

Introduction to Mathematical Finance

Solution sheet 2

Solution 2.1

- (a) $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ a Cauchy sequence in $L^p(\mu)$: $\exists n_1 : \forall n, m \geq n_1, \|f_n - f_m\|_p \leq 2^{-1}$. Then $\exists n_2 \geq n_1 : \forall n, m \geq n_2, \|f_n - f_m\|_p \leq 2^{-2}$ and so on $\exists n_k \geq n_{k-1} : \forall n, m \geq n_k, \|f_n - f_m\|_p \leq 2^{-k}$.
- (b) $\|g_k\|_p = \|\sum_{i=1}^k |f_{n_{i+1}} - f_{n_i}|\|_p \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \|f_{n_{i+1}} - f_{n_i}\|_p \leq \sum_{i=1}^k 2^{-i} < 1$.
 g_k is a increasing positive sequence, such that $\sup_k \|g_k\|_p < \infty$ and $g_k \rightarrow g$. From the monotone convergence theorem: $g_k \rightarrow g$ in L^p and so $\|g\|_p = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|g_k\|_p < 1$.
- (c) As $\|g\|_p < 1, \|g\|_p < \infty$. So f_{n_k} is absolute convergent and $f_{n_k}(x) \rightarrow f(x)$.
- (d) $|f| < |f_{n_1}| + |g|$ so $\|f\|_p < \| |f_{n_1}| + |g| \|_p < \|f_{n_1}\|_p + \|g\|_p < \infty$. So $f(x) \in L^p$.
 $\|f - f_n\|_p^p = \int |f - f_n|^p d\mu = \int \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} |f_{n_i} - f_n|^p d\mu \stackrel{*}{\leq} \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \int |f_{n_i} - f_n|^p d\mu \leq \liminf_{i \rightarrow \infty} \|f_{n_i} - f_n\|_p^p$. As $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence, $\|f_{n_i} - f_n\|_p^p \rightarrow 0$, ie $f_n \rightarrow f$ in $L^p(\mu)$.
For all $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ Cauchy sequences in $L^p(\mu)$, the limite f is in $L^p(\mu)$, so $L^p(\mu)$ is complete.

Solution 2.2

- (a) The strategy of Corrine should be the following. She should buy a Google stock today at the price S_0 €, and for that she must borrow S_0 €. In one year, she should reimburse the bank of S_0 € plus the interest rates, ie $S_0 e^r$ €.

	today	in one year
cash	S_0	$-S_0 e^r$
Google stocks	$-S_0$	S_1
portfolio value	0	0

This can be written in fowlling way:

$$V_0 = (S_0) \times 1 + (-1) \times S_0$$

$$V_1 = (-S_0) \times e^r + (1) \times S_1$$

So the stratedy of today : $(\alpha_0, \beta_0) = (-S_0, 1)$ and in one year : $(\alpha_1, \beta_1) = (S_0, 1)$.

- (b) From the **no arbitrage principle**, $V_1 = 0$. That means that $S_1 = e^r S_0$. This is the forward price of the Google stock.

Solution 2.3

- (a) A self-financing strategy $\varphi \hat{=} (V_0, \vartheta)$ satisfies

$$V_1(\varphi) = e^{-r} f(\tilde{S}_1) \quad P\text{-a.s.} \quad (1)$$

if and only if we have

$$V_0 + \vartheta_1 \Delta S_1 = e^{-r} f(\tilde{S}_1) \quad P\text{-a.s. .}$$

Since S only takes two values, $\Delta S_1 = S_1 - S_0 = e^{-r} S_0 x - S_0 = S_0 e^{-r} (x - e^r)$, for $x = \{u, d\}$. Hence we obtain the following linear equation.

$$V_0 + \vartheta_1 S_0 e^{-r} (u - e^r) = e^{-r} f(S_0 u) \quad (2)$$

$$V_0 + \vartheta_1 S_0 e^{-r} (d - e^r) = e^{-r} f(S_0 d). \quad (3)$$

Subtracting the two equations, multiplying by $(1 + r)$ and dividing by S_0 yields

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_1 S_0 (u - d) &= f(S_0 u) - f(S_0 d) \\ \Leftrightarrow \vartheta_1 &= \frac{f(S_0 u) - f(S_0 d)}{S_0 u - S_0 d}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Plugging this into (2) yields after rearranging

$$\begin{aligned} V_0 &= -\vartheta_1 S_0 e^{-r} (u - e^r) + e^{-r} f(S_0 u) \\ &= e^{-r} (-\vartheta_1 S_0 (u - e^r) + f(S_0 u)) \\ &= e^{-r} \left(-\frac{f(S_0 u) - f(S_0 d)}{S_0 u - S_0 d} S_0 (u - e^r) + f(S_0 u) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

$$= e^{-r} \left(-(f(S_0 u) - f(S_0 d)) \frac{u - e^r}{u - d} + f(S_0 u) \right) \quad (6)$$

$$= e^{-r} \left(\frac{e^r - d}{u - d} f(S_0 u) + \frac{u - e^r}{u - d} f(S_0 d) \right). \quad (7)$$

(b) For fixed $K > 0$, by distinguishing the two cases $S \geq K$ and $S < K$, we easily get

$$(S - K)^+ - (K - S)^+ = S - K$$

Thus, using the formulas of V_0 for a call and a put option, we get :

$$\begin{aligned} V_0^c - V_0^p &= e^{-r} \left(\frac{e^r - d}{u - d} (S_0 u - K) + \frac{u - e^r}{u - d} (S_0 d - K) \right) \\ &= e^{-r} \left(S_0 \left(\frac{e^r - d}{u - d} u + \frac{u - e^r}{u - d} d \right) - K \left(\frac{e^r - d}{u - d} + \frac{u - e^r}{u - d} \right) \right) \\ &= e^{-r} (S_0 e^r - K) = S_0 - e^r K \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The economic interpretation is that buying a stock and a put option with strike K and maturity T is equivalent to buying a call option with the same strike and the same maturity and a zero-coupon bond with the same maturity and face value K .

(c) For fixed $S \geq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} f^c(S) &= \lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} (S - K)^+ = 0 \\ \lim_{K \rightarrow 0} f^p(S) &= \lim_{K \rightarrow 0} (K - S)^+ = S \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} V_0^c &= \lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} e^{-r} \left(\frac{e^r - d}{u - d} f^c(S_0 u) + \frac{u - e^r}{u - d} f^c(S_0 d) \right) \\ &= e^{-r} \left(\frac{e^r - d}{u - d} \lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} f^c(S_0 u) + \frac{u - e^r}{u - d} \lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} f^c(S_0 d) \right) = 0 \\ \lim_{K \rightarrow 0} V_0^p &= e^{-r} \left(\frac{e^r - d}{u - d} \lim_{K \rightarrow 0} f^p(S_0 u) + \frac{u - e^r}{u - d} \lim_{K \rightarrow 0} f^p(S_0 d) \right) = S \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Using the Call Put parity (8) $V_0^c - V_0^p = S_0 - e^{-r}K$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} V_0^p &= \lim_{K \rightarrow \infty} (e^{-r}K - S_0 + V_0^c) = +\infty, \\ \lim_{K \rightarrow 0} V_0^c &= \lim_{K \rightarrow 0} (S_0 - e^{-r}K + V_0^p) = 0.\end{aligned}\tag{10}$$

Solution 2.4

```
1 from math import exp, sqrt
2 import random
3
4
5 def monte_carlo_price(maturity, spot, strike, rate, vol, paths_number,
6     payoff_fct):
7     price = 0
8     for i in range(paths_number):
9         price += payoff_fct(spot * exp((rate - vol ** 2 / 2) * maturity + vol *
10             sqrt(maturity) * random.gauss(0,1)), strike)
11     price /= paths_number
12     return exp(-rate * maturity) * price
```