

# Mathematical Finance

## Solution sheet 7

**Solution 7.1** First let  $p : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a sublinear functional on a vector space. If  $p$  is linear and  $f(x) \leq p(x)$  for all  $x \in X$  for some linear functional  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , then  $-f(x) = f(-x) \leq p(-x) = -p(x)$ , so  $p(x) \leq f(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ , i.e.,  $f = p$ . Now assume that  $p$  dominates exactly one linear functional on  $X$  and that  $p$  is not linear. Then there exists some  $x_0 \neq 0$  such that  $-p(-x_0) < p(x_0)$ . Let  $M := \{\lambda x_0 : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}\}$  be the vector space generated by  $x_0$  and define the linear functionals  $f, g : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $f(\lambda x_0) = \lambda p(x_0)$  and  $g(\lambda x_0) = -\lambda p(-x_0)$ . From  $f(x_0) = p(x_0)$  and  $g(x_0) = -p(-x_0)$  we see that  $f \neq g$ . Next, notice that  $f(z) \leq p(z)$  and  $g(z) \leq p(z)$  for each  $z \in M$ , that is,  $p$  dominates both  $f$  and  $g$  on the subspace  $M$ . Now, by the Hahn-Banach theorem, the two distinct linear functionals  $f$  and  $g$  have linear extensions to all of  $X$  dominated there by  $p$ , a contradiction.

**Solution 7.2** Let  $Q$  be an equivalent martingale measure for  $P$  which exists by (NA). By Doob's maximal inequality, for every 1-admissible  $H$ , we have

$$Q(|(H \circ X)_T^*| > K) \leq \frac{2E_Q[(H \circ X)_T^-]}{K} \leq \frac{2}{K}$$

for  $K > 0$ , so, the family  $\{(H \circ X)_T : H \text{ is 1-admissible}\}$  is bounded in  $Q$  and consequently in  $P$ , i.e., (NUPBR) holds.

**Solution 7.3** Let  $P$  be the Lebesgue measure on  $\Omega := ]0, 1]$ . Define

$$X^{n,k} := n^2 \mathbb{1}_{[(k-1)/n, k/n]}, \quad k = 1, \dots, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

We have

$$P(X^{n,k} > K) = \begin{cases} 1/n & \text{if } n > \sqrt{K}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

so, the family  $\{X^{n,k}\}$  is bounded in probability, but since

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{n} X^{n,k} = n \in \text{co}(X^{n,k}), \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

the convex hull of  $\{X^{n,k}\}$  is not bounded in probability.

**Solution 7.4** Let  $B$  and  $C$  be two independent Brownian motions. Define

$$L_t := \exp\left(B_t - \frac{1}{2}t\right) \quad \text{and} \quad N_t := \exp\left(C_t - \frac{1}{2}t\right)$$

and

$$\tau := \inf\left\{t \geq 0 : L_t = \frac{1}{2}\right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma := \inf\left\{t \geq 0 : N_t = 2\right\}.$$

By the properties of Brownian motion, we get

$$P(\tau = \infty) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad P(\sigma = \infty) = P(\sigma < \infty) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Let  $X = L^{\tau \wedge \sigma}$  and  $Y = N^{\tau \wedge \sigma}$ . From above, we conclude that  $X_\infty > 0$  a.s. and  $Y_\infty > 0$  a.s. Moreover, we have

$$E[X_{\tau \wedge \sigma}] = E[L_{\tau \wedge \sigma}] = E[L_\tau 1_{\{\sigma = \infty\}}] + E[L_{\tau \wedge \sigma} 1_{\{\sigma < \infty\}}].$$

For the first term, we get

$$E[L_\tau 1_{\{\sigma = \infty\}}] = P(\sigma = \infty)E[L_\tau] = \frac{1}{4}$$

by independence, and for the second term,

$$E[L_{\tau \wedge \sigma} 1_{\{\sigma < \infty\}}] = \int_0^\infty P(\sigma \in dt) E[L_{\tau \wedge t}] = \int_0^\infty P(\sigma \in dt) = P(\sigma < \infty) = \frac{1}{2}$$

by independence and the optional stopping theorem. Hence,

$$E[X_\infty] = E[X_{\tau \wedge \sigma}] = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{3}{4} < 1,$$

and so we conclude that  $X$  is a strict local martingale. However, the process  $Y = (N^\sigma)^\tau$  is a uniformly bounded martingale (since  $N^\sigma$  is) and the product  $XY$  is a uniformly integrable martingale. Indeed, we have

$$E[X_\infty Y_\infty] = E[L_{\tau \wedge \sigma} N_{\tau \wedge \sigma}] = E[L_{\tau \wedge \sigma} N_\sigma] = 2E[L_{\tau \wedge \sigma} 1_{\{\sigma < \infty\}}] = 2P(\sigma < \infty) = 1.$$

Finally, define the process  $S$  as  $dS = dM + d\langle M, M \rangle$ , where  $X = \mathcal{E}(-M)$ . The measure  $Q$  defined as

$$dQ = X_\infty Y_\infty dP$$

is an equivalent local martingale measure for  $S$ . The density  $X_\infty$  does not define a probability measure.

**Solution 7.5** The discounted asset prices  $X^1$  and  $X^2$  satisfy

$$dX^1 = X^1 dB + \frac{1}{2} X^1 dt \text{ and } dX^2 = \frac{1}{2} X^2 dB + \frac{1}{8} X^2 dt,$$

so, we try

$$H^1 = \frac{X^2}{X^1} \text{ and } H^2 = -2.$$

```

1 import numpy
2 from pylab import hist, show
3 from matplotlib.pyplot import subplot
4
5 from brownian import brownian
6
7
8 #Function computes the forward integral of rows of a mxN-matrix w.r.t. another
9 def integral(x,y,m,N,out=None):
10
11     if out is None:
12         out = numpy.empty(x.shape)
13
14     for i in range(m):
15         for j in range(N):
16             out[i,j+1]=out[i,j]+x[i,j]*(y[i,j+1]-y[i,j])

```

```
17
18     return out
19
20
21 def main():
22
23     # The Wiener process parameter.
24     delta = 1
25     # Total time.
26     T = 1.0
27     # Number of steps.
28     N = 1000
29     # Time step size
30     dt = T/N
31     # Number of realizations to generate.
32     m = 5000
33     # Create empty arrays to store the realizations and integrals.
34     x = numpy.empty((m,N+1))
35     y = numpy.empty((m,N+1))
36     z = numpy.empty((m,N+1))
37     # Initial values of x,y,z,w.
38     x[:, 0] = 0
39     y[:, 0] = 0
40     z[:, 0] = 0
41
42     # Simulate the paths
43     brownian(x[:,0], N, dt, delta, out=x[:,1:])
44
45     # Compute the integrals
46     integral(numpy.exp(.5*x)/numpy.exp(x), numpy.exp(x), m, N, out=y)
47     integral(-2.0*numpy.ones((m,N+1)), numpy.exp(.5*x), m, N, out=z)
48
49     # Plot the terminal distribution
50     hist(y[:,N]+z[:,N], normed=True, bins='auto')
51     show()
52
53 if __name__ == "__main__":
54     main()
```