

## Exercise Sheet 4 - Solutions

1. Consider the cover  $U_i = \{[Z_0 : \dots : Z_n] : Z_i \neq 0\}$  of projective space  $\mathbb{P}^n$  with coordinates

$$\frac{Z_0}{Z_i}, \dots, \frac{Z_{i-1}}{Z_i}, \frac{Z_{i+1}}{Z_i}, \dots, \frac{Z_n}{Z_i}$$

on  $U_i \cong \mathbb{C}^n$ . With respect to this cover, the line bundle  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  has the transition functions  $\phi_{ij} = Z_j/Z_i$  on  $U_i \cap U_j$ . Here we mean that functions  $s_i$  on  $U_i$  are compatible (and define a section  $s$  of  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ ) if  $s_i = \phi_{ij}s_j$ .

- a) The line bundle  $\mathcal{O}(d)$ ,  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ , is defined as  $\mathcal{O}(1)^{\otimes d}$  for  $d \geq 1$  and  $(\mathcal{O}(1)^*)^{\otimes -d}$  for  $d < 0$ , where  $\mathcal{O}(1)^*$  is the dual bundle. What are its transition functions?
- b) Show that  $H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d)) = 0$  for  $d < 0$ .
- c) Show that  $H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d))$  is isomorphic to the space of homogeneous polynomials in  $Z_0, \dots, Z_n$  of degree  $d$ . This has dimension  $\binom{n+d}{n}$ .
- d)\* The tautological line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $\mathbb{P}^n$  was defined as

$$\pi : \mathcal{L} = \{(v, l) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{P}^n : v \in l\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n, (v, l) \mapsto l.$$

Show that  $\mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{O}(-1)$ .

### Solution

- a) For  $\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L}'$  line bundles defined by transition functions  $\phi_{ij}, \phi'_{ij}$  with respect to some cover, their tensor product is defined by  $\phi_{ij}\phi'_{ij}$  and the dual bundle  $\mathcal{L}^*$  is defined by  $\phi_{ij}^{-1}$ . This implies that the transition functions of  $\mathcal{O}(d)$  are given by  $\psi_{ij} = (\phi_{ij})^d = (Z_j/Z_i)^d$ .
- b) Assume a section  $f \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(d))$  is given in the chart  $U_i$  by the polynomial

$$f_i \in \mathbb{C} \left[ \frac{Z_0}{Z_i}, \dots, \frac{Z_{i-1}}{Z_i}, \frac{Z_{i+1}}{Z_i}, \dots, \frac{Z_n}{Z_i} \right].$$

Then to be compatible, we require that  $f_i = (Z_j/Z_i)^d f_j$ . Note that this equality is an equality of functions on  $U_i \cap U_j$  and the ring of functions there is given by

$$\mathbb{C} \left[ \frac{Z_0}{Z_i}, \dots, \frac{Z_{i-1}}{Z_i}, \frac{Z_{i+1}}{Z_i}, \dots, \frac{Z_n}{Z_i} \right] \left[ \left( \frac{Z_j}{Z_i} \right)^{-1} \right].$$

This means in particular that this must be an equality of Laurent polynomials in  $Z_0, \dots, Z_n$ .

Rewrite the desired equation as  $f_i Z_i^d = Z_j^d f_j$ , then as  $d < 0$ , we know that the left hand side has degree at most  $d < 0$  in  $Z_i$  (remember  $f_i$  is a polynomial in terms  $Z_k/Z_i$ ) and the right hand side has only nonnegative powers of  $Z_i$  appearing. This shows that both sides must be identically zero, so  $f_i = 0$  for all  $i$ , i.e.  $f = 0$ .

- c) The same arguments as in b) lead to the desired equality  $f_i Z_i^d = Z_j^d f_j$ . If  $f_i$  has terms of degree greater than  $d$  in the variables  $Z_k/Z_i$ , the left hand side has terms of strictly negative degree in  $Z_i$  and we have a contradiction as before. Conversely, if  $f$  is a polynomial of degree at most  $d$ , the term  $Z_i^d$  cancels all denominators on the left and  $f_j = f_i(Z_i/Z_j)^d$  is a well defined polynomial in the variables  $Z_k/Z_d$ . One checks that for any such  $f_i$  the functions  $f_1, \dots, f_{i-1}, f_{i+1}, \dots, f_n$  defined by this formula are compatible and thus give a section  $f$  of  $\mathcal{O}(d)$ . The homogeneous polynomial of degree  $d$  in  $Z_0, \dots, Z_d$  associated to  $f$  is exactly  $f_i Z_i^d$ .

By elementary enumerative combinatorics, the set of monomials in  $Z_0, \dots, Z_n$  of degree  $d$  has cardinality  $\binom{n+d}{n}$ .

- d)\* We saw in the class that the line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  is trivial on the open cover  $U_0, \dots, U_n$  of  $\mathbb{P}^n$  and on  $U_i$  for a regular function

$$s_i\left(\frac{Z_0}{Z_i}, \dots, \frac{Z_{i-1}}{Z_i}, \frac{Z_{i+1}}{Z_i}, \dots, \frac{Z_n}{Z_i}\right)$$

we obtain the section

$$U_i \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(U_i), P = \left[\frac{Z_0}{Z_i}, \dots, 1, \dots, \frac{Z_n}{Z_i}\right] \mapsto \left(\left(s_i \frac{Z_0}{Z_i}, s_i \frac{Z_1}{Z_i}, \dots, s_i, \dots, s_i \frac{Z_n}{Z_i}\right), P\right).$$

We have a similar expression for  $s_j$ . Then  $s_i, s_j$  define compatible sections iff

$$\left(s_i \frac{Z_0}{Z_i}, s_i \frac{Z_1}{Z_i}, \dots, s_i, \dots, s_i \frac{Z_n}{Z_i}\right) = \left(s_j \frac{Z_0}{Z_j}, s_j \frac{Z_1}{Z_j}, \dots, s_j, \dots, s_j \frac{Z_n}{Z_j}\right). \quad (1)$$

Comparing the first coordinates, we see that necessarily  $s_i Z_0/Z_i = s_j Z_0/Z_j$  or in other words  $s_i = (Z_i/Z_j)s_j$ . Conversely, one checks that under this assumption all other components in (1) agree as well. Thus the transition functions for  $\mathcal{L}$  are  $\phi'_{ij} = Z_i/Z_j = \phi_{ij}^{-1}$ , where  $\phi_{ij}$  are the transition functions of  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  from above. Thus we see  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}(1)^* = \mathcal{O}(-1)$ .

2. a) Let  $C$  be a curve of genus 0. Show that  $C$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{P}^1$ . (*Hint:* To specify an isomorphism  $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  you have to give a meromorphic function on  $C$ .)
- b) For the point  $[1 : 0] \in \mathbb{P}^1$ , show that the line bundles  $\mathcal{O}([1 : 0])$  and  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  are isomorphic by looking at their transition functions for the usual cover  $U_0, U_1$ . Conclude that  $c_1(\mathcal{O}(n)) = n$ .
- c) Show that  $\text{Pic}(\mathbb{P}^1) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  with generator  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  corresponding to  $1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Conclude that given any points  $p_1, \dots, p_r \in \mathbb{P}^1$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_r \in \mathbb{Z}$  one has  $\mathcal{O}(a_1 p_1 + \dots + a_r p_r) \cong \mathcal{O}(a_1 + \dots + a_r)$ .

**Solution** For the entire exercise it will be useful to recall the exact sequence involving the Jacobian in genus  $g = 0$ . Here the Jacobian is given by  $\text{Jac}(C) = \mathbb{C}^0/H_1(C, \mathbb{Z}) = \{0\}$ , so the sequence reads

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow K(C)^* \xrightarrow{\text{div}} \text{Div}^0(C) \rightarrow 0.$$

On the other hand we saw that the Jacobian is the degree 0 part of the Picard group, i.e. the kernel of the degree map  $c_1 : \text{Pic}(C) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ . As the Jacobian is trivial, this map is injective (and trivially surjective), hence an isomorphism.

- a) Let  $p, q \in C$  be two distinct points, then  $p - q \in \text{Div}^0(C)$ . By the exact sequence above there exists a meromorphic function  $f$  on  $C$  with  $\text{div}(f) = p - q$ . This function defines a morphism  $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  and the preimage of  $0 \in \mathbb{P}^1$  is exactly  $p$  (with multiplicity 1). Thus  $f$  has degree 1, so every point in  $\mathbb{P}^1$  has exactly one preimage point. This implies that  $f$  is a bijection.

To be super-precise, we still need to show that the inverse of  $f$  is also smooth/algebraic. In the algebraic world, it is true in general that a morphism of smooth, irreducible varieties over  $\mathbb{C}$  which is bijective is automatically an isomorphism. In the analytic world, we can use that if the differential of  $f$  at any point  $p$  vanishes, the preimage of  $q = f(p)$  contains  $p$  with multiplicity at least 2, a contradiction to the claim that the degree of  $f$  is 1. Hence the differential vanishes nowhere, so by the inverse function theorem, the inverse of  $f$  is differentiable everywhere.

- b) Choose coordinates  $z = Z_1/Z_0$  on  $U_0$  and  $w = Z_0/Z_1$  on  $U_1$  such that  $z = 1/w$  and such that the point  $[1 : 0] \in U_0$  is given by  $\{z = 0\}$ . By definition, the sections of  $\mathcal{O}([1 : 0])$  on  $U_0$  are the meromorphic functions  $f(z)$  having at most a simple pole at  $[1 : 0]$ , i.e. of the form  $f(z) = s_0(z)/z$  for  $s_0(z)$  holomorphic. On the other hand the sections of  $\mathcal{O}([1 : 0])$  on  $U_1$  (which does not contain  $[1 : 0]$ ) are simply all the holomorphic functions  $s_1(w)$ . Thus  $s_0$  and  $s_1$  define compatible sections if  $s_0(z)/z = s_1(w)$ , that is  $s_0 = zs_1 = Z_1/Z_0 s_1$ . Comparing with the first exercise, these are the transition functions  $\phi_{01}$  of  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ . We conclude that  $c_1(\mathcal{O}(n)) = c_1(\mathcal{O}(n[1 : 0])) = nc_1(\mathcal{O}([1 : 0])) = n$ .

- c) In the introduction we have seen that  $c_1 : \text{Pic}(C) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is an isomorphism and it sends  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  to the generator 1 of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , so  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  generates  $\text{Pic}(C)$ .

On the other hand  $c_1(\mathcal{O}(a_1p_1 + \dots + a_r p_r)) = a_1 + \dots + a_r$ , so  $\mathcal{O}(a_1p_1 + \dots + a_r p_r) \cong \mathcal{O}(a_1 + \dots + a_r)$  by the injectivity of  $c_1$ .

**3.** Let  $C$  be a smooth curve and  $\mathcal{L}$  a line bundle on  $C$ .

- a) Show that  $\mathcal{L}$  is isomorphic to the trivial line bundle  $\mathcal{O}$  iff it has a section  $s \in H^0(C, \mathcal{L})$  which vanishes nowhere.
- b) For a nonzero meromorphic section  $s$  of  $\mathcal{L}$  (i.e. a section of  $\mathcal{L}$  on a set  $U = C \setminus \{p_1, \dots, p_n\}$ ) make sure you understand what is meant by its divisor  $D = \text{div}(s) \in \text{Div}(C)$ . For a second line bundle  $\mathcal{L}'$  with nonzero meromorphic section  $s'$ , we have a meromorphic section  $s \otimes s'$  of  $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}'$ . Show that  $\text{div}(s \otimes s') = \text{div}(s) + \text{div}(s')$ . Show also that a nonzero

meromorphic section  $s$  with  $\text{div}(s) \geq 0$  (in the sense that all coefficients which appear are nonnegative) extends to a global section on all of  $C$ .

- c) Show that for a divisor  $D = \sum_i a_i p_i$  on  $C$  the line bundle  $\mathcal{O}(D)$  has a meromorphic section  $s_D$  with  $\text{div}(s_D) = D$ . (*Hint*: Under identifying  $\mathcal{O}(D)(U)$  with meromorphic functions on  $U$  with zeroes and poles restricted by  $D$ , the section  $s_D$  corresponds to the function 1.)
- d) For a nonzero meromorphic section  $s$  of  $\mathcal{L}$  as in b) with  $D = \text{div}(s)$ , show that  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}(D)$ . (*Hint*: Show that  $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-D)$  is trivial by giving a global section that vanishes nowhere.)
- e) For  $c_1(\mathcal{L}) < 0$  show that  $H^0(C, \mathcal{L}) = 0$ .

### Solution

- a) One easily checks that the map  $C \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}, (p, \lambda) \mapsto \lambda s(p)$  is an isomorphism of line bundles, where  $C \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow C$  is the trivial line bundle. It is a worthwhile exercise to translate this proof in the other descriptions of line bundles from the lecture.
- b) Given a cover  $U_1, \dots, U_r$  of  $C$  trivializing  $\pi : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow C$ , a meromorphic section  $s$  corresponds to meromorphic functions  $s_i$  on the sets  $U_i$  via the chosen isomorphisms  $\pi^{-1}(U_i) \cong U_i \times \mathbb{C}$ . Then we can compute the divisor of the function  $s_i$ , giving a linear combination of points in  $U_i$ . As  $s_i = \phi_{ij} s_j$  with  $\phi_{ij}$  having neither zeroes nor poles on  $U_i \cap U_j$ , one sees that the coefficient  $c_p$  of  $p \in U_i \cap U_j$  in  $\text{div}(s_i)$  is the same as the coefficient in  $\text{div}(s_j)$ . In other words, it is irrelevant if we compute  $c_p$  using the chart  $U_i$  or using  $U_j$ . Thus we get a well-defined divisor

$$\text{div}(s) = \sum_{p \in C} c_p p.$$

For another line bundle  $\mathcal{L}'$  and a cover  $U_1, \dots, U_r$  trivializing both line bundles, the section  $s \otimes s'$  is given in the chart  $U_i$  by  $s_i \cdot s'_i$  and  $\text{div}(s_i \cdot s'_i) = \text{div}(s_i) + \text{div}(s'_i)$ . Note that in this last equality the symbol  $\text{div}$  means the divisor of a meromorphic function! This equation shows the desired equality  $\text{div}(s \otimes s') = \text{div}(s) + \text{div}(s')$ .

If  $\text{div}(s) \geq 0$ , this means that all the meromorphic functions  $s_i$  on  $U_i$  satisfy  $\text{div}(s_i) \geq 0$ , so they do not have poles anywhere. But a meromorphic function without poles on  $U_i$  actually extends uniquely to a holomorphic function on all of  $U_i$ . These extensions then define the extension of  $s$  to all of  $C$ .

- c) For  $D = \sum_i a_i p_i$  let  $P^+ = \{p_i : a_i \geq 0\}$  and  $P^- = \{p_i : a_i < 0\}$ . Then the function 1 on  $C$  is a meromorphic function and on  $U = C \setminus P^-$  it satisfies

$$(\text{div}(1) + D)|_U = (0 + \sum_i a_i p_i)|_U = \sum_{i: p_i \notin P^-} a_i p_i \geq 0,$$

so 1 defines a section of  $\mathcal{O}(D)$  on  $U$  by definition. Here we just used that when restricting to  $U$  by definition all the points  $p_i$  with  $a_i < 0$  are remo-

ved, so the remaining part of the divisor  $D$  has only nonnegative coefficients. So 1 is a meromorphic section  $s_D$  of  $\mathcal{O}(D)$  and we claim that it has the desired property  $\text{div}(s_D) = D$ .

Indeed, let  $q \in C$  be any point and  $\Delta \subset C$  a small disc around  $C$  with local coordinate  $z$  such that  $q = \{z = 0\}$ . If  $q = p_i$  for some  $i$ , choose the disc  $\Delta$  small enough such that no other  $p_j, j \neq i$  is contained in  $\Delta$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}(D)$  is trivial when restricted to  $\Delta$  and we can write

$$\mathcal{O}(D)|_{\Delta} = \begin{cases} \mathcal{O}_{\Delta} \cdot 1 & , \text{ if } q \neq p_i \text{ for all } i, \\ \mathcal{O}_{\Delta} \cdot z^{-a_i} & , \text{ if } q = p_i. \end{cases}$$

Indeed, let for instance  $a_i = 1$ , then sections of  $\mathcal{O}(D)$  around  $p_i$  are meromorphic functions with at most a simple pole at  $p_i$ , so they are of the form  $h(z) \cdot z^{-1}$  for some holomorphic function  $h$ .

But now the section  $s_D$  corresponds to the meromorphic function 1, and restricted to  $\Delta$ , we have  $1 = 1 \cdot 1$  if  $q$  is not one of the  $p_i$ , so the section  $s_D$  corresponds to the function 1 on  $\Delta$ . But the divisor of this meromorphic function is trivial, so by the definition above, the coefficient of  $q$  in  $\text{div}(s_D)$  is zero.

On the other hand, if  $q = p_i$  we have  $1 = z^{a_i} \cdot z^{-a_i}$ , so the section  $s_D$  corresponds to the function  $z^{a_i}$  on  $\Delta$ . This has divisor  $a_i q = a_i p_i$  (remember  $q$  corresponds to  $z = 0$ ), so the coefficient of  $p_i$  in  $\text{div}(s_D)$  is  $a_i$  as desired.

- d) First we remark that  $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-D) = \mathcal{O}$  implies (by tensoring with  $\mathcal{O}(D)$ ) that  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-D) \otimes \mathcal{O}(D) = \mathcal{O}(D)$  as desired. Now on the one hand we have a meromorphic section  $s$  of  $\mathcal{L}$  with  $\text{div}(s) = D$ . On the other hand by part c) the line bundle  $\mathcal{O}(-D)$  has a meromorphic section  $s_{-D}$  with  $\text{div}(s_{-D}) = -D$ . Thus we get a meromorphic section  $s \otimes s_{-D}$  of  $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-D)$  and by part b) we have

$$\text{div}(s \otimes s_{-D}) = \text{div}(s) + \text{div}(s_{-D}) = D + (-D) = 0.$$

As the zero-divisor is  $\geq 0$ , by b) the section  $s \otimes s_{-D}$  extends to a global section of  $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-D)$  on all of  $C$ . But its divisor is trivial, so it has no zeroes anywhere. Then by part a) we know that  $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{O}(-D)$  is the trivial line bundle as desired.

- e) Any nonzero section  $s \in H^0(C, \mathcal{L})$  satisfies that  $\text{div}(s) = \sum_i a_i p_i \geq 0$ , as it has no poles anywhere. On the other hand  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}(\text{div}(s))$  by part d) and we see

$$c_1(\mathcal{L}) = c_1(\mathcal{O}(\text{div}(s))) = c_1(\mathcal{O}(\sum_i a_i p_i)) = \sum_i a_i \geq 0,$$

a contradiction to the assumption  $c_1(\mathcal{L}) < 0$ . Thus  $\mathcal{L}$  has no nonzero sections  $s$ , finishing the proof.

4. Let  $\Lambda = \langle v, w \rangle \subset \mathbb{C}$  be a lattice, then the elliptic curve  $E = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda$  has  $\omega = dz$  as a basis of  $H^1(E, \Omega^1)$  and the cycles  $a : [0, 1] \rightarrow E, t \mapsto tv$  and  $b : [0, 1] \rightarrow E, t \mapsto tw$  as a basis of  $H_1(E, \mathbb{Z})$ . With respect to these choices show that

$\text{Jac}(E) = \mathbb{C}/H_1(E, \mathbb{Z})$  is canonically isomorphic to  $E$  and compute the Abel-Jacobi map

$$\text{AJ} : \text{Div}^0(E) \rightarrow \text{Jac}(E).$$

**Solution** Recall that  $H_1(E, \mathbb{Z})$  embeds in  $\mathbb{C}$  by  $a \mapsto \int_a \omega$  and  $b \mapsto \int_b \omega$ . Using the choices of  $\omega, a, b$  above, we see

$$a \mapsto \int_a dz = a(1) - a(0) = v, b \mapsto \int_b dz = b(1) - b(0) = w,$$

so the image of  $H_1(E, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}a + \mathbb{Z}b$  in  $\mathbb{C}$  is  $\mathbb{Z}v + \mathbb{Z}w = \Lambda$ , so  $\text{Jac}(E) = \mathbb{C}/\Lambda = E$  canonically.

Concerning the Abel-Jacobi map, let  $D = \sum_i a_i [z_i]$  be a divisor of degree 0, so  $\sum_i a_i = 0$ . Then by subtracting  $0 = \sum_i a_i [0]$  we can write it as  $D = \sum_i a_i ([z_i] - [0])$ . To compute  $\text{AJ}(D)$  we need to find a union of paths in  $C$  with boundary  $D$  and we can choose the union over  $i$  of  $a_i$  copies of the path  $\gamma_i$  given by  $t \mapsto tz_i$ , which has as boundary  $\gamma_i(1) - \gamma_i(0) = [z_i] - [0]$ . Then we compute

$$\text{AJ}(D) = \sum_i a_i \int_{\gamma_i} \omega = \sum_i a_i (z_i - 0) = \sum_i a_i z_i \in \mathbb{C}/\Lambda.$$

Thus we obtain  $\text{AJ}(D)$  by summing the points in  $D$  (according to their coefficients) using the group law of the elliptic curve.

5. In the lecture you saw that morphisms  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  correspond bijectively to the data of a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $X$  together with sections  $s_0, \dots, s_n$  of  $\mathcal{L}$  not vanishing simultaneously. For the following maps  $f$  give the corresponding line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  and describe the sections  $s_i$ . (*Note*: Sometimes it is not easy to describe the sections  $s_i$  explicitly, but (except when indicated) you can describe  $\text{div}(s_i)$ , which determines  $s_i$  up to scaling.)

a)  $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3, [s : t] \mapsto [s^3 : s^2t : st^2 : t^3]$

b)  $f : C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  interpreted as a meromorphic function  $f$  on  $C$

c)  $\wp : \mathbb{C}/\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  the Weierstrass  $\wp$ -function

$$\wp(z) = \frac{1}{z^2} + \sum_{w \in \Lambda \setminus \{0\}} \left[ \frac{1}{(z-w)^2} - \frac{1}{w^2} \right] \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Lambda.$$

*Note*: Giving  $s_1$  here explicitly in terms of the lattice  $\Lambda$  is quite non-trivial and not part of this exercise!

d)  $\wp' : \mathbb{C}/\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$

e)  $f : \mathbb{C}/\Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2, z \mapsto [\wp(z) : \wp'(z) : 1]$  for  $z \neq 0$  (*Remark*: As we have seen on Sheet 1,  $f$  extends to a function on all of  $\mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ .)

f)\*  $f : \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3, ([s : t], [u : v]) \mapsto [su : sv : tu : tv]$

**Solution** A common strategy for the computing the line bundle for a map  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$  is to compute the inverse image of the loci  $\{[Z_0 : \dots : Z_n] : Z_i = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^n$ , with multiplicity. If one of these is a nice divisor  $D$ , the line bundle on  $X$  is given by  $\mathcal{O}(D)$ .

- a) The inverse image of the locus  $\{Z_0 = 0\}$  is given by  $3[0 : 1]$ , so we have  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}(3[0 : 1]) = \mathcal{O}(3)$  and indeed, the components of  $f$  are homogeneous polynomials  $s^3, s^2t, st^2, t^3$  of degree 3 in the coordinates  $s, t$ , which are sections of  $\mathcal{O}(3)$  by Exercise 1.
- b) Seeing  $f$  as a meromorphic function, we can write  $\text{div}(f) = \sum_i a_i p_i - \sum_j b_j q_j$  with  $a_i, b_j > 0$ . Then  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}(\sum_i a_i p_i) = \mathcal{O}(\sum_j b_j q_j)$  and for the sections  $s_0, s_1$  we can take the meromorphic functions  $s_0 = 1, s_1 = f$ , which are both global sections of  $\mathcal{O}(\sum_j b_j q_j)$ . This just corresponds to the fact that we see a meromorphic function  $f$  as a morphism  $C \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1, p \mapsto [1 : f(p)]$ .
- c) The preimage of infinity, i.e.  $[0 : 1]$  under  $\wp$  is  $2[0]$ , so  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}(2[0])$ . We can take for  $s_0$  the section of  $\mathcal{L}$  with  $\text{div}(s_0) = 2[0]$  which exists by Exercise 3 c). However, to give  $s_1$  we would need to find the zeroes of the Weierstrass function. All we can say easily is that because  $c_1(\mathcal{L}) = 2$ , it must be two zeroes  $z_1, z_2$  (with multiplicity). If you are interested in this, you can look at the paper by Duke and Imamoglu linked on the course website.
- d) The function  $\wp'$  has a triple pole at 0, so  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}(3[0])$ . Thus  $s_0$  satisfies  $\text{div}(s_0) = 3[0]$ . We have also found the zeroes of  $\wp'$  on a previous exercise sheet: if  $\Lambda = \langle v, w \rangle$  we have

$$\text{div}(s_1) = [v/2] + [w/2] + [(v+w)/2].$$

- e) Recall that  $f$  extends by  $f(0) = [0 : 1 : 0]$ , so when we compute the preimage of the locus  $\{Z_1 = 0\}$ , the point 0 does not appear in this preimage and we can use the formula for  $f$  given above. But then this preimage is just the locus where  $\wp'(z) = 0$  so as seen in the previous exercise part, we have  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}(3[0])$  and the section  $s_1$  with  $\text{div}(s_1) = [v/2] + [w/2] + [(v+w)/2]$ . On the other hand, in the preimage of  $\{Z_0 = 0\}$  we have the two zeroes  $z_1, z_2$  of  $\wp(z)$  from part c) and also the point 0, with multiplicity 1, so  $\text{div}(s_0) = [z_1] + [z_2] + [0]$ . Finally, the only preimage of  $\{Z_2 = 0\}$  is at 0 and the multiplicity must be 3 as  $\deg(f) = \deg(\text{div}(s_0)) = 3$ , so  $\text{div}(s_2) = 3[0]$ .
- f)\* We have two projections  $\pi_1, \pi_2 : \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$  onto the two different factors of  $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ . Then we note that  $s$  defines a section of  $\mathcal{O}(1)$  on the first  $\mathbb{P}^1$  factor (with coordinates  $s, t$ ), so  $\pi_1^*(s)$  is a section of  $\pi_1^*(\mathcal{O}(1))$ . On the other hand, on  $\mathbb{P}^1$  with coordinates  $u, v$  we have the section  $u$  of  $\mathcal{O}(1)$ , so  $\pi_2^*(u)$  is a section of  $\pi_2^*(\mathcal{O}(1))$ . Combining these, we have a section  $s_0 = \pi_1^*(s) \otimes \pi_2^*(u)$  of  $\mathcal{L} = \pi_1^*\mathcal{O}(1) \otimes \pi_2^*\mathcal{O}(1)$ . Similarly, we have  $s_1 = \pi_1^*(s) \otimes \pi_2^*(v)$  and so on and one checks that these actually define the map  $f$ .

The line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  is sometimes denoted by  $\mathcal{O}(1, 1)$ . Similarly, one writes  $\mathcal{O}(a, b) = \pi_1^*\mathcal{O}(a) \otimes \pi_2^*\mathcal{O}(b)$ .

**Due May 9.**

---

Exercises with \* are possibly harder and should be considered as optional challenges.