

## Lie Groups II (Prof. Iozzi) - Solution to Set 2

1. Let  $G$  be a compact connected Lie group. Let  $G^*$  denote the diagonal of  $G \times G$ .
  - (1) Show that the pair  $(G \times G, G^*)$  is a Riemannian symmetric pair, and the coset space  $G \times G/G^*$  is diffeomorphic to  $G$ .
  - (2) Using the above, explain how any compact connected Lie group  $G$  can be regarded as a Riemannian globally symmetric space.
  - (3) Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  denote the Lie algebra of  $G$ . Show that the exponential map from  $\mathfrak{g}$  into the Lie group  $G$  coincides with the exponential map from  $\mathfrak{g}$  into the *Riemannian globally symmetric space*  $G$ .

**Solution.** Consider the mapping  $\sigma : (g_1, g_2) \mapsto (g_2, g_1)$ . This is an involutive automorphism of the product group  $G \times G$ . The fixed set of  $\sigma$  is precisely the diagonal  $G^*$ . It follows that the pair  $(G \times G, G^*)$  is a Riemannian symmetric pair. The coset space  $G \times G/G^*$  is diffeomorphic to  $G$  under the mapping  $[(g_1, g_2)] \mapsto \pi(g_1, g_2) = g_1 g_2^{-1}$ .

Next, by Proposition 3.4 from Helgason, Ch. IV, we see that  $G$  is a Riemannian globally symmetric space in each bi-invariant Riemannian structure; note here that a Riemannian structure on  $G \times G/G^*$  is  $G \times G$ -invariant iff the corresponding Riemannian structure on  $G$  is bi-translation invariant.

Finally, note that the product algebra  $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$  is the Lie algebra of  $G \times G$ . Let  $\exp^*$  denote the exponential mapping of  $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$  into  $G \times G$ ,  $\exp$  denote the exponential mapping of  $\mathfrak{g}$  into  $G$  (considered as a Lie group), and  $\text{Exp}$  denote the Exponential mapping of  $\mathfrak{g}$  into  $G$  (considered as a Riemannian globally symmetric space). We want to show that  $\exp X = \text{Exp} X$  for all  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Using  $d\pi(X, Y) = X - Y$ , we deduce that  $\pi(\exp^*(X, -X)) = \text{Exp}(d\pi(X, -X))$ . Hence  $\exp X \cdot (\exp(-X))^{-1} = \text{Exp}(2X)$  and this implies that  $\exp X = \text{Exp} X$ .

2. A compact semisimple Lie group  $G$  has a bi-invariant Riemannian structure  $Q$  such that  $Q_e$  is the negative of the killing form of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of  $G$ . If  $G$  is considered as a symmetric space  $G \times G/G^*$  as in the above exercise, it acquires a bi-invariant Riemannian structure  $Q^*$  from the killing form of  $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}$ . Show that  $Q = 2Q^*$ .

**Solution.** Let  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  be as in the above solution. The map  $d\pi$  maps the  $-1$  eigenspace of  $d\sigma$  onto  $\mathfrak{g}$  as follows:  $d\pi(X, -X) = 2X$ . Using this, we can check that

$$2B_{\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g}}((X, -X), (X, -X)) = B_{\mathfrak{g}}(2X, 2X),$$

which is equivalent to  $Q = 2Q^*$ .

3. Show that any two complete simply connected Riemannian manifolds of the same dimension and of the same constant sectional curvature are isometric.

**Hint.** It may be helpful to first prove the following fact:

*Let  $V$  and  $W$  be Riemannian manifolds with  $V$  complete, and let  $\phi : V \rightarrow W$  be a surjective differentiable map. Assume that  $d\phi_v$  is an isometry for each  $v \in V$ . Then  $(V, \phi)$  is a covering space for  $W$ .*

**Solution.** The helpful fact given in the question is Lemma 13.4 of Helgason, Ch I.

Lemma 1.2 of Helgason, Chapter IV gives an isometry between two normal neighborhoods of  $V$  and  $W$ . Using this we deduce that  $d\phi_v$  is an isometry for each  $v \in V$ . Now the helpful fact tells us that  $(V, \phi)$  is a covering space for  $W$ . Since both spaces are simply connected, it follows that  $V$  and  $W$  are isometric.

4. Let  $M$  be a Riemannian globally symmetric space,  $\omega$  a differential form on  $M$  invariant under each member of  $I_0(M)$ . Prove that  $d\omega = 0$ .

**Solution.** Since  $\omega$  is invariant, it follows that  $s_m\omega$  is also invariant for each  $m \in M$ . On the other hand,  $s_m\omega = (-1)^p\omega$  if  $\omega$  is a  $p$ -form. It follows that

$$d\omega = (-1)^p d(s_m\omega) = (-1)^p s_m(d\omega) = (-1)^{2p+1} d\omega,$$

and hence  $d\omega = 0$ .