

## Solutions 2

### COHERENT AND QUASI-COHERENT SHEAVES

**Convention:** Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of schemes. Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module and let  $\mathcal{G}$  be an  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module. We will often refer to the direct (resp. inverse) image sheaf  $f_*\mathcal{F}$  (resp.  $f^*\mathcal{G}$ ) as the pushforward of  $\mathcal{F}$  (resp. pullback of  $\mathcal{G}$ ).

Fix a locally noetherian scheme  $X$ .

1. Let  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_X$  be a quasi-coherent sheaf of ideals that is locally free as an  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module. Show that  $\mathcal{I}$  is an invertible  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module, unless ... what?

**Solution:** Let  $U$  be a non-empty open affine subscheme of  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{I}|_U$  is free. Then  $U = \text{Spec } A$  and  $\mathcal{I} = \tilde{I}$  for an ideal  $I \subset A$  and  $I \cong A^{(S)}$  as an  $A$ -module for some set  $S$ . Here  $S = \emptyset$  if and only if  $I = 0$ , which is of course possible. If  $|S| = 1$ , then  $\mathcal{I}|_U$  is free of rank 1, hence invertible. We claim that these are the only possible cases.

Since  $A$  is non-zero, it possesses a minimal prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Then  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a local ring with precisely one prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . If  $S \neq \emptyset$ , the ideal  $I_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  contains an element  $x$  such that the map  $A_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow A_{\mathfrak{p}}x, y \mapsto yx$  is an isomorphism. Thus  $x$  is not a zero divisor of  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ ; hence there exists a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{q} \subset A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  with  $x \notin \mathfrak{q}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ ; hence  $x \in A_{\mathfrak{p}} \setminus \mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{p}} = A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\times}$ . Therefore  $I_{\mathfrak{p}} = A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , which is a free  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module of rank 1 as well as of rank  $|S|$ ; hence  $|S| = 1$ , as desired.

Varying  $U$  the above claim implies that  $X$  is the disjoint union of two open subschemes  $X_0$  and  $X_1$  such that  $\mathcal{I}|_{X_0} = 0$  and  $\mathcal{I}|_{X_1}$  is invertible. An appropriate answer is therefore that  $\mathcal{I}$  is invertible precisely if  $\mathcal{I}_x \neq 0$  for all  $x \in X$ .

(If  $X$  is locally noetherian, one can also argue as follows: Since  $A$  is noetherian, the local ring  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is non-zero artinian, hence of finite positive length, say  $\ell$ . The length of  $I_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is thus  $\leq \ell$ , but since  $I_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong A_{\mathfrak{p}}^{(S)}$ , it is also  $= |S| \cdot \ell$ . Therefore  $|S| \leq 1$ .)

- \*2. For any short exact sequence of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules  $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'' \rightarrow 0$ , show that if two of  $\mathcal{F}'$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $\mathcal{F}''$  are quasi-coherent, resp. coherent, so is the third.

**Solution:** See Hartshorne, Proposition II.5.7.

3. Show that if  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  are coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules, so is  $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G})$ .

**Solution:** Since the question is local, we may assume that  $X = \text{Spec } A$  is affine, and that  $\mathcal{F} = \tilde{M}$  and  $\mathcal{G} = \tilde{N}$ , where  $M$  and  $N$  are finitely generated  $A$ -modules. By part (a) of Sheet 1, exercise 5, we have  $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\tilde{M}, \tilde{N}) \cong (\text{Hom}_A(M, N))^{\sim}$ . We are thus reduced to showing that  $\text{Hom}_A(M, N)$  is finitely generated as an  $A$ -module.

For this we observe that  $M \cong A^n/N$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$  and some submodule  $L \subset A^n$ . Thus  $\text{Hom}_A(M, N) \cong \text{Hom}_A(A^n/L, N)$ . By the left exactness of  $\text{Hom}$  the latter is an  $A$ -submodule of  $\text{Hom}_A(A^n, N) \cong N^n$ , which is itself finitely generated. Since we assumed  $A$  is noetherian, every submodule of a finitely generated module is also finitely generated, and the desired result follows.

4. Consider a morphism  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ . Is there a natural homomorphism between  $(f_*\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} (f_*\mathcal{F})$  and  $f_*(\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{F})$ ? When is it an isomorphism?

**Solution:** There is a natural homomorphism, defined as follows: For any open  $V \subset Y$  there is a natural homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(f^{-1}(V)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y(V)} \mathcal{F}(f^{-1}(V)) &\rightarrow \mathcal{E}(f^{-1}(V)) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X(f^{-1}(V))} \mathcal{F}(f^{-1}(V)) \\ s \otimes t &\mapsto s \otimes t. \end{aligned}$$

Composing this with the sheafification morphism to  $(\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{F})(f^{-1}(V))$ , we obtain a morphism of presheaves  $((f_*\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} (f_*\mathcal{F}))_{pre} \rightarrow f_*(\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{F})$ , and the universal property of sheafification yields the desired morphism

$$\alpha: (f_*\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} (f_*\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow f_*(\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{F}).$$

This is not usually an isomorphism. Let  $X = \text{Spec } B$  and  $Y = \text{Spec } A$  and suppose  $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_X$ . The morphism  $f$  corresponds to a homomorphism  $f^\flat: A \rightarrow B$ , making  $B$  into an  $A$ -algebra. For a  $B$ -module  $M$ , we write  $M|_A$  for  $M$  as an  $A$ -module. Then  $(f_*\mathcal{E}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_Y} (f_*\mathcal{F}) \cong (B|_A \otimes_A B|_A)^\sim$  and  $f_*(\mathcal{E} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{F}) \cong ((B \otimes_B B)|_A)^\sim \cong (B|_A)^\sim$ . Thus  $\alpha$  being an isomorphism is equivalent to  $B|_A \otimes_A B|_A \cong B|_A$ . A typical counterexample is  $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C} \not\cong \mathbb{C}$ .

We claim that  $\alpha$  is an isomorphism if  $f$  is a closed embedding. Indeed, since the question is local, we may reduce to the case where  $Y = \text{Spec } A$  and  $X = \text{Spec } A/I$  for some ideal  $I \subset A$ . We may assume further that  $\mathcal{E} = \tilde{M}$  and  $\mathcal{F} = \tilde{N}$ , for  $A/I$ -modules  $M$  and  $N$ . Then  $\alpha$  corresponds to the natural isomorphism  $M \otimes_A N \xrightarrow{\sim} M \otimes_{A/I} N$ ,  $m \otimes n \mapsto m \otimes n$ .

5. Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of schemes, and let  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathcal{G}'$  be two  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -modules. Define a natural homomorphism of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules

$$\alpha: f^* \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}') \rightarrow \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(f^*\mathcal{G}, f^*\mathcal{G}'),$$

functorial in  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathcal{G}'$ . Show that  $\alpha$  is an isomorphism if  $\mathcal{G}$  is locally free of finite rank.

**Solution:** By adjunction, defining  $\alpha$  is equivalent to defining a morphism

$$\beta: \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}') \rightarrow f_* \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(f^*\mathcal{G}, f^*\mathcal{G}').$$

Let  $V \subset Y$  be open, and let  $U := f^{-1}(V)$ . We have

$$f_* \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(f^* \mathcal{G}, f^* \mathcal{G}')|_V = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_U}(f^* \mathcal{G}|_U, f^* \mathcal{G}'|_U).$$

Consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U & \xrightarrow{i} & X \\ \downarrow \bar{f} & & \downarrow f \\ V & \xrightarrow{j} & Y, \end{array}$$

where  $i$  and  $j$  are the natural inclusions and  $\bar{f} := f|_U$ . Then we have

$$f^* \mathcal{G}|_U = i^*(f^* \mathcal{G}) \cong (f \circ i)^* \mathcal{G} = (j \circ \bar{f})^* \mathcal{G} \cong \bar{f}^*(j^* \mathcal{G}) = \bar{f}^*(\mathcal{G}|_V)$$

and similarly for  $\mathcal{G}'$ . Thus there exists a natural isomorphism

$$\varphi: \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_U}(\bar{f}^*(\mathcal{G}|_V), \bar{f}^*(\mathcal{G}'|_V)) \xrightarrow{\sim} f_* \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(f^* \mathcal{G}, f^* \mathcal{G}')|_V.$$

Since  $\bar{f}^*$  is a functor, there is a natural map

$$\tilde{\beta}_V: \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_V}(\mathcal{G}|_V, \mathcal{G}'|_V) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_U}(\bar{f}^*(\mathcal{G}|_V), \bar{f}^*(\mathcal{G}'|_V)).$$

This is in fact a morphism of  $\mathcal{O}_Y(V)$ -modules and is compatible with restriction. We define  $\beta_V := \varphi \circ \tilde{\beta}_V$ . Varying  $V$ , we obtain the desired morphism of sheaves  $\beta$ .

We turn to the question of showing  $\alpha$  is an isomorphism when  $\mathcal{G}$  is locally free of finite rank. Since the question is local on the base, we reduce to the case where  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{O}_Y^n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ . The case  $n = 0$  is trivial. For  $n \geq 1$ , we first use the fact that  $\mathcal{H}om$ ,  $f^*$ , and  $f_*$  all commute with finite sums to reduce to the case where  $n = 1$ . In this case we have natural isomorphisms  $f^* \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_Y}(\mathcal{O}_Y, \mathcal{G}') \cong f^* \mathcal{G}'$  and  $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{O}_X}(f^* \mathcal{O}_Y, f^* \mathcal{G}') \cong f^* \mathcal{G}'$ , where we have used Sheet 1, exercise 2b and the fact that  $f^* \mathcal{O}_Y \cong \mathcal{O}_X$ . So we at least know that both sides are isomorphic. To see that  $\alpha$  is itself an isomorphism, we use similar identifications to show that  $\beta$  corresponds to the adjunction  $\mathcal{G}' \rightarrow f_* f^* \mathcal{G}'$ . It follows that  $\alpha$  corresponds to the identity on  $f^* \mathcal{G}'$  (by definition of the adjunction morphism) and is thus an isomorphism.

*Note:* Showing  $\alpha$  is an isomorphism is **not** equivalent to showing  $\beta$  is an isomorphism.

6. Prove that for any noetherian scheme  $X$ :

- (a) Suppose  $X$  is affine. Prove that any quasi-coherent sheaf on  $X$  is a sum of coherent subsheaves.
- (b) For general  $X$ , show that any coherent sheaf on an open subscheme of  $X$  is the restriction of a coherent sheaf on  $X$ .

\*\* (c) Prove (a) for general  $X$ .

*Hint:* For (b), first prove the affine case. For the embedding  $j : U \hookrightarrow X$  and a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $U$  look at the sheaf  $j_*\mathcal{F}$ .

**Solution:**

(a) When  $X = \text{Spec } A$ , then the statement translates to the following fact: An  $A$ -module  $M$  is the sum of its finitely generated submodules. This is clear since any  $m \in M$  is contained in the submodule  $A \cdot m \subset M$ . We return to the case of general  $X$  after proving part (b).

(b) Suppose  $X = \text{Spec } A$ . Let  $U \subset X$  be open and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a coherent sheaf on  $U$ . Since  $U$  is noetherian, we may apply Proposition II.5.8 in Hartshorne or Problem 3 of Sheet 3 to deduce that  $j_*\mathcal{F}$  is quasi-coherent on  $X$ . By part (a), we have that  $j_*\mathcal{F}$  is the sum of its coherent subsheaves, i.e.  $j_*\mathcal{F} = \sum_{i \in I} \mathcal{G}_i$ , where  $\mathcal{G}_i$  runs over all coherent subsheaves of  $j_*\mathcal{F}$ . We note that  $j^*$  is exact in this case since it corresponds to the exact functor  $\mathcal{G} \mapsto \mathcal{G}|_U$ . Thus  $j^*$  preserves inclusion, so the  $j^*\mathcal{G}_i$  are subsheaves of  $j^*j_*\mathcal{F}$ . The sum  $\sum_{i \in I} \mathcal{G}_i$  is defined to be the image of the morphism  $\bigoplus \mathcal{G}_i \rightarrow j_*\mathcal{F}$ . Applying  $j^*$  and noting that it commutes with direct sums, we see that  $j^*j_*\mathcal{F} = \sum_{i \in I} j^*\mathcal{G}_i$ . Since the pullback of a coherent sheaf via a morphism of noetherian schemes is again coherent, the  $j^*\mathcal{G}_i$  are coherent on  $U$ . We have thus written  $j^*j_*\mathcal{F}$  as the sum of coherent subsheaves  $j^*\mathcal{G}_i$ .

Since  $j$  is an open embedding the adjunction  $j^*j_*\mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$  is an isomorphism. In particular, the sheaf  $j^*j_*\mathcal{F}$  is coherent on  $U$ . Let  $U = \cup_{k=1}^n (U_k := \text{Spec } A_k)$  be a finite affine open covering of  $U$ . Taking sections over  $U_k$ , the equality  $j^*j_*\mathcal{F} = \sum_{i \in I} j^*\mathcal{G}_i$  yields an equality  $M_k = \sum_{i \in I} M_{ik}$  where  $M_k$  is a finitely generated  $A_k$ -module and the  $M_{ik} \subset M_k$  are submodules. Any generator of  $M_k$  is contained in some finite sum of the  $M_{ik}$ . Since the  $\mathcal{G}_i$  include all coherent subsheaves of  $j_*\mathcal{F}$ , it follows that any finite sum of the  $\mathcal{G}_i$  is equal to  $\mathcal{G}_j$  for some  $j \in I$ . Putting everything together and using that  $M_k$  is finitely generated, we find that  $M_k = M_{ik}$  for some  $i \in I$ . Since there are finitely many  $U_k$ , we may choose an  $i$  that works for all of them. This implies that  $j^*j_*\mathcal{F} = j^*\mathcal{G}_i$ . From the adjunction we obtain  $j^*\mathcal{G}_i \cong \mathcal{F}$ . Thus proves (b) in the affine case.

Now let  $X$  be an arbitrary noetherian scheme. Cover  $X$  with finitely many affine  $U_1, \dots, U_n$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}_1 := \mathcal{F}|_{U \cap U_1}$ . By the affine case, we know that  $\mathcal{F}_1$  extends to a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}'_1$  on  $U_1$ . Since  $\mathcal{F}'_1|_{U \cap U_1} = \mathcal{F}|_{U \cap U_1}$ , we may glue  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{F}'_1$  to obtain a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}'$  over  $U \cup U_1$  extending  $\mathcal{F}$ . We may repeat this argument with  $U_2$  in place of  $U_1$  and  $(U_1 \cup U) \cap U_2$  in place of  $U \cap U_1$  and  $\mathcal{F}'$  in place of  $\mathcal{F}$  to obtain a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{F}''$  on  $U_2 \cup U_1 \cup U$  extending  $\mathcal{F}$ . Iterating yields the desired extension of  $\mathcal{F}$  to a coherent sheaf on  $X$ .

We will not give a proof of (c). There are several questions related to this exercise that one can ask:

- i. If  $\mathcal{F}$  is quasicoherent on  $U$ , does there exist a quasicoherent sheaf  $\mathcal{G}$  on  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{G}|_U \cong \mathcal{F}$ ?
- ii. If  $\mathcal{F}$  is coherent on  $U$ , does there exist a coherent sheaf  $\mathcal{G}$  on  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{G}|_U \cong \mathcal{F}$ ?
- iii. If  $\mathcal{G}$  is quasicoherent on  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a coherent subsheaf of  $\mathcal{G}|_U$ , does there exist a coherent subsheaf  $\mathcal{F}'$  of  $\mathcal{G}$  such that  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}'|_U$ ?
- iv. Is every quasicoherent sheaf on  $X$  the sum of its coherent subsheaves?

We have just answered the first and second questions affirmatively. The third and fourth are related as follows: Let  $X$  be a noetherian scheme and let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a quasicoherent sheaf on  $X$ . Let  $\{\mathcal{G}_i\}_{i \in I}$  denote the set of coherent subsheaves of  $\mathcal{G}$ . There is a natural inclusion  $\iota: \sum_{i \in I} \mathcal{G}_i \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}$ . For each affine open  $U \subset X$ , consider the induced inclusion  $(\sum_{i \in I} \mathcal{G}_i)|_U = \sum_{i \in I} (\mathcal{G}_i|_U) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G}|_U$ . If this is an isomorphism for every such  $U$ , then  $\iota$  is as well. Since we have shown that  $\mathcal{G}|_U$  is the sum of its coherent subsheaves, it suffices to show that each such subsheaf is equal to  $\mathcal{G}_i|_U$  for some  $i$ . This is where (iii) is important. A priori we just know that  $\mathcal{G}_i|_U$  extends to some coherent sheaf on  $X$ , but our construction doesn't yield a subsheaf of  $\mathcal{G}$ .

If we want to show (iii) in the affine case, we can look at  $j_*\mathcal{F} \subset j_*j^*\mathcal{G}$ . We know there is a coherent  $\mathcal{F}' \subset j_*\mathcal{F}$  extending  $\mathcal{F}$ . We also have the adjunction morphism  $\rho: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow j_*j^*\mathcal{G}$ . We have  $\rho^{-1}(\mathcal{F}')|_U = \mathcal{F}$  since  $\rho|_U$  is an isomorphism. A similar gluing as in part (b) may resolve the general case.