

Classical Modular Forms Lecture 6

12.10.2017

(1/8)

Let us fix one omission from last week's lecture.

I claimed: $E_k(z) := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{(c,d)=1} \frac{1}{(cz+d)^k} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \backslash SL_2 \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{j(\gamma, z)^k}$
 for $k \geq 4$ even

where $\Gamma_\infty = \{ \pm T^n : n \in \mathbb{Z} \}$ is the "stabilizer of the cusp at ∞ "

We will explain this in more detail in following lectures.

It suffices to check

$$\langle T \rangle \backslash SL_2 \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow[\text{bij}]{} \{ (c,d) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : \gcd(c,d)=1 \}$$

Since $\pm Id$ acts trivially on $(cz+d)^k$ (k is even), it suffices to check the claim to prove the formula for the E_k Eisenstein series.

There is an evident map

$$SL_2 \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\varphi} \{ (c,d) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : \gcd(c,d)=1 \}$$

since $ad-bc=1 \Rightarrow (c,d)=1$.

We claim the image only depends on the orbit $\langle T \rangle \gamma \in SL_2 \mathbb{Z}$.

Indeed, let $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} : n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a+cn & b+nd \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} : n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

Thus φ is constant along left $\langle T \rangle$ -orbits.

So $\langle T \rangle \backslash SL_2 \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \{ (c,d) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : \gcd(c,d)=1 \}$ is a function.

It is surjective: Given $(c,d)=1$ $\exists ad-bc=1$
 "Bezant's formula" and thus $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2 \mathbb{Z}$

it is injective: let $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2\mathbb{Z}$ having same image.

(2/8)

then $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b\alpha - a\beta \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \langle \tau \rangle$.

so $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$ are in the same $\langle \tau \rangle$ -orbit.

Thus $\mathbb{H} / \langle \tau \rangle \cong SL_2\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{v_i} \{ (c,d) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 : \gcd(c,d) = 1 \}$.

~~Remark~~ More generally, we can define an Eisenstein series "at the cusp at ∞ " for each $\Gamma_0(q), k > 2$
 $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

~~Defn~~ $E_k(z) := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\infty \backslash \Gamma_0(q)} \bar{\chi}(\gamma) j(\gamma, z)^{-k} \in \mathcal{M}_k(\Gamma_0(q), \chi)$

by exactly the same proof.

Defn: Theta Functions

Recall $\Theta_\ell(z) := \Theta(z)^\ell$.

We have $\Theta_\ell \in \begin{cases} M_{\ell/2}(4, \chi_4) & \text{if } \ell \equiv 2(4) \\ M_{\ell/2}(4, \chi_0) & \text{if } \ell \equiv 0(4) \end{cases}$

where

| | | | | |
|----------|---|---|---|----|
| | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| χ_0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| χ_4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | -1 |

are the two Dirichlet characters modulo 4.

We have $\Theta_\ell(z) = \sum_{(n_1, \dots, n_\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell} e((n_1^2 + \dots + n_\ell^2)z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} r_\ell(n) e(lnz)$

where $r_\ell(n) = | \{ n_1^2 + \dots + n_\ell^2 = n \} |$

= # of representations of n as a sum of ℓ squares of integers.

Alternately: let $R_\ell(n) = \{ x = (x_1, \dots, x_\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}^\ell : Q_\ell(x) = n \}$
 where $Q_\ell(x_1, \dots, x_\ell) = x_1^2 + \dots + x_\ell^2$ is the Euclidean Quadratic form.

So, $r_2(n) = \#$ of vectors in \mathbb{R}^2 with integer coordinates which lie on the sphere of radius \sqrt{n} . (3/8)

Using Eisenstein series, Θ_4 , and Fourier expansions, and the fact that $\dim \mathcal{M}_2(\Gamma_0(4)) = 2$

we can show: ~~write~~

Theorem Lagrange 4 squares Theorem.

Every positive integer is expressible as a sum of four squares.

In fact: $r_4(n) = 8 \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ 4 \nmid d}} d \quad n \geq 1.$

Recall from the exercise session the weight two Eisenstein Series

$$G_2(z) := \sum_{c \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}_c'} \frac{1}{(cz+d)^2} \right) \quad \text{where } \mathbb{Z}_c' = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & c \neq 0 \\ \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} & c = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\text{or } \sum_{d \neq 0} \frac{1}{d^2} + \sum_{\substack{c \neq 0 \\ d \neq 0 \\ c \neq 0}} \left(\sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(cz+d)^2} \right)$$

This does not converge absolutely. However, recall you also showed by complex analysis

$$\sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{(z+d)^k} = \frac{(-2\pi i)^k}{(k-1)!} \sum_{m \geq 1} m^{k-1} e(mz) \quad \text{is valid } \forall k \geq 2.$$

$$\text{Then } G_2 = \sum_{d \neq 0} \frac{1}{d^2} = 2\zeta(2) - 8\pi^2 \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sigma_1(m) e(mz) \quad (*)$$

where we have not done any re-arrangement, nor used Fubini's Theorem.

In fact (*) is now an absolutely ~~convergent~~ ^{and} uniformly on compacta convergent formula for $G_2(z)$.

In fact: $G_2(z)$ is • Holomorphic on \mathbb{H} (4/8)
 • Satisfies a growth condition
 Is moderately growth
 (thanks to this "Fourier Expansion".)

We might as well take the Fourier expansion as the definition of G_2 .

Also in exercises you showed: $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2 \mathbb{Z}$

$$G_2 \Big|_2 \gamma(z) = G_2(z) - \frac{2\pi i c}{(cz+d)}, \text{ so } G_2(z) \text{ is Not$$

a modular form.

Note this is precisely because we don't have absolute convergence of the series defining G_2 .

~~Recall~~ However, we Recall: $\dim \mathcal{M}_k(SL_2 \mathbb{Z}) = \begin{cases} \lfloor \frac{k}{12} \rfloor + 1 & k \neq 2(12) \\ \lfloor \frac{k}{12} \rfloor & k \equiv 2(12) \end{cases}$

So this makes sense. OTOH, let $N \geq 1$, let

$$G_{2,N}(z) := G_2(z) - N G_2(Nz).$$

Claim: $G_{2,N}(z) \in \mathcal{M}_2(\Gamma_0(N))$.

Clear that $G_{2,N}(z)$ satisfies the growth condition and is holomorphic so it suffices to check modularity.

$\forall \gamma \in \Gamma_0(N)$

$$G_{2,N} \Big|_2 \gamma(z) = G_2 \Big|_2 \gamma(z) - N G_2^{(N)} \Big|_2 \gamma(z), \text{ where } G_2^{(N)}(z) := G_2(Nz)$$

$$= G_2 \Big|_2 \gamma(z) - \frac{2\pi i c}{cz+d} - N \frac{G_2(N\gamma z)}{(c\gamma z+d)^2}$$

Recall $N\gamma N^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a & bN \\ c/N & d \end{pmatrix} \in SL_2 \mathbb{Z}$, so

$$= G_2(z) - \frac{2\pi ic}{cz+d} - N \frac{G_2(Nz) - \frac{2\pi ic/N}{(\frac{c}{N}(Nz)+d)^2}}{(\frac{c}{N}(Nz)+d)^2}$$

5/8

$$= G_2(z) - \frac{2\pi ic}{cz+d} - N \left(G_2(Nz) - \frac{2\pi ic/N}{(\frac{c}{N}(Nz)+d)^2} \right)$$

$$= G_2(z) - N G_2(Nz) = G_{2,N}(z) \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

So we have another nice collection of examples of modular forms of weight $\underline{2}$ for the congruence subgroup $\Gamma_0(N)$.

Note: $G_{2,1} = 0$, which makes sense given the dimension formula.

Proof of 4 squares theorem:

Use Fourier expansions:

$$G_{2,2}(z) = G_2(z) - 2G_2(2z)$$

$$= -2\zeta(2) - 8\pi \sum_{n \geq 1} [\sigma_1(n) - 2\delta_{2|n} \sigma_1(n/2)] e(nz).$$

$\sigma_1(n)$ is multiplicative: if $(n,m)=1$ then $\sigma_1(n)\sigma_1(m) = \sigma_1(\frac{nm}{1})$.

So if n odd $\sigma_1(n) - 2\delta_{2|n} \sigma_1(n/2) = \sigma_1(n)$

if n even, write $n = 2^v m$, where m is odd

$$\sigma_1(n) - 2\delta_{2|n} \sigma_1(n/2) = \sigma_1(m) [\sigma_1(2^v) - 2\sigma_1(2^{v-1})]$$

telescopes.

$$= \sigma_1(m)$$

so,

$$G_{2,2}(z) = -2\zeta(2) - 8\pi^2 \sum_{n \geq 1} \left(\sum_{\substack{d|n \\ d \text{ odd}}} d \right) e(nz)$$

Similarly $G_{2,4}(z) = -\zeta(2) - 8\pi^2 \sum_{n \geq 1} \left(\sum_{\substack{d|n \\ 4 \nmid d}} d \right) e(nz)$

Now note that

$$G_{2,2} \in \mathcal{M}_2(\Gamma_0(2)) \subseteq \mathcal{M}_2(\Gamma_0(4))$$

$$G_{2,4} \in \mathcal{M}_2(\Gamma_0(4)).$$

~~Note~~ Note: $\zeta(2) = \pi^2/6$. . .

6/8

~~$G_{2,2}(z) = 1$~~ Now, we have $\dim \mathcal{M}_2(\Gamma_0(4)) = 2$

(we will prove this later)

$G_{2,2}$ and $G_{2,4}$ are obviously linearly dependent, therefore form a Basis for $\mathcal{M}_2(\Gamma_0(4))$.

But $\Theta_4 \in \mathcal{M}_2(\Gamma_0(4))$, so $\Theta_4 = aG_{2,2} + bG_{2,4}$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$.

We have, since $\zeta(2) = \pi^2/6$

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta_4(z) &= \sum_{n \geq 0} r_4(n) e(nz) \\ &= 1 + 8e(z) + 24e(2z) + \dots\end{aligned}$$

$$-\frac{3}{\pi^2} G_{2,2}(z) = 1 + 24e(z) + \dots$$

$$-\frac{1}{\pi^2} G_{2,4}(z) = 1 + 8e(z) + \dots$$

Therefore $\Theta_4(z) = -\frac{1}{\pi^2} G_{2,4}(z)$.

By equating Fourier coeffs we have proved the 4 squares theorem

Remarks: The 2, 4, 6, 8 squares problems also admit similar elegant exact formulas.

For all $k \geq 2$, there is an asymptotic solution: \exists a "nice" function $\tilde{r}_k(n)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{r_k(n)}{\tilde{r}_k(n)} = 1$.

This ~~also~~ ^{also} generalizes to arbitrary Quadratic forms! (TBA...)
This will be addressed later in the course.

To prove dimension formulas, we need to develop more theory:
 Fourier Expansions, and geometry of $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$ for Γ congruence.

7/8

Fourier Expansions

Let f be a modular form relative to Γ . Let Γ a congruence subgroup.

Then $\exists h > 0$ st $T^h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & h \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$,

indeed, since $\Gamma(q) \subseteq \Gamma$ for some $q \geq 1$, we can take $h=q$.

We suppose h is minimal for this property:

Def The minimal such h is called "The width of the cusp of Γ at ∞ ".

We have $T^h f(z) = f(z+h) = f(z)$, i.e. for any $y > 0$, the function $x \mapsto f(x+iy)$ is h -periodic.

We have a Fourier expansion:

$$f(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_f(n; y) e\left(\frac{nx}{h}\right).$$

with $c_f(n; y) = \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h f(iy+u) e\left(-\frac{nu}{h}\right) du$.

Since f is holomorphic it satisfies the Cauchy-Riemann eqns:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$$

So: $\frac{\partial}{\partial y} c_f(n; y) = \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \frac{\partial}{\partial y} f(iy+u) e\left(-\frac{nu}{h}\right) du$

$$= -\frac{i}{h} \int_0^h f(iy+u) \frac{\partial}{\partial u} e\left(-\frac{nu}{h}\right) du = -\frac{2\pi n}{h} c_f(n; y)$$

(integration by parts!

periodicity!)

Thus $c_f(n; y) = a_f(n) e^{-2\pi ny/h} = a_f(n) e(niy/h)$

Therefore

$$f(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_f(n) e\left(n \frac{z}{h}\right)$$

with

$$a_f(n) = e^{\frac{2\pi ny}{h}} \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h f(u+iy) e\left(-\frac{nu}{h}\right) du.$$

The function $y^{k/2} |f(z)| =: F(z)$ is of moderate growth, so

for $n < 0$ we have

$$|a_f(n)| \leq C y^{-k/2} (y+y^{-1})^A e^{2\pi ny} \quad \text{as } y \rightarrow \infty$$

Hence if $n < 0$ then $|a_f(n)| = 0$.

Definition The expansion

$$f(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_f(n) e\left(n \frac{z}{h}\right) \text{ is called}$$

the Fourier expansion of f at ∞ for Γ . The numbers $a_f(n)$

$n \geq 0$ are called the Fourier coefficients of f . The 0-th coefficient is called the constant term of this expansion.

We can give a bound for the Fourier coefficients:

Prop If A is the exponent appearing in the growth condition for f , then one has

$$|a_f(n)| \leq C n^{k/2+A}.$$

In particular, if f is cuspidal,

$$|a_f(n)| \leq C n^{k/2}.$$

Proof For $y > 0$

$$|a_f(n)| \leq C y^{-k/2} (y+y^{-1})^A e^{\frac{2\pi ny}{h}}$$

Let $y = 1/n$.

Q.E.D.

Therefore the Fourier expansion converges rapidly and $f(z) = a_f(0) + O\left(e^{-\frac{2\pi y}{h}}\right)$ as $y \rightarrow \infty$.