

# Mathematical Finance

## Exercise sheet 14

**Exercise 14.1** Let  $S$  be a semimartingale satisfying NFLVR. Assume that  $S$  is complete in the sense that there exists a unique equivalent  $\sigma$ -martingale measure  $Q$  for  $S$ . Let  $U : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a utility function satisfying Inada conditions and such that  $u(x) < \infty$  for some (and hence all)  $x \in (0, \infty)$ . For  $x > 0$  and  $y > 0$ , define the sets

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}(x) &:= \{X_T \in L_+^0(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P) : X_T \leq x + (H \cdot S)_T \text{ for admissible } H\} \\ \mathcal{D}(y) &:= y\mathcal{D}(1) := y\{Y_T \in L_+^0(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P) : E[X_T Y_T] \leq 1 \forall X_T \in \mathcal{C}(1)\}. \end{aligned}$$

(a) Fix  $y > 0$ . Show that

$$Y_T \leq y \frac{dQ}{dP} \quad P\text{-a.s. for all } Y_T \in \mathcal{D}(y),$$

where  $\frac{dQ}{dP}$  denotes the density of  $Q$  with respect to  $P$  on  $\mathcal{F}_T$ . Deduce that

$$v(y) = \inf_{Y_T \in \mathcal{D}(y)} E[V(Y_T)] = E \left[ V \left( y \frac{dQ}{dP} \right) \right],$$

where  $E[V(Y_T)] := +\infty$  if  $V^+(Y_T) \notin L^1(P)$ .

(b) Let  $y_0 := \inf\{y > 0 : v(y) < \infty\}$ . Show that the function  $v$  is in  $C^1(y_0, \infty)$  and satisfies

$$v'(y) = E \left[ \frac{dQ}{dP} V' \left( y \frac{dQ}{dP} \right) \right], \quad y \in (y_0, \infty).$$

(c) Set  $x_0 := \lim_{y \downarrow y_0} -v'(y)$ . Fix  $x \in (0, x_0)$ . Let  $\hat{y}(x) \in (y_0, \infty)$  be the unique number such that  $-v'(\hat{y}(x)) = x$ . Show that  $\hat{X}_T := (U')^{-1} \left( \hat{y}(x) \frac{dQ}{dP} \right)$  is the unique solution to the primal problem

$$u(x) = \sup_{X_T \in \mathcal{C}(x)} E[U(X_T)].$$

**Exercise 14.2** Give an example of the situation on which there exists a dual optimizer  $\operatorname{argmin}_{Y_T \in \mathcal{D}(1)} E[V(Y_T)]$  in  $\mathcal{D}(1)$ , but it is not of the form  $\frac{dQ}{dP}$  for any E $\sigma$ MM  $Q$ .

**Exercise 14.3** Let  $L_+^0$  denote the collection of all nonnegative random variables and  $L_{++}^0$  be the family of all strictly positive random variables (see Exercise Sheet 3 for the relevant definitions). Assume that  $\mathcal{C} \subset L_+^0$  is a convex set satisfying  $L_{++}^0 \cap \mathcal{C} \neq \emptyset$  and closed in probability.

(a) Show that if there exists an  $\hat{f} \in L_{++}^0 \cap \mathcal{C}$  such that  $E[f/\hat{f}] \leq 1$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{C}$ , then  $\mathcal{C}$  is bounded in probability, i.e.,  $\limsup_{M \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{f \in \mathcal{C}} P[f \geq M] = 0$ .

(b) Now we assume that  $\mathcal{C}$  is bounded in probability. Show that its solid hull

$$\mathcal{C}' := \{f \in L_+^0 \mid f \leq h \text{ for some } h \in \mathcal{C}\}$$

is also closed in probability, convex and bounded in probability.

- (c) Show that if  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}'$  and  $1 \in \mathcal{C}$  (here 1 is the constant random variable  $X = 1$ ), then for all  $n \geq 1$ , the set  $\mathcal{C}^n := \{f \in \mathcal{C} | f \leq n\}$  is nonempty and the utility maximization problem  $\sup_{f \in \mathcal{C}^n} E[\log f]$  has a maximizer  $f^n$  satisfying  $E[\log f] = \sup_{f \in \mathcal{C}} E[\log f] \in (-\infty, +\infty)$ .
- (d) Keeping all assumptions from c) above and still denote by  $f^n$  a maximizer for  $\sup_{f \in \mathcal{C}^n} E[\log f]$ . Define for each  $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$  and  $f \in \mathcal{C}^n$  a quantity

$$\Delta_\epsilon(f|f^n) := \frac{\log((1-\epsilon)f^n + \epsilon f) - \log f^n}{\epsilon}.$$

Use the elementary inequality  $\log y - \log x \leq \frac{y-x}{x}$  for all  $0 < x < y$  to show that  $\Delta_\epsilon(f|f^n) \geq -2$  for all  $\epsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{2}]$  and for all  $f \in \mathcal{C}^n$ . Then show that  $E[\frac{f}{f^n}] \leq 1$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{C}^n$ .

- (e) Now for each  $n$  we have a maximizer  $f^n$  for  $\sup_{f \in \mathcal{C}^n} E[\log f]$ . Apply the Komlos Lemma for the sequence  $(f^n)$  we get an  $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{C}$ . Show that this  $\hat{f}$  satisfies that  $1/\hat{f} \in L^0_{++}$  and  $E[\frac{f}{\hat{f}}] \leq 1$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{C}$ .
- (f) Now we assume neither  $1 \in \mathcal{C}$  nor  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}'$ , but still assume that  $\mathcal{C}$  is bounded in probability. Show that in this case we still have an  $\hat{f} \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $\frac{1}{\hat{f}} \in L^0_{++}$  and  $E[\frac{f}{\hat{f}}] \leq 1$  for all  $f \in \mathcal{C}$ . We call  $\hat{f}$  the *static numéraire* in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

**Exercise 14.4** Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a set of semimartingales defined on a finite time horizon  $[0, T]$ . We call  $\mathcal{X}$  a *wealth process set* if it satisfies all the following conditions:

1. Each  $X \in \mathcal{X}$  is nonnegative, adapted, RCLL and  $X_0 = 1$ .
2. There exists a strictly positive process  $\bar{X} \in \mathcal{X}$ .
3.  $\mathcal{X}$  is convex, i.e., for  $X^1, X^2 \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ ,  $(1-\lambda)X^1 + \lambda X^2 \in \mathcal{X}$ .
4.  $\mathcal{X}$  is *decomposable*, that is, for all  $t \in [0, T]$  and all  $A \in \mathcal{F}_t$ , for all  $X \in \mathcal{X}$  and all strictly positive  $X' \in \mathcal{X}$ , the process

$$1_{A^c} X_s + 1_A \frac{X'_{t \vee s}}{X'_t} X_{t \wedge s} = \begin{cases} X_s(\omega), & \text{if } s \leq t, \text{ or } \omega \in A^c; \\ \frac{X_t(\omega)}{X'_t(\omega)} X'_s(\omega), & \text{if } s > t \text{ and } \omega \in A \end{cases}$$

is also an element of  $\mathcal{X}$ .

- (a) Give an economic interpretation of the decomposability in words.
- (b) Let  $\mathcal{X}^1 = 1 + G(\Theta^1_{adm}(S))$ . Show that  $\mathcal{X}^1$  is a wealth process set.
- (c) We call a wealth process set  $\mathcal{X}$  satisfies the NUPBR condition if the set  $\mathcal{X}_T := \{X_T | X \in \mathcal{X}\}$  is bounded in probability. Show that if a wealth process set  $\mathcal{X}$  satisfies NUPBR and  $\mathcal{X}_T$  is closed in probability, then there exists an  $\hat{X} \in \mathcal{X}$  such that  $\frac{1}{\hat{X}}$  is strictly positive and  $\frac{X}{\hat{X}}$  is a supermartingale for all  $X \in \mathcal{X}$ .