

Exercise 9.1  $(X_n)$  a martingale,  $n \geq 0$  and  $E(X_n^2) < +\infty$ .

(a) Let  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\tau := \inf\{k \geq 0 : |X_k| \geq \lambda\}$  ( $\min \emptyset = \infty$ )

Show that  $\tau \wedge n$  is a stopping time.

(b)  $X_n^* := \max_{0 \leq k \leq n} |X_k|$ , show that

$$\lambda P(X_n^* \geq \lambda) \leq E(|X_n| \mathbb{1}_{X_n^* \geq \lambda}).$$

(c) Let  $b > 0$ , by writing  $(X_n^* \wedge b)^2 = 2 \int_0^{X_n^* \wedge b} x dx$ , show that

$$E[(X_n^* \wedge b)^2] \leq 2E[(X_n^* \wedge b)|X_n|].$$

(d) Using the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, show that

$$E[X_n^*] < +\infty$$

and that

$$E[\sup_{0 \leq k \leq n} X_k^2] \leq 4E[X_n^2].$$

a) Proof:  $\leadsto$  let  $k \in \mathbb{N} \leadsto$  if  $k \geq n \Rightarrow [\tau \wedge n \leq k] = \Omega \in \mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}_k$   
 $\leadsto$  if  $k < n \Rightarrow [\tau \wedge n \leq k] = [\tau \leq k] = \bigcup_{j=0}^k [|X_j| \geq \lambda] \in \mathcal{F}_k$   
 $\Rightarrow [\tau \wedge n \leq k] \in \mathcal{F}_k \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$   
 $\Rightarrow \tau \wedge n$  is a stopping time

b) Proof:  $\leadsto (X_n)_n$  mg  $\Rightarrow (|X_n|)_n$  is a submartingale (since  $x \mapsto |x|$  is convex & using Jensen UGL)

$\leadsto$  therefore we can use Doob's inequality (see Prob. Theory (3.6.1)) for  $(|X_k|)_k$  which directly gives:

$$\lambda \cdot P(\max_{0 \leq k \leq n} |X_k| \geq \lambda) \leq E[|X_n| \cdot \mathbb{1}_{(\max_{0 \leq k \leq n} |X_k| \geq \lambda)}]$$

c) Proof:  $E[(X_n^* \wedge b)^2] = E(2 \int_0^{X_n^* \wedge b} x dx) = E(2 \int_0^\infty x \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[X_n^* \wedge b \geq x]} dx) \stackrel{\text{Fubini}}{=} 2 \int_0^\infty E(x \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[X_n^* \wedge b \geq x]}) dx =$   
 $= 2 \int_0^\infty x \cdot P(X_n^* \wedge b \geq x) dx \leq 2 \int_0^\infty x \cdot (P([X_n^* \wedge b \geq x] \cap [x \leq b]) + P([X_n^* \wedge b \geq x] \cap [x > b])) dx = 2 \int_0^b x \cdot P([X_n^* \wedge b \geq x]) \cdot P(x \leq b) dx \stackrel{\text{as before}}{=} 2 \int_0^b x \cdot P(|X_n| \geq x) \cdot P(x \leq b) dx =$   
 $= 2 \int_0^\infty E(|X_n| \cdot \mathbb{1}_{X_n^* \wedge b \geq x}) dx \stackrel{\text{Fubini}}{=} 2 \cdot E(\int_0^\infty |X_n| \cdot \mathbb{1}_{[X_n^* \wedge b \geq x]} dx) = 2 \cdot E(|X_n| \cdot \int_0^{X_n^* \wedge b} dx) = 2 \cdot E(|X_n| \cdot (X_n^* \wedge b))$

d) Proof:  $\leadsto X_n^* \leq |X_0| + \dots + |X_n| \Rightarrow E(X_n^*) \leq \sum_{i=0}^n E(|X_i|) < \infty$  ✓  
 $\leadsto$  from c) we have:  $E[(X_n^* \wedge b)^2] \leq 2 \cdot E(|X_n| \cdot (X_n^* \wedge b)) \stackrel{\text{Holder}}{\leq} 2 \cdot E(|X_n|^2)^{1/2} \cdot E[(X_n^* \wedge b)^2]^{1/2}$   
 $\Leftrightarrow E[(X_n^* \wedge b)^2]^{1/2} \leq 2 \cdot E(|X_n|^2)^{1/2} \Leftrightarrow E[(X_n^* \wedge b)^2] \leq 4 \cdot E(|X_n|^2) \quad (1)$

if LHS=0 the following equivalence is clear since  $|X_n|^2 \rightarrow 0$   
 if LHS>0 then we can divide

$\leadsto$  by monotone convergence we have:  $\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} E[(X_n^* \wedge b)^2] = E(\lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} (X_n^* \wedge b)^2) = E(X_n^{*2}) \quad (2)$

$\leadsto (1) \& (2) \Rightarrow E(\sup_{0 \leq k \leq n} X_k^2) = E(X_n^{*2}) = \lim_{b \rightarrow \infty} E[(X_n^* \wedge b)^2] \leq 4 \cdot E(|X_n|^2)$

Exercise 9.2 Let

$$H_t^K := \frac{(K - S_t)_+}{(1+r)^t}$$

be the discounted payoff of an American put option with strike K in a market model with one risky asset  $S = (S_t)_{t=0, \dots, T}$  and a riskless asset  $S_t^0 = (1+r)^t$ , where  $r > 0$ . We denote by  $\tau_{\min}^K$  the minimal stopping time of the buyer's problem to maximize  $E[H_t^K]$  over  $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$ .

(a) Show that  $\tau_{\min}^K \geq \tau_{\min}^{K'}$  P-a.s. if  $K \leq K'$ .

in TS Ex 6.2.4

(b) Show that  $\text{ess inf}_{K \geq 0} \tau_{\min}^K = 0$  P-a.s.

(c) Use (b) and the fact that  $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$  to conclude that there exists  $K_0 \geq 0$  such that  $\tau_{\min}^{K_0} = 0$  P-a.s. for all  $K \leq K_0$

a) Proof:  $\leadsto$  for  $K \leq K'$  we have:  $(K - S_t)_+ \leq (K' - S_t)_+ \Rightarrow H_t^K \leq H_t^{K'} \quad \forall t$

$\leadsto$  let  $U_t^K, U_t^{K'}$  be the Snell-envelopes for  $H_t^K, H_t^{K'}$  wrt.  $\mathcal{P}$   
 $\Rightarrow U_t^K = H_t^K, U_t^{K'} = H_t^{K'} \vee E(U_{t+1}^{K'} | \mathcal{F}_t)$  (see FS after Rem 6.77)

$\leadsto$  by def. (FS after Rem 6.77):  $\tau_{\min}^K = \inf\{t \geq 0 | U_t^K = H_t^K\}$

$\Rightarrow U_{\tau_{\min}^K}^K = H_{\tau_{\min}^K}^K$  and therefore:  $E(U_{\tau_{\min}^K+1}^K | \mathcal{F}_{\tau_{\min}^K}) \leq H_{\tau_{\min}^K}^K$

$\leadsto U^{K'}$  is a supermg with  $U_t^{K'} \geq H_t^{K'} \geq H_t^K \leadsto$  since  $U^k$  is the smallest supermg satisfying  $U_t^k \geq H_t^K$  we have:  $U_t^{K'} \geq U_t^K$

Assume:  $\Omega^* := [\tau_{\min}^K < \tau_{\min}^{K'}]$  has  $P(\Omega^*) > 0 \leadsto$  let  $\Omega_t^* := \Omega^* \cap \{\tau_{\min}^K = t\} \Rightarrow \exists t: P(\Omega_t^*) > 0 \leadsto t < T$

$\leadsto$  on this set  $\Omega_t^*$  we have:  $U_t^K = H_t^K$  and  $U_{t'}^{K'} > H_{t'}^{K'} \quad \forall t' \leq t \Rightarrow U_{t'}^{K'} = E(U_{t'+1}^{K'} | \mathcal{F}_{t'}) > H_{t'}^{K'} \quad \forall t' \leq t$

?

b) Proof:  $\leadsto \text{ess inf}_{K \geq 0} \tau_{\min}^K \stackrel{\text{P-a.s.}}{\leq} \tau_{\min}^0 = \inf\{t \geq 0 | U_t^0 = H_t^0\} \quad (1) \leadsto H_t^0 = \frac{(-S_t)^+}{(1+r)^t} \stackrel{S_t > 0}{=} 0 \quad \forall t \leadsto U_t^0 = \text{ess sup}_{t \leq \tau \leq T} E(\frac{0}{(1+r)^{\tau}} | \mathcal{F}_t) = 0$

$\Rightarrow \forall t: H_t^0 = U_t^0 \Rightarrow \tau_{\min}^0 = 0 \stackrel{(1)}{\Rightarrow} \text{ess inf}_{K \geq 0} \tau_{\min}^K = 0$  P-a.s.

c) Proof:  $\leadsto$  from b) we already know that  $K_0 = 0$  fulfils the claim, since  $\tau_{\min}^0 = 0$  and using a)

Exercise 9.3 Show that in every arbitrage-free market model and for any discounted American claim  $H$ ,

$$\inf_{P^* \in \mathcal{P}} \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} E^*[H_\tau] < \infty$$

assume that (\*) holds

and that the set  $\Pi(H)$  of arbitrage-free prices is nonempty.

Proof: Claim 1:  $\inf_{P^* \in \mathcal{P}} \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} E_{P^*}(H_\tau) < \infty$

Proof 1:  $\leadsto$  if  $\inf_{P^* \in \mathcal{P}} \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} E^*(H_\tau) < \sup_{P^* \in \mathcal{P}} \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} E^*(H_\tau) \leq \infty$

then the claim obviously holds  $\Rightarrow$  we can assume that  $\inf_{P^* \in \mathcal{P}} \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} E^*(H_\tau) = \sup_{P^* \in \mathcal{P}} \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} E^*(H_\tau)$  (1)

$\leadsto$  (as in Thm 6.31), we have to assume that:  $\exists Q \in \mathcal{P}: \forall t \in (0, T]: H_t \in L^1(Q)$  (\*)

$$\Rightarrow E_Q(|H_t|) < \infty \quad \forall t \quad (3)$$

$\leadsto$  we obviously have  $|H_\tau| \leq |H_0| + \dots + |H_T| \quad \forall$  stopping times  $0 \leq \tau \leq T$  (2)

$\leadsto$  using (1) we have:  $\inf_{P^* \in \mathcal{P}} \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} E^*(H_\tau) \stackrel{(1)}{=} \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} E_Q(H_\tau) \stackrel{(2)}{\leq} E\left(\sum_{i=0}^T |H_i|\right) \stackrel{(3)}{<} \infty \quad \square$  (Claim 1)

Claim 2:  $\Pi(H) \neq \emptyset$ , Proof 2: Case 1:  $\tau := \inf_{P^* \in \mathcal{P}} \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} E^*(H_\tau) \stackrel{!}{=} \sup_{P^* \in \mathcal{P}} \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} E^*(H_\tau) \leadsto$  we check that  $\tau$  satisfies Def 6.28

$\leadsto$  let  $\tau^*$  be an optimal stopping time for  $H$  wrt.  $P^* \in \mathcal{P}$ , i.e.  $E^*(H_{\tau^*}) = \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} E^*(H_\tau) \leadsto$  we can e.g. choose

$\tau^* = \tau_{\min}$ , which exists (since  $\tau_{\min} \leq T$ ) and is optimal by Thm 6.18

$\Rightarrow \tau = \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} E^*(H_\tau) = E^*(H_{\tau^*}) =: \pi^* \Rightarrow$  (i) of Def 6.28 fulfilled

$\leadsto$  (ii) of Def 6.28:  $\exists \tau' \in \mathcal{T} \forall \pi' \in \Pi_{E_0}(H_{\tau'}): \tau < \pi' \Leftrightarrow \forall \tau' \in \mathcal{T} \exists \pi' \in \Pi_{E_0}(H_{\tau'}): \tau \geq \pi'$  (4)

$\leadsto$  let  $\tau' \in \mathcal{T} \Rightarrow \tau = \sup_{P^* \in \mathcal{P}} \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} E^*(H_\tau) \geq E_{P^*}(H_{\tau'}) \quad \forall P^* \in \mathcal{P} \stackrel{(4)}{\Rightarrow}$  (ii) of Def 6.28 fulfilled  $\Rightarrow \tau \in \Pi(H)$   $\square$  (Case 1)

Case 2:  $\inf_{P^* \in \mathcal{P}} \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} E^*(H_\tau) < \pi < \sup_{P^* \in \mathcal{P}} \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} E^*(H_\tau)$ , where  $\pi$  is an arbitrary element between LHS & RHS  $\leadsto$  we check that  $\pi$  satisfies

Def 6.28

$\leadsto$  by the def of supremas we find a  $P^* \in \mathcal{P}$  and  $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$  s.t.  $\pi < E_{P^*}(H_\tau) \rightarrow$  (i) of Def 6.28 fulfilled

$\leadsto$  by the def of sup and inf we find a  $P^*$  s.t.  $\pi > \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}} E_{P^*}(H_\tau) \stackrel{(4)}{\Rightarrow}$  (ii) of Def 6.28 fulfilled

$\Rightarrow \pi \in \Pi(H)$  and i.e. (a,b)  $\subset \Pi(H) \quad \square$  (Case 2)  $\square$  (Claim 2)