

11.1. Dual Operators

(a) Let $x \in X$ and $x^* \in X^*$ be arbitrary. By definition of $(\text{Id}_X)^*: X^* \rightarrow X^*$, we have

$$\langle (\text{Id}_X)^* x^*, x \rangle_{X^* \times X} = \langle x^*, \text{Id}_X x \rangle_{X^* \times X} = \langle x^*, x \rangle_{X^* \times X}.$$

Since $x \in X$ is arbitrary, $(\text{Id}_X)^* x^* = x^*$. Since $x^* \in X^*$ is arbitrary, $(\text{Id}_X)^* = \text{Id}_{(X^*)}$.

(b) Let $z^* \in Z^*$ and $x \in X$ be arbitrary. Then, $(S \circ T)^* = T^* \circ S^*$ follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (S \circ T)^* z^*, x \rangle_{X^* \times X} &= \langle z^*, S(Tx) \rangle_{Z^* \times Z} \\ &= \langle S^* z^*, Tx \rangle_{Y^* \times Y} = \langle T^*(S^* z^*), x \rangle_{X^* \times X}. \end{aligned}$$

(c) To prove $(T^*)^{-1} = (T^{-1})^*$, we apply the results from (a) and (b) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T^* \circ (T^{-1})^* &= (T^{-1} \circ T)^* = (\text{Id}_X)^* = \text{Id}_{X^*}, \\ (T^{-1})^* \circ T^* &= (T \circ T^{-1})^* = (\text{Id}_Y)^* = \text{Id}_{Y^*}. \end{aligned}$$

(d) Let $x \in X$ and $y^* \in Y^*$ be arbitrary. Then, $(\mathcal{I}_Y \circ T) = (T^*)^* \circ \mathcal{I}_X$ follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\mathcal{I}_Y \circ T)x, y^* \rangle_{Y^{**} \times Y^*} &= \langle Tx, y^* \rangle_{Y \times Y^*} = \langle x, T^* y^* \rangle_{X \times X^*} \\ &= \langle \mathcal{I}_X x, T^* y^* \rangle_{X^{**} \times X^*} = \langle (T^*)^*(\mathcal{I}_X x), y^* \rangle_{Y^{**} \times Y^*}. \end{aligned}$$

11.2. Isomorphisms and Isometries

(a) The dual operator T^* of any $T \in L(X, Y)$ with $T^{-1} \in L(Y, X)$ is invertible according to problem 11.1 (c) and its inverse is $(T^*)^{-1} = (T^{-1})^*$. Moreover, the assumption $T^{-1} \in L(Y, X)$ implies $(T^{-1})^* \in L(X^*, Y^*)$. Hence, T^* is an isomorphism.

(b) If T is an isometric isomorphism, then T^* is an isomorphism by (a) and

$$\|T^* y^*\|_{X^*} = \sup_{\|x\|_X \leq 1} |\langle T^* y^*, x \rangle_{X^* \times X}| = \sup_{\|Tx\|_Y = \|x\|_X \leq 1} |\langle y^*, Tx \rangle_{Y^* \times Y}| = \|y^*\|_{Y^*}.$$

(c) If X and Y are reflexive, $\mathcal{I}_X: X \rightarrow X^{**}$ and $\mathcal{I}_Y: Y \rightarrow Y^{**}$ are bijective isometries. If T^* is an (isometric) isomorphism, then (a) and (b) imply that $(T^*)^*$ is an (isometric) isomorphism. Applying the result of problem 11.1 (d), we see that the same holds for

$$T = \mathcal{I}_Y^{-1} \circ (T^*)^* \circ \mathcal{I}_X.$$

(d) Since X is reflexive by assumption, \mathcal{I}_X is an isomorphism. Suppose, $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is an isomorphism. Applying part (a) twice, $(T^*)^*$ is an isomorphism. Moreover,

$$\mathcal{I}_Y = (T^*)^* \circ \mathcal{I}_X \circ T^{-1}$$

according to 11.1 (d). Since \mathcal{I}_Y is a composition of isomorphisms, Y is reflexive.

11.3. Minimal Energy

(a) Given a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ and $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$, the goal is weak sequential continuity of the map

$$V: L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$f \mapsto \int_{\Omega} \int_{\Omega} g(x-y)f(x)f(y) dy dx$$

Claim 1. The linear operator $T: L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ mapping $f \mapsto Tf$ given by

$$(Tf)(x) = \int_{\Omega} g(x-y)f(y) dy$$

is well defined.

Proof. Let $f \in L^2(\Omega)$. Then, by Hölder's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tf\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &= \int_{\Omega} |(Tf)(x)|^2 dx = \int_{\Omega} \left| \int_{\Omega} g(x-y)f(y) dy \right|^2 dx \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_{\Omega} |g(x-y)f(y)| dy \right)^2 dx \leq \int_{\Omega} \left(\int_{\Omega} |g(x-y)|^2 dy \right) \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 dx \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^m)}^2 \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 dx \leq |\Omega| \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^m)}^2 \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ being bounded has finite volume $|\Omega| < \infty$, the claim follows. \square

Claim 2. Let $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $L^2(\Omega)$ such that $f_k \xrightarrow{w} f$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Then, $\|Tf_k - Tf\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, where T is as in claim 1.

Remark. In view of problem 11.4 (e), claim 2 states that T is a *compact operator*.

Proof. Since the sequence $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is weakly convergent, it is bounded (by Satz 4.6.1): $\exists C > 0 \forall k \in \mathbb{N}: \|f_k\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C$. For every fixed $x_0 \in \Omega$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there holds

$$\begin{aligned} |(Tf_k)(x_0)| &\leq \int_{\Omega} |g(x_0-y)f_k(y)| dy \leq \left(\int_{\Omega} |g(x_0-y)|^2 dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} |f_k(y)|^2 dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^m)} \|f_k\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, the map $f_k \mapsto (Tf_k)(x_0)$ is a linear, continuous functional $L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, weak convergence $f_k \xrightarrow{w} f$ implies $(Tf_k)(x_0) \rightarrow (Tf)(x_0)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. In other words, the function Tf converges pointwise to Tf_k . Moreover,

$$|(Tf_k)(x_0)| \leq \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^m)} \|f_k\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^m)}$$

uniformly for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Since Ω is bounded, the constant $C \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^m)}$ on the right hand side is trivially in $L^2(\Omega)$. Hence, the claim follows by dominated convergence. \square

Claim 3. Let $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $L^2(\Omega)$ such that $f_k \xrightarrow{w} f$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Then, $V(f_k) \rightarrow V(f)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, i. e. V is weakly sequentially continuous.

Proof. Let T be as in claim 1. Since $f_k \xrightarrow{w} f$ and $\|Tf_k - Tf\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ by claim 2, we conclude

$$V(f_k) = \int_{\Omega} f_k(x) \int_{\Omega} g(x-y) f_k(y) dy dx = \langle f_k, Tf_k \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle f, Tf \rangle = V(f),$$

using the continuity property of scalar products proven in problem 9.6 (b). \square

(b) Given V as in (a) with $0 \leq g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^m)$ and $h \in L^2(\Omega)$ the claim is that the map

$$E: L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ f \mapsto \|f - h\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + V(f)$$

restricted to $L_+^2(\Omega) = \{f \in L^2(\Omega) \mid f(x) \geq 0 \text{ for almost every } x \in \Omega\}$ attains a global minimum. Since $L^2(\Omega)$ is reflexive (being a Hilbert space), we may invoke the direct method in the calculus of variations if we prove the following claims.

Claim 4. $L_+^2(\Omega)$ is non-empty and weakly sequentially closed.

Proof. Clearly, $L_+^2(\Omega) \ni 0$ is non-empty. Let $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $L_+^2(\Omega)$ such that $f_k \xrightarrow{w} f$ for some $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Suppose $f \notin L_+^2(\Omega)$. Then there exists $U \subset \Omega$ with positive measure such that $f|_U < 0$. In particular, we can test the weak convergence with the characteristic function χ_U to obtain the contradiction

$$0 > \langle f, \chi_U \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle f_k, \chi_U \rangle \geq 0. \quad \square$$

Claim 5. $E: L_+^2(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is coercive and weakly sequentially lower semi-continuous.

Proof. Since $V(f) \geq 0$ if both $g \geq 0$ and $f \geq 0$ almost everywhere, we have

$$E(f) \geq \|f - h\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \geq \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - 2\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\|h\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|h\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ \geq \frac{1}{2}\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - \|h\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$$

for every $f \in L_+^2(\Omega)$ using Young's inequality $2ab \leq \frac{1}{2}a^2 + 2b^2$. Since $h \in L^2(\Omega)$ is fixed, E is coercive.

By part (a), $f \mapsto V(f)$ is weakly sequentially lower semi-continuous. Moreover, every term on the right hand side of

$$\|f - h\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - 2\langle f, h \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|h\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$$

is weakly sequentially lower semi-continuous in f since h is fixed. This proves the claim. \square

11.4. Compact Operators

(a) The claim is that $T \in L(X, Y)$ is a compact operator, i. e. $\overline{T(B_1(0))} \subset Y$ is compact, if and only if every bounded sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X has a subsequence $(x_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $(Tx_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is convergent in Y .

“ \Rightarrow ” Let $T \in L(X, Y)$ be a compact operator. Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a bounded sequence in X . Then there exists $M > 0$ such that $\|x_n\|_X < M$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, $\frac{1}{M}x_n \in B_1(0) \subset X$ and $\frac{1}{M}Tx_n \in T(B_1(0))$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $\overline{T(B_1(0))} \subset Y$ is compact, a subsequence $(\frac{1}{M}Tx_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges in Y . Hence, $(Tx_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ also converges.

“ \Leftarrow ” Conversely, let $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be any sequence in $\overline{T(B_1(0))}$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $y'_n \in T(B_1(0))$ such that $\|y_n - y'_n\|_Y \leq \frac{1}{n}$. Since there exists a sequence $(x'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $B_1(0) \subset X$ such that $Tx'_n = y'_n$, a subsequence $y'_{n_k} \rightarrow y$ converges in Y as $k \rightarrow \infty$ by assumption. Since $\|y_{n_k} - y\|_Y \leq \|y_{n_k} - y'_{n_k}\|_Y + \|y'_{n_k} - y\|_Y \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ we conclude that a subsequence of $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges. Being closed, $\overline{T(B_1(0))}$ must contain the limit y which proves that $\overline{T(B_1(0))}$ is compact, i. e. T is a compact operator.

(b) Part (a) and linearity of the limit imply that the set of compact operators $K(X, Y) \subset L(X, Y)$ is a linear subspace. To prove that this subspace is closed, let $(T_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $K(X, Y)$ such that $\|T_k - T\|_{L(X, Y)} \rightarrow 0$ for some $T \in L(X, Y)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. To show $T \in K(X, Y)$, consider a bounded sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X and choose the nested, unbounded subsets $\mathbb{N} \supset \Lambda_1 \supseteq \Lambda_2 \supseteq \dots$ such that $(T_k x_n)_{n \in \Lambda_k}$ is convergent in Y with limit point $y_k \in Y$. This is possible by (a), since T_k is a compact operator for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{N}$ be the corresponding diagonal sequence (i. e. the k -th number in Λ is the k -th number in Λ_k). By continuity of $\|\cdot\|_Y$, we can estimate

$$\|y_k - y_m\|_Y = \lim_{\Lambda \ni n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_k x_n - T_m x_n\|_Y \leq \|T_k - T_m\|_{L(X, Y)} \sup_{n \in \Lambda} \|x_n\|_X$$

for any $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $(T_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is convergent in $L(X, Y)$, we conclude that $(y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in Y . Since $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ is assumed to be complete, $y_k \rightarrow y$ for some $y \in Y$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. We claim that $(Tx_n)_{n \in \Lambda}$ also converges to y which would finish the proof of $T \in K(X, Y)$ by (a). Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Choose a fixed $\kappa \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\|T - T_\kappa\|_{L(X, Y)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{3 \sup_{n \in \Lambda} \|x_n\|_X}, \quad \|y_\kappa - y\|_Y \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

Since $T_\kappa x_n \rightarrow y_\kappa$ as $\Lambda \ni n \rightarrow \infty$, there exists $N \in \Lambda$ such that for every $\Lambda \ni n \geq N$ $\|T_\kappa x_n - y_\kappa\|_Y \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$. Finally, the claim follows from the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx_n - y\|_Y &\leq \|Tx_n - T_\kappa x_n\|_Y + \|T_\kappa x_n - y_\kappa\|_Y + \|y_\kappa - y\|_Y \\ &\leq \|T - T_\kappa\|_{L(X, Y)} \sup_{n \in \Lambda} \|x_n\|_X + \|T_\kappa x_n - y_\kappa\|_Y + \|y_\kappa - y\|_Y < \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

which holds for every $\Lambda \ni n \geq N$.

(c) The image of $B_1(0)$ under $T \in L(X, Y)$ is bounded. If $T(X) \subset Y$ is of finite dimension, then $\overline{T(B_1(0))}$ is compact as a bounded, closed subset of $T(X)$.

(d) Let $T \in L(X, Y)$ and $S \in L(Y, Z)$. Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be any bounded sequence in X . Suppose T is a compact operator. Then, a subsequence $(Tx_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is convergent in Y by (a). Since S is continuous, $(STx_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is convergent in Z , which by (a) proves that $S \circ T$ is a compact operator.

Suppose S is a compact operator. Since T is continuous, the sequence $(Tx_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in Y . Then, a subsequence $(STx_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is convergent in Z by (a), which again proves that $S \circ T$ is a compact operator.

(e) Let $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be any bounded sequence in X . Since X is reflexive, a subsequence $(x_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly in X by the Eberlein–Šmulian theorem. Then, $(Tx_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is norm-convergent in Y by assumption and (a) implies that T is a compact operator.