Solutions Sheet 5

NOETHERIAN RINGS, MODULES AND TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

- 1. Let A be a ring. If A[X] is Noetherian, is A necessarily Noetherian? Solution: Yes, because $A \cong A[X]/(X)$ and a quotient of a Noetherian ring is Noetherian.
- 2. Let A be a ring such that every localisation $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ at a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$ is Noetherian. Is A necessarily Noetherian?

Solution: No. A counterexample is $R := \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, an infinite product of copies of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$. The ring R is not Noetherian, since $(\prod_{0 \leq i \leq n} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a strictly increasing chain of ideals. It is not hard to see that every element in R is idempotent, i.e. for every $a \in R$ we have $a^2 = a$. Pick a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset R$. Let $a \in \mathfrak{p}$. Then clearly $1 - a \notin \mathfrak{p}$ and we have $a(1 - a) = a - a^2 = 0$. Thus a = 0 in the localisation $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. But every element $b \notin \mathfrak{p}$ becomes a unit in $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$. We conclude that $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a field and in particular Noetherian. This provides a counter example.

- 3. Which of the following rings over \mathbb{C} are Noetherian?
 - (a) The ring of rational functions of z having no pole on the circle |z| = 1.
 - (b) The ring of power series in z with a positive radius of convergence.
 - (c) The ring of power series in z with an infinite radius of convergence.
 - (d) The ring of polynomials in z whose first k derivatives vanish at the origin, where k is a fixed non-negative integer.
 - (e) The ring of polynomials in z, w whose partial derivatives with respect to w vanish for z = 0.

Solution: (Thanks to JJ for these solutions)

(a) It is Noetherian: Define

$$S := \mathbb{C}[z] \smallsetminus \bigcup_{\substack{a \in \mathbb{C} \\ |a|=1}} (z-a)$$

Then S is a multiplicative subset of $\mathbb{C}[z]$ and $S^{-1}\mathbb{C}[z]$ is the ring of rational functions having no pole on the unit circle. Since \mathbb{C} is a field and thus Noetherian, the Hilbert Basis Theorem states that $\mathbb{C}[z]$ is Noetherian. Further, the Noetherian property is preserved by localization, hence this ring is Noetherian.

- (b) It is Noetherian: We can identify the ring of power series with positive radius of convergence with the ring of germs of holomorphic functions at z = 0. The elements of the latter are defined as equivalence classes of tuples (U, f)consisting of an open set U containing 0 and a holomorphic function $f: U \to \mathbb{C}$. Two such tuples (U, f) and (V, g) are equivalent whenever f and g agree on some subset of $U \cap V$ containing 0. Denote this ring by R. If a non-zero element in R represented by (U, f) satisfies $f(0) \neq 0$, then this element is a unit. On the other hand, if f(0) = 0, then there exist an integer $k \ge 1$ and unit (V, h) such that $f = z^k h$. From this follows that every non-zero ideal is of the form (z^k) for some k. Moreover $(z^k) \subset (z^\ell)$ precisely when $\ell \le k$. Since every decreasing sequence of positive integers becomes constant, we see that R is Noetherian.
- (c) It is not Noetherian: Let R denote the ring in question. This can be identified with the ring of holomorphic functions on \mathbb{C} . For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ define

$$I_n = \{ f \in R \mid f(k) = 0 \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } |k| \ge n \}$$

Then each I_n is an ideal, and properly contained in I_{n+1} (take for example (the continuation of) $\frac{\sin(\pi z)}{\prod_{k=-n}^{n}(z-k)}$). Thus $I_1 \subsetneq I_2 \subsetneq I_3 \subsetneq \ldots$ is a strictly increasing sequence of ideals. Hence R is not Noetherian.

- (d) It is Noetherian: Let $S = \mathbb{C} + z^{k+1}\mathbb{C}[z] \subset \mathbb{C}[z]$ be the ring of polynomials whose first k derivatives vanish at the origin. Consider the subring $R = \mathbb{C}[z^{k+1}]$. Then S is generated as an R-module by the elements $1, z^{k+2}, \ldots, z^{2k+1}$. Hence S is Noetherian as an R-module (a quotient of a free R-module). But if a ring is Noetherian over a subring then it is already Noetherian.
- (e) It is not Noetherian: Let $R = \mathbb{C}[z, zw, zw^2, zw^3, \dots] \subset \mathbb{C}[z, w]$. Then R is the ring of polynomials p such that $\frac{\partial p}{\partial w}(0, w) = 0$. Let $I_n := (z, zw, \dots, zw^n)$. We claim that $zw^{n+1} \notin I_n$, which implies that the I_n form a strictly increasing sequence of ideals. Consider a general element $f = \sum_{k=0}^n b_k zw^k$ in I_n , where $b_k \in R$. Any monomial of b_k which is divided by w is also divided by z. Thus we find that all the monomials of f are either a scalar multiple of $z^m w^n$ for some $m \ge 2$ or of zw^k for some $0 \le k \le n$. Hence indeed $zw^{n+1} \notin I_n$.
- 4. Let k be a field which is finitely generated as \mathbb{Z} -module. Prove that k is a finite field.

Solution: Assume by contradiction that $\operatorname{char}(k) = 0$. Since k is finitely generated as \mathbb{Z} -module and \mathbb{Z} is Noetherian, we know that k is Noetherian as module over \mathbb{Z} . But $\mathbb{Q} \subset k$ is a submodule and thus \mathbb{Q} is finitely generated as \mathbb{Z} -module which we know is not true. A contradiction. Now assume that $\operatorname{char}(k) = p > 0$. Then $\mathbb{F}_p \subset k$. Since k is finitely generated as \mathbb{Z} -module, it is finitely generated as \mathbb{F}_p -module. Thus k is finite.

- 5. Let X be a topological space. We say that X is *Noetherian*, if the open subsets of X satisfy the ascending chain condition, i.e. for every chain $U_i \subset U_{i+1}$ for $i \ge 0$ of open subsets, there is an integer $n \ge 0$ such that $U_i = U_{i+1}$ for all $i \ge n$. Prove:
 - (a) A Noetherian space is quasi-compact.
 - (b) If A is a Noetherian ring, then $\operatorname{spec}(A)$ is Noetherian. Is the converse also true?

Solution:

(a) Let $\bigcup_{i \in I} V_i = X$ be an open covering of X. Without loss of generality we can assume $I = \mathbb{N}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ define

$$U_n := \bigcup_{i \leqslant n} V_i.$$

We see that $U_n \subset U_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since X is Noetherian, we conclude that there is an integer $N \ge 0$ such that $U_i = U_{i+1}$ for all $i \ge N$, which implies that $U_N = \bigcup_{i \le N} V_i = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} V_i = X$. Hence there is a finite subcover and this proves that X is quasi-compact.

(b) We will use exercise 6 from exercise sheet 1. Let $(U_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be an ascending chain of open subsets of $\operatorname{spec}(R)$. For every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ let $I_i \subset R$ be an ideal such that $\operatorname{spec}(R) \smallsetminus V(I_i) = U_i$. We conclude that $V(I_i) \supset V(I_{i+1})$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore $I(V(I_i)) \subset I(V(I_{i+1}))$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Since R is Noetherian we conclude that the chain of ideals $I(V(I_i))$ becomes stationary and thus the chain $V(I(V(I_i))) = V(I_i)$ becomes stationary. This implies that the chain U_i becomes stationary.

The converse is not true. Consider the ring $A := k[X_1, X_2, \ldots]/(X_1^2, X_2^2, \ldots)$ for a field k, i.e. the quotient of the polynomial ring in infinitely many variables modulo the ideal generated by all squares of variables. Let $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$ be prime ideal. Then the ideal (X_1, X_2, \ldots) is contained in \mathfrak{p} . But the ideal (X_1, X_2, \ldots) is already maximal. Hence spec(A) is only one point and trivially Noetherian as topological space. On the other hand, the ideals $I_n :=$ (X_1, \ldots, X_n) for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ form a strictly ascending chain in A, which proves that A is not Noetherian.