$.

- (a) For a (uniformly integrable) right-continuous martingale $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$, show that the process $Z(X^\tau - X^\sigma)$ is again a (uniformly integrable) right-continuous martingale.

Hint: Use Lemma 4.1.19 from the lecture notes to show the assertion first for Z of the form $Z = 1_A$ for some $A \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$. Then extend the result to general Z .

- (b) Let $M, N \in \mathcal{M}_{0, \text{loc}}^c$. Show that

$$\langle Z(M^\tau - M^\sigma), N \rangle = Z \langle M^\tau - M^\sigma, N \rangle = Z(\langle M, N \rangle^\tau - \langle M, N \rangle^\sigma).$$

- (c) Let $M \in \mathcal{M}_{0, \text{loc}}^c$ and set $H := Z 1_{] \sigma, \tau]}$. Show that $H \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(M)$ and

$$\int H dM = Z(M^\tau - M^\sigma).$$

Conclude that if M is a (uniformly integrable) martingale, then the stochastic integral $\int H dM$ is also a (uniformly integrable) martingale.

Remark: The last statement is **not** true for arbitrary bounded $H \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(M)$.

Solution 9.2

- (a) Let $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a uniformly integrable, right-continuous martingale. Set $Y := Z(X^\tau - X^\sigma)$ and fix a stopping time ρ . We will show that $E[|Y_\rho|] < \infty$ and $E[Y_\rho] = 0$. The assertion then follows from Lemma 4.1.19 in the lecture notes.

Since X is uniformly integrable, the stopping theorem yields $E[X_\infty | \mathcal{F}_\gamma] = X_\gamma$ for any stopping time γ . In particular, the family $\{X_\gamma : \gamma \text{ a stopping time}\}$ is uniformly integrable (i.e., X is of class (D)), hence bounded in L^1 . It follows that

$$E[|Y_\rho|] \leq C(E[|X_{\tau \wedge \rho}|] + E[|X_{\sigma \wedge \rho}|]) < \infty$$

where $C > 0$ is any constant bounding Z .

Next, we show that $E[Y_\rho] = 0$. By a monotone class argument or simply measure-theoretic induction, we may assume that $Z = 1_A$ for some $A \in \mathcal{F}_\sigma$. Then $\tau_A := \tau 1_A + \infty 1_{A^c}$ and $\sigma_A := \sigma 1_A + \infty 1_{A^c}$ are stopping times and

$$E[Y_\rho] = E[1_A(X_{\rho \wedge \tau} - X_{\rho \wedge \sigma})] = E[X_{\rho \wedge \tau_A} - X_{\rho \wedge \sigma_A}] = 0,$$

where we use the stopping theorem in the last equality.

If X is not uniformly integrable, then assuming that ρ is bounded, almost the same proof yields that Y is a martingale (but not uniformly integrable in general), c.f. Remark 4.(1.20) in the lecture notes.

- (b) The equality $B := Z \langle M^\tau - M^\sigma, N \rangle = Z(\langle M, N \rangle^\tau - \langle M, N \rangle^\sigma)$ follows from bilinearity of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and from the fact that for any stopping time τ ,

$$\langle M^\tau, N \rangle = \langle M, N^\tau \rangle = \langle M, N \rangle^\tau.$$

Next, we note that $Y := Z(M^\tau - M^\sigma) \in \mathcal{M}_{0, \text{loc}}^c$ by part **a)** and localisation. So $\langle Y, N \rangle$ is well-defined. We also note that the process B is continuous and of finite variation. Moreover, since $B = 0$ on $]0, \sigma]$, we can write $B = (Z 1_{] \sigma, \infty]})(\langle M, N \rangle^\tau - \langle M, N \rangle^\sigma)$ to see that B is also adapted.

Setting $X := (M^\tau - M^\sigma)N - \langle M^\tau - M^\sigma, N \rangle \in \mathcal{M}_{0,\text{loc}}^c$ and noting that $X^\sigma = 0$, we have

$$YN - B = Z((M^\tau - M^\sigma)N - \langle M^\tau - M^\sigma, N \rangle) = Z(X - X^\sigma).$$

By part **a**) and localisation, $Z(X - X^\sigma) \in \mathcal{M}_{0,\text{loc}}^c$. Thus, as $\langle Y, N \rangle$ is the unique process \tilde{B} of cFV_0 such that $MN - \tilde{B} \in \mathcal{M}_{0,\text{loc}}^c$, we conclude by uniqueness that $\langle Y, N \rangle = B$.

- (c) Clearly, $H := Z1_{\llbracket \sigma, \tau \rrbracket}$ is left-continuous. Moreover for $t \geq 0$, the second factor in $H_t = (Z1_{\{\sigma < t\}})1_{\{t \leq \tau\}}$ is \mathcal{F}_t -measurable since τ is a stopping time, while the \mathcal{F}_t -measurability of the first factor follows from **4-3 c**) via measure-theoretic induction. Thus, H is adapted and hence predictable. Since H is also bounded, it follows that $H \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(M)$.

Now for any $N \in \mathcal{M}_{0,\text{loc}}^c$, we have

$$\langle Z(M^\tau - M^\sigma), N \rangle \stackrel{\text{b)}}{=} Z(\langle M, N \rangle^\tau - \langle M, N \rangle^\sigma) = \int Z1_{\llbracket \sigma, \tau \rrbracket} d\langle M, N \rangle = \int H d\langle M, N \rangle.$$

Thus by the defining property of the stochastic integral, $\int H dM = Z(M^\tau - M^\sigma)$.

Finally, from part **a**), we see that if M is a (uniformly integrable) martingale, then $\int H dM = Z(M^\tau - M^\sigma)$ is a (uniformly integrable) martingale.

Exercise 9.3 Let $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a Brownian motion. Fix any $0 < T < \infty$ and let $f \in L^2([0, T])$ be a deterministic function. For any $0 \leq a < b \leq T$ we set

$$\mathcal{J}_{a,b} := \int_a^b f(s) dB_s.$$

Moreover, for any $t \in [0, T]$, we denote $\mathcal{J}_t := \mathcal{J}_{0,t}$.

- (a) Show that the process $\mathcal{J} := (\mathcal{J}_{a,b})_{0 \leq a \leq b \leq T}$ is a centered Gaussian process and calculate its covariance function.
- (b) Show that the process $(\mathcal{J}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ has the same law as the process $Y := (Y_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ defined by

$$Y_t := B \int_0^t f^2(s) ds.$$

Solution 9.3

- (a) Note that $\cdot B$ is an isometry of \mathcal{L}^2 . Thus,

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{J}_{a,b} \mathcal{J}_{a',b'}] = \mathbf{1}_{\{a \vee a' \leq b \wedge b'\}} \int_{a \vee a'}^{b \wedge b'} f(s)^2 ds$$

Noting that \mathcal{J}_t is a local martingale such that $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{J}_t^2] < \infty$ we have that $\mathbb{E}[\mathcal{J}_{a,b}] = 0$.

To see that it is a Gaussian process we start by taking f to be a continuous function. Using Exercise 9.1 (b) we know that if f is continuous, and if $(\Pi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a partition with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\Pi_n| = 0$ we have that

$$\mathcal{J}_{a,b} = \int_a^b f(s) dB_s = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{t_i \leq t, t_i \in \Pi_n} f(t_i) (B_{t_{i+1}} - B_{t_i}).$$

Thus, thanks to Problem 3.3 if f is continuous $\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i \mathcal{J}_{a_i, b_i}$ a normal random variable. Due to the fact that $\cdot B$ is an isometry we have that if $f_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}^2} f$, then $\mathcal{J}_{a,b}(f_n) \rightarrow \mathcal{J}_{a,b}(f)$ in $\mathcal{L}^2(\Omega)$. Thus, due to the fact that continuous function are dense in $\mathcal{L}^2([0, T])$, if $f \in \mathcal{L}^2([0, T])$, there exists f_n continuous functions such that $f_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}^2} f$ thus $\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i \mathcal{J}_{a_i, b_i}(f_n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}^2} \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i \mathcal{J}_{a_i, b_i}(f)$. Again by Problem 3.3, $\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i \mathcal{J}_{a_i, b_i}(f)$ is a normal random variable. Thus, $\mathcal{J}_{a,b}$ is a Gaussian process.

- (b) By the previous exercise, we know that for any $t \geq 0$, $E[\mathcal{J}_t] = 0$. For $g(t) := \int_0^t f^2(s) ds$, it is clear that the process $Y := (Y_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$ defined by

$$Y_t := B_{g(t)}$$

is a Gaussian process with $E[Y_t] = 0$ for any $t \geq 0$ as Brownian motion is. Moreover, for any $s, t \in [0, T]$, due to the Covariance property of Brownian motion, we obtain that

$$\text{Cov}(B_{g(s)}, B_{g(t)}) = \min(g(s), g(t)) = \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{J}_s \mathcal{J}_t].$$

We conclude that the processes \mathcal{J} and Y are both Gaussian processes with same expectations and Covariance functions, thus have the same finite dimensional marginal distributions.