

Mathematical Finance

Solution sheet 1

Solution 1.1

- (a) The answer is negative. Indeed, assume for a contradiction that there exists a measure Q equivalent to P such that $E_Q[X_i] = 0$ for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. By the law of large numbers, $Y_n/n \xrightarrow{P\text{-a.s.}} 1/3$, where $Y_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$. Hence, $Y_n/n \xrightarrow{Q\text{-a.s.}} 1/3$. As $|Y_n/n| \leq 1$, we get $E_Q[Y_n/n] \rightarrow 1/3$, which is a contradiction.
- (b) The measure Q must satisfy $Q(X_n = +1) = Q(X_n = -1) = \frac{1}{2}$. For the marginals of P and Q , we have $\frac{dP^n}{dQ^n} = (1 + \alpha_n)1_{\{X_n=+1\}} + (1 - \alpha_n)1_{\{X_n=-1\}}$ and $\frac{dQ^n}{dP^n} = \frac{1}{1+\alpha_n}1_{\{X_n=+1\}} + \frac{1}{1-\alpha_n}1_{\{X_n=-1\}}$. By Kakutani's dichotomy theorem, we have $P \sim Q$ if and only if

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \int \left(\frac{dP^n}{dQ^n}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dQ^n > 0 \text{ and } \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \int \left(\frac{dQ^n}{dP^n}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dP^n > 0.$$

Both inequalities yield the same condition:

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha_n)} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(1 - \alpha_n)} \right) > 0 \\ x = \log e^x : & \iff \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \log \left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha_n)} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(1 - \alpha_n)} \right) > -\infty \\ e^{-2x} < 1 - x < e^{-x}, \quad 0 < x < \frac{1}{2} : & \iff \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \left(\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha_n)} + \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(1 - \alpha_n)} \right) \right) < \infty \\ & \iff \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n^2 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

The last equivalence follows from the fact that $(\sqrt{a} - \sqrt{b})^2 = a - 2\sqrt{ab} + b$ for $a, b \geq 0$, and

$$\frac{(x - y)^2}{4(1 - \delta)} \leq (\sqrt{x} - \sqrt{y})^2 = \left(\int_x^y \frac{dt}{2\sqrt{t}} \right)^2 \leq \frac{(x - y)^2}{4\delta}$$

for $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2}$ and $\delta < x, y < 1 - \delta$.

Solution 1.2

- (a) If τ is a stopping time, then the process $1_{\{\tau > k\}}$ is adapted to the filtration \mathbb{F} . Moreover, $1_{\{\tau > k\}}(\omega)$ is 1 for $\tau(\omega) > k$ and 0 for $\tau(\omega) \leq k$ so that

$$\tau(\omega) = \inf\{k \in \mathbb{N} : 1_{\{\tau > k\}}(\omega) \in \{0\}\},$$

i.e., τ is the hitting time of $1_{\{\tau > k\}}$ on the set $\{0\}$. Conversely an arbitrary hitting time of an adapted process X to a Borel set B satisfies

$$\{\tau \leq k\} = \bigcup_{j=0}^k X_j^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{F}_k$$

and therefore is a stopping time.

- (b) Similarly to (a), given a stopping time τ , we take the process $1_{\{\tau > t\}}$ is adapted to the filtration \mathbb{F} . Moreover, $1_{\{\tau > t\}}(\omega)$ is 1 for $\tau(\omega) > t$ and 0 for $\tau(\omega) \leq t$ so that

$$\tau(\omega) = \inf\{t \in \mathbb{R}_+ : 1_{\{\tau > t\}}(\omega) \in \{0\}\},$$

i.e., τ is the hitting time of $1_{\{\tau > t\}}$ on $\{0\}$. However, $I = \mathbb{R}_+$ is uncountable and (without further assumptions on the filtration) there exists hitting times that are not stopping times. Consider a càdlàg process X and an open set O , say $O :=]a, \infty[$ for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, for

$$\tau = \inf\{t \in \mathbb{R}_+ : X_t(\omega) \in O\},$$

we have

$$\{\tau < t\} = \bigcup_{t > q \in \mathbb{Q}_+} \{\tau < q\} \in \mathcal{F}_t,$$

but

$$\{\tau \leq t\} = \bigcap_{t < q \in \mathbb{Q}_+} \{\tau < q\} \in \mathcal{F}_{t+}, \tag{1}$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{t+} = \bigcap_{\varepsilon > 0} \mathcal{F}_{t+\varepsilon}$. The inclusion $\mathcal{F}_t \subset \mathcal{F}_{t+}$ can be strict without further assumptions, and this constitutes the desired counterexample. Moreover, remark that we assumed the path regularity for every $\omega \in \Omega$. In practice, one usually assumes a càdlàg version of the process so that the paths are càdlàg almost surely. If so, we have to augment the natural filtration of X so that the event $\{X \text{ is càdlàg}\}$ is measurable at time $t = 0$, then we can use the argument presented above to show that the hitting times of X to open sets are stopping times with respect to a right-continuous filtration. However, a right-continuous filtration is not sufficient to guarantee that a hitting time to an arbitrary Borel set is a stopping time. We have to augment the initial σ -algebra. Let $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_+)$. We have

$$\tau = \inf\{t \in \mathbb{R}_+ : X_t(\omega) \in B\} = \inf\{t \in \mathbb{R}_+ : (\omega, t) \in X^{-1}(B)\},$$

and, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$\{\tau < t\} = \pi \left(\{(\Omega \times [0, t]) \cap X^{-1}(B)\} \right),$$

where π denotes the projection from $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}_+$ onto Ω . Since X is càdlàg and adapted, X is progressively measurable and the set $(\Omega \times [0, t]) \cap X^{-1}(B)$ is $\mathcal{F}_t \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ -measurable. So, by the measurable projection theorem, we have

$$\{\tau < t\} \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_t,$$

where $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_t$ is the universal completion of \mathcal{F}_t . Recall that

$$\mathcal{F}_t \subset \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_t := \bigcap_{Q \in \mathcal{P}} \mathcal{F}_t^Q \subset \mathcal{F}_t^P,$$

where \mathcal{P} denotes the family of all probability measures on (Ω, \mathcal{F}_t) and \mathcal{F}_t^P the completion of the σ -algebra \mathcal{F}_t with respect to a probability measure P . Recall that $\mathcal{F}^P = \sigma(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{N}^P)$, where

$$\mathcal{N}^P := \{N \subset \Omega : \exists A \in \mathcal{F} \text{ s.t. } N \subset A \text{ and } P(A) = 0\}.$$

Thus, assuming that the initial σ -algebra \mathcal{F}_0 is complete with respect to P gives $\mathcal{F}_0 = \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_0$, so, $\{\tau < t\} \in \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_t$, and if we now assume that the filtration is right-continuous, i.e., $\mathcal{F}_t = \mathcal{F}_{t+}$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, we get from (1) that τ is a stopping time. A filtration satisfying $\mathcal{F}_0 = \mathcal{F}_0^P$ and $\mathcal{F}_t = \mathcal{F}_{t+}$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ is said to satisfy the *usual conditions*.

Solution 1.3

```
1 import numpy
2 from pylab import hist, show
3 from brownian import brownian
4
5
6 def main():
7
8     # The Wiener process parameter.
9     delta = 1
10    # Total time.
11    T = 1.0
12    # Number of steps.
13    N = 500
14    # Time step size
15    dt = T/N
16    # Number of realizations to generate.
17    m = 5000
18    # Create an empty array to store the realizations.
19    x = numpy.empty((m,N+1))
20    # Initial values of x.
21    x[:, 0] = 0
22
23    # Simulate the paths
24    brownian(x[:,0], N, dt, delta, out=x[:,1:])
25
26    # Stop the paths for b)
27    for i in range(m):
28        for j in range(N):
29            if x[i,j] >= 1:
30                x[i,j:] = x[i,j]
31                break
32
33    # Print the mean
34    print x.mean(axis=0)[N]
35
36    # Plot the terminal distribution
37    hist(x[:,N],bins='auto')
38    show()
39
40
41 if __name__ == "__main__":
42     main()
```