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## Matchings



Stable matching


Optimal matching

Matching of $n$ blue points and $n$ red points sampled uniformly and independently from the torus.

## Fair allocations

- For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\mathbb{S}_{n}^{2} \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be the sphere centered at the origin with radius chosen such that the total surface area $\lambda\left(\mathbb{S}_{n}^{2}\right)$ equals $n$.
- For any set $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{S}_{n}^{2}$ consisting of $n$ points called stars, we say that a measurable function $\psi: \mathbb{S}_{n}^{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \cup\{\infty\}$ is a fair allocation if it satisfies the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda\left(\psi^{-1}(\infty)\right)=0, \quad \lambda\left(\psi^{-1}(z)\right)=1, \quad \forall z \in \mathcal{L} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

- In other words, a fair allocation is a way to divide $\mathbb{S}_{n}^{2}$ into $n$ cells of measure 1 (up to a set of measure 0 ), with each cell associated to a distinct star in $\mathcal{L}$.
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## Allocation rule

- Let $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{S}_{n}^{2}$ be a random collection of $n$ points.
- An allocation rule is a measurable mapping $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \psi_{\mathcal{L}}$ such that
- $\psi_{\mathcal{L}}$ is a fair allocation of $\lambda$ to $\mathcal{L}$ a.s., and
- $\mathcal{L} \mapsto \psi_{\mathcal{L}}$ is rotation-equivariant, i.e., $\mathbb{P}$-a.s., for any $x \in \mathbb{S}_{n}^{2}$ and any rotation map $\phi$, we have $\psi_{\phi(\mathcal{L})}(\phi(x))=\phi\left(\psi_{\mathcal{L}}(x)\right)$.
- We are interested in minimizing $\left|\psi_{\mathcal{L}}(x)-x\right|$ for $x \in \mathbb{S}_{n}^{2}$.
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Potential and field: $\quad U(x)=\sum_{z \in \mathcal{L}} \log |x-z|, \quad F(x)=-\nabla_{S} U(x)$,
Flow lines: $\quad \frac{d Y_{x}}{d t}(t)=F\left(Y_{x}(t)\right), \quad Y_{x}(0)=x, \quad t \in\left[0, \tau_{x}\right]$, Basin of attraction: $\quad B(z)=\left\{x \in \mathbb{S}_{n}^{2}: \lim _{t \uparrow \tau_{x}} Y_{x}(t)=z\right\}, \quad z \in \mathcal{L}$,

Terminal point: $\quad \psi(x)= \begin{cases}z & \text { if } x \in B(z) \text { for } z \in \mathcal{L}, \\ \infty & \text { if } x \notin \bigcup_{z \in \mathcal{L}} B(z) .\end{cases}$


## Gravitational potential



## Examples
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One point on the south pole and seven points in the northern hemisphere

## Examples



One point on the south pole and seven points in the northern hemisphere

## Why is the allocation fair?

Divergence theorem, assuming $B\left(z_{0}\right)$ has a piecewise smooth boundary for $z_{0} \in \mathcal{L}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B\left(z_{0}\right)} \Delta_{S} U d \lambda=-\int_{\partial B\left(z_{0}\right)} F(x) \cdot \mathbf{n} d s \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that if $\lambda\left(\mathbb{S}^{2}\right)=A$, then

$$
\Delta_{S} \log |x-z|=2 \pi \delta_{z}-\frac{2 \pi}{A} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Delta_{S} U=2 \pi \sum_{z \in \mathcal{L}} \delta_{z}-\frac{2 \pi n}{A} .
$$

Since $F(x) \cdot \mathbf{n}=0$ for $x \in \partial B\left(z_{0}\right)$, we get by insertion into (2) that

$$
2 \pi-\frac{2 \pi n}{A} \lambda\left(B\left(z_{0}\right)\right)=0
$$

Thus $\lambda\left(B\left(z_{0}\right)\right)=\frac{A}{n}$ as claimed.

## Main result

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a collection of $n \geq 2$ points chosen uniformly at random from $\mathbb{S}_{n}^{2}$.

## Theorem (H.-Peres.-Zhai)

For any $p>0$ there is a constant $C_{p}>0$ such that for any $x \in \mathbb{S}_{n}^{2}$

$$
\mathbb{P}[|\psi(x)-x|>r \sqrt{\log n}] \leq C_{p} r^{-p}
$$

In particular, there is a universal constant $C>0$ such that for any $x \in \mathbb{S}_{n}^{2}$,

$$
\mathbb{E}[|\psi(x)-x|] \leq C \sqrt{\log n}
$$

## Examples



Simulation based on code written by Manjunath Krishnapur
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## Earlier results on fair allocations

Let $Y=\operatorname{diam}(B(\psi(x)))$ denote the diameter of the basin containing $x$.

In the following settings $\mathbb{P}[Y>R]$ decays superpolynomially in $R$ :

- $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{C}$ the zero set of a Gaussian Entire Function $f$; potential $U=\log |f|-|z|^{2} / 2$ (Nazarov-Sodin-Volberg'07)
- $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}, d \geq 3$, unit intensity Poisson point process; gravitational field $F$ (Chatterjee-Peled-Peres-Romik'10)


Gravitational allocation to the zero set of
the Gaussian Entire Function
Remark: Gravitational allocation to a unit intensity Poisson point process in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ is not well-defined.
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Stable marriage allocation (simulation by A. Holroyd)

## Application: matchings

- Let $\mathcal{A}=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}$ and $\mathcal{B}=\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right\}$ be sampled uniformly and independently at random from $\mathbb{S}_{n}^{2}$ for $n \geq 2$.
- A matching of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ is a bijective function $\varphi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$.
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Ajtai-Komlós-Tusnády'84: There exists a universal constant $C>1$ such that for the optimal matching $\varphi$ of $2 n$ points in $[0, \sqrt{n}]^{2}$,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}\left[C^{-1} \sqrt{\log n} \leq X \leq C \sqrt{\log n}\right]=1
$$

## Proof Matching Corollary



The points of $\mathcal{B}$ define a gravitational potential.

## Proof Matching Corollary



Pick the points of $\mathcal{A}$ one by one.
By the main theorem, $\mathbb{E}\left[\left|\varphi\left(a_{1}\right)-a_{1}\right|\right] \leq C \sqrt{\log n}$.
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## Proof Matching Corollary



By the main theorem, $\quad \mathbb{E}\left[\left|\varphi\left(a_{2}\right)-a_{2}\right|\right] \leq C \sqrt{\frac{n}{n-1}} \sqrt{\log (n-1)}$.

## Proof Matching Corollary



The remaining points are again uniformly distributed. Repeat the procedure until all points are matched.

## Proof Matching Corollary
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- Holroyd-Pemantle-Peres-Schramm'09: For $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ Poisson point processes in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $\widehat{Y}=|\varphi(a)-a|$ for a typical point $a \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$
\mathbb{P}[\widehat{Y}>r] \leq C_{1} r^{-0.496 \ldots}
$$

- Transferring to $\mathbb{S}_{n}^{2}$,

$$
\mathbb{E}[Y] \leq C_{1} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{n}} r^{-0.496 \ldots} d r=C_{2} n^{0.252 \ldots}
$$

## Allocation to Gaussian random polynomial

- For $\zeta_{1}, \ldots, \zeta_{n}$ independent standard complex Gaussians,

$$
p(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} \zeta_{k} \frac{\sqrt{n(n-1) \cdots(n-k+1)}}{\sqrt{k!}} z^{k} .
$$

- Let $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n} \in \mathbb{C}$ be the roots of $p$.
- Let $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{S}_{n}^{2}$ correspond to $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$ via stereographic projection.
- Let $\psi: \mathbb{S}_{n}^{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ define gravitational allocation to $\mathcal{L}$.


## Proposition (H.-Peres-Zhai)

For any fixed $x \in \mathbb{S}_{n}^{2}$,

$$
\mathbb{E}[|x-\psi(x)|]=\Theta(1)
$$

## Allocation to Gaussian random polynomial



Gaussian random polynomial
Uniform points

## Allocation to Gaussian random polynomial (cont.)

The average distance travelled can be expressed in terms of the average force:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{S}_{n}^{2}} \int_{0}^{\tau_{x}}\left|F\left(Y_{x}(t)\right)\right| d t d \lambda_{n}(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{S}_{n}^{2}}|F(x)| d \lambda_{n}(x) \\
& \mathbb{E}[|x-\psi(x)|] \leq \frac{1}{2 \pi} \mathbb{E}|F(x)|
\end{aligned}
$$

We express the force in terms of the coefficients of our Gaussian random polynomial:

$$
F(x)=\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \bar{\lambda}_{k}=\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{n}} \cdot \frac{\bar{\zeta}_{1} \cdot \sqrt{n}}{\bar{\zeta}_{0} \cdot 1}=\sqrt{\pi} \cdot \frac{\bar{\zeta}_{1}}{\bar{\zeta}_{0}}
$$

## Proof main theorem



Goal: $\quad \mathbb{E}[|\psi(x)-x|] \leq C \sqrt{\log n}$.

## Force bound
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## Heuristic proof main theorem

- Recall: $\left|F\left(y ; r_{n}\right)\right|=O\left(1 / r_{n}\right)$ with high probability uniformly in $y$.
- $r_{n}=1 / \sqrt{\log n}$.
- $F\left(y ; r_{n}\right)$ is the force at $y$ exerted by points $z \in \mathcal{L}$ satisfying $|y-z|>r_{n}$.
- The point $x$ travels until the force from nearby particles dominates $F\left(Y_{x}(t) ; r_{n}\right)$, i.e., at most until $\left|Y_{x}(t)-z\right|=c r_{n}$ for some $z \in \mathcal{L}$ and $c \ll 1$ constant.
- Therefore $|\psi(x)-x|=O\left(1 /\left(c r_{n}\right)\right)=O(\sqrt{\log n})$ with high probability.



## Proof idea main theorem

Note that since

$$
\psi(x)=Y_{x}\left(\tau_{x}\right)=\int_{0}^{\tau_{x}} F\left(Y_{x}(t)\right) d t+x
$$

it is sufficient to bound the following to bound $|\psi(x)-x|$ from above
(a) $\tau_{x}$,
(b) $\left|F\left(Y_{x}(t)\right)\right|$ along $\left(Y_{x}(t)\right)_{t \in\left[0, \tau_{x}\right]}$.

## Proof idea main theorem

Note that since

$$
\psi(x)=Y_{x}\left(\tau_{x}\right)=\int_{0}^{\tau_{x}} F\left(Y_{x}(t)\right) d t+x
$$

it is sufficient to bound the following to bound $|\psi(x)-x|$ from above
(a) $\tau_{x}$,
(b) $\left|F\left(Y_{x}(t)\right)\right|$ along $\left(Y_{x}(t)\right)_{t \in\left[0, \tau_{x}\right]}$.

We show:
(a) $\mathbb{P}\left[\tau_{x}>t\right]=e^{-2 \pi t}$ (see next slide).
(b) By the force bound, if $|F(y)| \gg \sqrt{\log n}$, then $y$ will be swallowed by a point at distance $O(1 / \sqrt{\log n})$ with high probability.

## Liouville's theorem gives the probability distribution of $\tau_{x}$

Liouville's Theorem: For $M$ an oriented 2-dimensional Riemannian manifold with volume form $d \alpha$, a smooth vector field $F$ on $M, \Phi_{t}$ the flow induced by $F$, and $\Omega$ an open set with compact closure,

$$
\left.\frac{d}{d t}\right|_{t=0} \operatorname{Vol}_{\alpha}\left(\Phi_{t}(\Omega)\right)=\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(F) d \alpha
$$

By the following lemma, $\mathbb{P}\left[\tau_{x}>t\right]=e^{-2 \pi t}$.

## Lemma

For $z \in \mathcal{L}$ and $t \geq 0$, define

$$
E_{t}=\left\{x \in B(z): \tau_{x}>t\right\}, \quad V_{t}=\lambda\left(E_{t}\right)
$$

Then $V_{t}=e^{-2 \pi t} V_{0}$.
The lemma is proved by applying Liouville's theorem with $F=-\nabla_{s} U$ and $\Omega=E_{t-s}$ :

$$
\frac{d}{d s} V_{t-s}=-\int_{E_{t-s}} \Delta_{s} U d \lambda=\int_{E_{t-s}} 2 \pi d \lambda=2 \pi V_{t-s} .
$$



## Conjectures for optimal squared matching distance
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- Let $\mathcal{A}$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}$ ) be a collection of $n$ points chosen uniformly and independently at random from the $d$-dimensional torus $\mathbb{T}^{d}$ of area $n$. Let $\varphi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ denote the matching which minimizes the cost $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}}|\varphi(a)-a|^{2}$.
- Caracciolo-Lubicello-Parisi-Sicuro'14 conjecture that for constants $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\zeta_{d}$ the Epstein $\zeta$ function,

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}}|\varphi(a)-a|^{2}\right] \sim \begin{cases}\frac{1}{6} n+c_{1} & \text { if } d=1  \tag{3}\\ \frac{1}{2 \pi} \log n+c_{2} & \text { if } d=2 \\ c_{3}+\frac{\zeta_{d}(1)}{2 \pi^{2}} n^{-\frac{d-2}{2}} & \text { if } d \geq 3\end{cases}
$$

- Earlier works prove rigorously that the ratio of the left and right side of $(3)$ is $\Theta(1)$.
- For a regularized version of the considered matching problem, the optimal solution is given by the Monge-Ampere equation. The derivation of (3) is based on a linearization of this equation, which leads to the Poisson equation.
- Numerical simulations suggest that with cost function $|\varphi(a)-a|^{p}, p \geq 1$, the exponent in the correction term for $d \geq 3$ is always equal to $\frac{d-2}{2}$.
- Ambrosio-Stra-Trevisan'16 established the leading constant $\frac{1}{2 \pi}$ for $d=2$ rigorously. Their analysis suggests that gravitaitonal allocation is asymptotically optimal for the cost function $|\varphi(a)-a|^{2}$. $\qquad$


## Allocation of hyperbolic plane to zeros of Gaussian hyperbolic functions



Intensity of zeros $=1$ (simulation by J. Ding and R. Peled)

## Allocation of hyperbolic plane to zeros of Gaussian hyperbolic functions



Intensity of zeros $=3$ (simulation by J. Ding and R. Peled)

## Allocation of hyperbolic plane to zeros of Gaussian hyperbolic functions



Intensity of zeros $=10$ (simulation by J. Ding and R. Peled)

## Open problem: electrostatic matching

- Let $\mathcal{A}$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}$ ) be a collection of $n$ particles on $\mathbb{S}_{n}^{2}$ with negative (resp. positive) charge, sampled independently and uniformly at random.
- Assume particles of different (resp. similar) charge attract (resp. repulse) each other.
- Does this define a matching of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ a.s.? What is the expected average distance between matched particles?


Thanks!

