

- 1.a) We know by completeness of the binomial market that there exists a unique EMM Q^* for the discounted market $S^0 = \frac{\tilde{S}^0}{\tilde{S}_0} \equiv 1$ and $S^1 = \frac{\tilde{S}^1}{\tilde{S}_0}$.

By the change of numéraire theorem we know that $M_e(\tilde{S}^1) = \{Q^{**} \mid E_{Q^*}[\frac{dQ^{**}}{dQ^*} \mid \mathcal{F}_t] = \frac{\tilde{S}_0}{\tilde{S}_t} \frac{\tilde{S}_t^1}{\tilde{S}_t}\}$

for some $Q \in M_e(\tilde{S}^0)$. But since $M_e(\tilde{S}^0) = \{Q^*\} \Rightarrow \#M_e(\tilde{S}^1) = 1$ so there is only 1 EMM Q^{**}

for \hat{S}^0 and we have $\frac{dQ^{**}}{dQ^*} = \frac{\tilde{S}_0}{\tilde{S}_1} \cdot \frac{\tilde{S}_1^1}{\tilde{S}_1^0}$

- b) We know that $\frac{dQ^{**}}{dQ^*} = \frac{\tilde{S}_0}{\tilde{S}_1} \cdot \frac{\tilde{S}_1^1}{\tilde{S}_1^0} = S_0^{-1} \cdot S_1^1 = S_1^1$ since $S_0^1 = \tilde{S}_0^1 / (1+r)^0 = 1$

- c) $\tilde{S}_k^1 E_{Q^{**}}[\frac{\tilde{H}}{\tilde{S}_T^1} \mid \mathcal{F}_k] = E_{Q^{**}}[\frac{\tilde{H}}{\tilde{S}_T^1} \tilde{S}_k^1 \mid \mathcal{F}_k] = E_{Q^*}[\frac{dQ^{**}}{dQ^*} \mid \mathcal{F}_k]^{-1} E_{Q^*}[\frac{\tilde{H}}{\tilde{S}_T^1} \tilde{S}_k^1 E_{Q^*}[\frac{dQ^{**}}{dQ^*} \mid \mathcal{F}_T] \mid \mathcal{F}_k]$
 $= E_{Q^*}[S_1^1 \mid \mathcal{F}_k]^{-1} \cdot E_{Q^*}[\frac{\tilde{H}}{\tilde{S}_T^1} \tilde{S}_k^1 E_{Q^*}[S_1^1 \mid \mathcal{F}_T] \mid \mathcal{F}_k]$
 $= (S_k^1)^{-1} \cdot E_{Q^*}[\frac{\tilde{H}}{\tilde{S}_T^1} \tilde{S}_k^1 S_1^1 \mid \mathcal{F}_k] = \frac{\tilde{S}_k^0}{\tilde{S}_k^1} \cdot \tilde{S}_k^1 \cdot E_{Q^*}[\frac{\tilde{H}}{\tilde{S}_T^1} \frac{\tilde{S}_T^1}{\tilde{S}_T^0} \mid \mathcal{F}_k]$
 $= \tilde{S}_k^0 \cdot E_{Q^*}[\frac{\tilde{H}}{\tilde{S}_T^1} \mid \mathcal{F}_k]$

- 2.a) $r=0.05, T=1$. Let $\tilde{S}_t^0 = (1+r)^t$ for $t \in \{0,1\}$ and $\tilde{S}_0^1 = s_0 = 80$ and $\tilde{S}_1^1 = \begin{cases} 120 & \text{with prob. } 0.2 \\ 90 & 0.3 \\ 60 & 0.5 \end{cases}$

Hence the discounted process: $S_t^0 \equiv 1$, $S_0^1 = s_0 = 80$ and $S_1^1 = (1+r)^{-1} \tilde{S}_1^1$

By assumption of (NA) $\Rightarrow M_e(\tilde{S}^0) \neq \emptyset$ (FTAP) so let $Q \in M_e(\tilde{S}^0)$. For Q to be an EMM we

must have $E_Q[S_1^1 \mid \mathcal{F}_0] = S_0^1 = 80$, $Q[S_1^1 \cdot (1+r) \in \{120, 90, 60\}] = 1$ and $Q[S_1^1 \cdot (1+r) = \frac{120}{60}] > 0$.

$$\bullet E_Q[S_1^1 \mid \mathcal{F}_0] = E_Q[S_1^1] = E_Q[\tilde{S}_1^1 (1+r)^{-1}] = (1+r)^{-1} [q_u 120 + q_m 90 + q_d 60] \stackrel{!}{=} 80$$

$$\Leftrightarrow q_u 120 + q_m 90 + q_d 60 = 80(1+r) = 84. \text{ Since } q_u + q_m + q_d \stackrel{!}{=} 1 \text{ and } q_u, q_m, q_d \stackrel{!}{>} 0$$

$$\Rightarrow q_u 120 + (1 - q_u - q_d) 90 + q_d 60 = q_u 30 - q_d 30 + 90 = 84 \Leftrightarrow 30q_u - 30q_d = -6$$

$$\Leftrightarrow q_u - q_d = -\frac{1}{5} \text{ so } q_d = q_u + \frac{1}{5} \text{ and } q_m = 1 - q_d - q_u = \frac{4}{5} - 2q_u$$

$$\text{Additionally: } - 0 < q_m < 1 \Leftrightarrow 0 < \frac{4}{5} - 2q_u < 1 \Leftrightarrow q_u < \frac{2}{5} \text{ and } -\frac{1}{10} < q_u$$

$$- 0 < q_d < 1 \Leftrightarrow 0 < q_u + \frac{1}{5} < 1 \Leftrightarrow -\frac{1}{5} < q_u \text{ and } q_u < \frac{4}{5}$$

$$- 0 < q_u < 1$$

$$\text{Hence } M_e(\tilde{S}^0) = \left\{ \left(q_u, \frac{4}{5} - 2q_u, q_u + \frac{1}{5} \right) \mid 0 < q_u < \frac{2}{5} \right\}$$

By Thm. 5.29 we know that $\Pi(H) = \{E_Q[H] \mid Q \in M_e(\tilde{S}^0)\}$ so take $Q \in M_e(\tilde{S}^0)$:

$$\begin{aligned} E_Q[H] &= (1+r)^{-1} E[(\tilde{S}_1^1 - 80)^+] = (1+r)^{-1} [q_u 40 + (\frac{4}{5} - 2q_u) 10 + (q_u + \frac{1}{5}) \cdot 0] \\ &= (1+r)^{-1} [40q_u + 8 - 20q_u] = (1+r)^{-1} [20q_u + 8] \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So } \Pi(H) = \{(1+r)^{-1} [20q_u + 8] \mid 0 < q_u < \frac{2}{5}\} = [(1+r)^{-1} 8, (1+r)^{-1} 16] = [7.62, 15.24]$$

b) Let H be a discounted & attainable claim $\Rightarrow \exists \xi$ self-financing and predictable such that

$$H = V_0 + (\xi \cdot S)_1 = V_0 + \xi_1(S_1^1 - S_0^1) \text{ for some } V_0 \in \mathbb{R}. \xi_1 \text{ is } \mathcal{F}_0 = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}\text{-meas.} \Rightarrow \xi_1 \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\text{So } \{\text{claims } C \mid C \text{ attainable \& discounted}\} = \{V_0 + \xi(S_1^1 - S_0^1) \mid V_0, \xi \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

c) Assume \tilde{H} is attainable then Thm. 5.32 implies $|\Pi(H)| = 1$ which contradicts a).

3. a) Let $M_0 = A_0 = 0$ P-a.s. and define $A_k := A_{k-1} + E[X_k - X_{k-1} \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]$ for $k \geq 1$. $(A_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniquely defined as we know A_0 . A is integrable by construction.

Claim: A is adapted.

Proof: $A_1 = E[X_1 - X_0 \mid \mathcal{F}_0]$ which is by def. of cond. exp. \mathcal{F}_0 -measurable. Assume A_k is

$$\mathcal{F}_{k-1}\text{-meas.} \Rightarrow A_{k+1} = A_k + E[X_{k+1} - X_k \mid \mathcal{F}_k] \text{ is } \mathcal{F}_k\text{-meas. since } E[X_{k+1} - X_k \mid \mathcal{F}] \in \mathcal{F}_k$$

$$\text{and } A_k \in \mathcal{F}_{k-1} \subset \mathcal{F}_k \quad \square$$

Define now $M_k := X_k - X_0 - A_k$ which is integrable since A and X are and indeed $M_0 = 0$ P-a.s.

$$\text{We check: } E[M_{\ell+1} - M_\ell \mid \mathcal{F}_\ell] = E[X_{\ell+1} - A_{\ell+1} - X_\ell + A_\ell \mid \mathcal{F}_\ell] = E[X_{\ell+1} - X_\ell \mid \mathcal{F}_\ell] - A_{\ell+1} + A_\ell$$

$$= A_{\ell+1} - A_\ell - A_{\ell+1} + A_\ell = 0$$

So M is a martingale and by construction $X_k = X_0 + M_k + A_k$ P-a.s. for $k \geq 0$.

b) As in a): $A_0 = 0$ P-a.s. $\Rightarrow A_k = A_{k-1} + E[X_k - X_{k-1} \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]$ is P-a.s. unique by construction.

Hence $M_k = X_k - X_0 - A_k$ is also P-a.s. unique.

c) X is a super-martingale $\Leftrightarrow E[X_{\ell+1} \mid \mathcal{F}_\ell] \leq X_\ell \Leftrightarrow E[X_0 + M_{\ell+1} + A_{\ell+1} \mid \mathcal{F}_\ell] \leq X_0 + M_\ell + A_\ell$

$$\Leftrightarrow X_0 + E[M_{\ell+1} \mid \mathcal{F}_\ell] + A_{\ell+1} \leq X_0 + M_\ell + A_\ell \Leftrightarrow M_\ell + A_{\ell+1} \leq M_\ell + A_\ell \Leftrightarrow A_{\ell+1} \leq A_\ell$$