

D-MATH HS 2018 Prof. Emmanuel Kowalski

Exponential sums over Finite Fields. Exercise Sheet 1

January 11, 2019

Exercise 1.

i) Let us write

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{p} \sum_{h \in \mathbb{F}_p} G(2, h; p)^3 e\left(\frac{-ah}{p}\right) &= \frac{1}{p} \sum_{h \in \mathbb{F}_p} \left(\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p} e\left(\frac{x^2 h}{p}\right) \right)^3 e\left(\frac{-ah}{p}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \sum_{h \in \mathbb{F}_p} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_p} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_p} e\left(\frac{h(x^2 + x^2 + x^2 - a)}{p}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_p} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_p} \sum_{h \in \mathbb{F}_p} e\left(\frac{h(x^2 + x^2 + x^2 - a)}{p}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, thanks to the orthogonality of additive characters, we have

$$\sum_{h \in \mathbb{F}_p} e\left(\frac{h(x^2 + x^2 + x^2 - a)}{p}\right) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x^2 + x^2 + x^2 - a \neq 0 \\ p & \text{if } x^2 + x^2 + x^2 - a = 0, \end{cases}$$

and so we get the result.

ii) As in the part (*ii*) of the previous exercise, developing the product we have

$$|G(2, h; p)|^2 = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_p} e\left(\frac{x^2 h}{p}\right) e\left(-\frac{y^2 h}{p}\right) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_p} e\left(\frac{(x^2 - y^2)h}{p}\right).$$

Using now a change of variables $(s, t) = (x + y, x - y)$, $G(2, h; p)$ becomes

$$|G(2, h; p)|^2 = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{F}_p} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{F}_p} e\left(\frac{4sth}{p}\right) = p,$$

where in the last step we use, again, the orthogonality of the additive characters (assuming $h, 4 \neq 0 \pmod{p}$).

iii) First observe that $G(2, 0; p) = p$. Combining part (*i*) and part (*ii*) we get:

$$\begin{aligned} N_{2,3}(a, p) &= p^2 + \frac{1}{p} \sum_{h \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times} G(2, h; p)^3 e\left(\frac{-ah}{p}\right) \\ &= p^2 + O(p^{\frac{3}{2}}). \end{aligned}$$

iv) The same argument works for $s \geq 3$ getting:

$$|N_{2,s}(a, p)| = p^{s-1} + O(p^{\frac{s}{2}}).$$

Exercise 2.

i) Using the same argument as in exercise 2 we get

$$|N_{2,2}(a, p)| = p + O(p),$$

but this do not lead to an asymptotic formula for $|N_{2,2}(a, p)|$ because the remainder term has the same size as the main one.

ii) Because \mathbb{F}_p is the finite field with p elements, any $a \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times$ satisfies $a^{p-1} = 1$ i.e. any $a \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times$ is a zero of the polynomial $f(x) = x^{p-1} - 1$, so one has

$$f(x) = x^{p-1} - 1 = \prod_{a \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times} (x - a).$$

Moreover $a \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times$ is a square modulo p if and only if $a^{\frac{p-1}{2}} = 1$, i.e. a is a zero of the polynomial $g(x) = x^{\frac{p-1}{2}} - 1$. On the other hand it is clear that $g|f$ and this implies that g has $\frac{p-1}{2}$ distinct zeros in \mathbb{F}_p . Now observing that

$$X := \{x^2 : x \in \mathbb{F}_p\} = |\{\text{root of } g\}| \cup \{0\},$$

one obtains that $|X| = \frac{p+1}{2}$. To conclude it is enough to observe that Y_a is just the set $-X$ shifted by a .

iii) Using the Inclusion–Exclusion principle we have

$$|X \cup Y_a| = |X| + |Y_a| - |X \cap Y_a|.$$

It is clear that $|X \cup Y_a| \leq p$ so

$$p \geq |X \cup Y_a| = |X| + |Y_a| - |X \cap Y_a| = p + 1 - |X \cap Y_a|,$$

and then

$$|X \cap Y_a| \geq 1.$$

Exercise 3.

i) If $x^2 + y^2 = 0$ and $x, y \neq 0$ then $(xy^{-1})^2 = -1$. It is a well known fact that -1 is a square modulo p if and only if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. Thanks to that it is clear that $N_2(0, p) = \{(0, 0)\}$ if $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. Instead, if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ we get

$$N_{2,2}(0, p) = \{(x, \pm ix) : x \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times\} \cup \{(0, 0)\},$$

where we are denoting by i a square root of -1 in \mathbb{F}_p .

ii) Let $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times$ and consider $a^{-1}b$. By the previous exercise there exist $h, k \in \mathbb{F}_p$ such that $h^2 + k^2 = a^{-1}b$. Consider the change of variables $(x, y) = (hx + ky, kx - hy)$. For all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_p^2$, one has

$$(hx + ky)^2 + (kx - hy)^2 = (h^2 + k^2)(x^2 + y^2) = a^{-1}b(x^2 + y^2).$$

Then it is clear that $\text{Im}(N_{2,2}(a, p)) \subset N_{2,2}(b, p)$ and because the map we are considering is injective we conclude that $|N_2(a, p)| \leq |N_2(b, p)|$. Repeating this argument starting with ab^{-1} gives the inequality in the other direction.

iii) Using the previous part we have

$$p^2 = |\mathbb{F}_p^2| = \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_p} |(N_{2,2}(a, p))| = |(N_{2,2}(0, p))| + |(N_{2,2}(1, p))|(p-1).$$

Inserting the possible values of $|N_{2,2}(0, p)|$ we get the result.

Exercise 4.

i) Let us denote by $\mathbb{F}^{\times d}$ the set of d -powers in \mathbb{F}^{\times} . A character of order d over \mathbb{F}^{\times} can be seen as a character over $\mathbb{F}^{\times}/\mathbb{F}^{\times d}$. Then (i) is just the orthogonal relation for characters over $\mathbb{F}^{\times}/\mathbb{F}^{\times d}$.

ii) Using (i), we rewrite

$$\begin{aligned} G(d, h; p) &= \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_p} e\left(\frac{zh}{p}\right) \cdot \left(\sum_{\chi: \chi^d=1} \chi(z) \right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\chi: \chi^d=1 \\ \chi \neq 1}} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_p} e\left(\frac{zh}{p}\right) \chi(z) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\chi: \chi^d=1 \\ \chi \neq 1}} \bar{\chi}(h) \tau_{\chi} \end{aligned}$$

then the result follows since $|\tau_{\chi}| = \sqrt{p}$ for any multiplicative character $\chi \neq 1$.

iii) If $p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{d}$ then any element in \mathbb{F}_p is a d -power. Thus

$$G(d, h; p) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p} e\left(\frac{x^d h}{p}\right) = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_p} e\left(\frac{zh}{p}\right).$$

Then

$$G(d, h; p) = \begin{cases} p & \text{if } h = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Exercise 5

As in Exercise 1 we have the equality

$$\begin{aligned} |N_{k,s}(a, p)| &= \frac{1}{p} \sum_{h \in \mathbb{F}_p} G(k, h; p)^s e\left(\frac{-ah}{p}\right) \\ &= p^{s-1} + \frac{1}{p} \sum_{h \in \mathbb{F}_p^{\times}} G(k, h; p)^s e\left(\frac{-ah}{p}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Then the result is a direct consequence of Exercise 4.

Exercise 5.

i) Let $N > 0$, and let us denote N' the largest integer such that $pN' \leq N$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq N} \chi(n) &= \sum_{n \leq pN'} \chi(n) + \sum_{pN' \leq n \leq N} \chi(n) \\ &= N' \sum_{0 \leq n \leq p} \chi(n) + \sum_{0 \leq n \leq N-pN'} \chi(n) \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq n \leq N-pN'} \chi(n), \end{aligned}$$

where in the first step we used the periodicity of χ and in the second one the fact that χ is a non-trivial character. The result then follows since $0 \leq N - pN' \leq p$.

ii) It is enough to observe that

$$\frac{1}{p} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_p} e\left(\frac{a(h-n)}{p}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } h = n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

iii) We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq N} \chi(n) &= \sum_{h \in \mathbb{F}_p} \chi(h) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{p} \sum_{n \leq N} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_p} e\left(\frac{a(h-n)}{p}\right) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \sum_{h \in \mathbb{F}_p} \sum_{n \leq N} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_p} e\left(\frac{ah}{p}\right) e\left(-\frac{an}{p}\right) \chi(h) \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_p} \sum_{n \leq N} e\left(-\frac{an}{p}\right) \sum_{h \in \mathbb{F}_p} e\left(\frac{ah}{p}\right) \chi(h) \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times} \sum_{n \leq N} e\left(-\frac{an}{p}\right) \bar{\chi}(a) \tau_\chi, \end{aligned}$$

as we wanted.

iv) For $0 < a < p$ this is just a geometric series, then we have

$$\sum_{n \leq N} e\left(-\frac{an}{p}\right) = \frac{1 - e\left(-\frac{a(N+1)}{p}\right)}{1 - e\left(-\frac{a}{p}\right)}.$$

On the other hand for $0 < a < p$ we have

$$\left| 1 - e\left(-\frac{a}{p}\right) \right| \geq \frac{a}{p},$$

thus

$$\left| \sum_{n \leq N} e\left(-\frac{an}{p}\right) \right| \leq \frac{2p}{a}. \quad (1)$$

From part (iii) we have

$$\sum_{n \leq N} \chi(n) = \frac{\tau_\chi}{p} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times} \bar{\chi}(a) \sum_{n \leq N} e\left(-\frac{an}{p}\right),$$

Then using (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{n \leq N} \chi(n) \right| &\leq \frac{\sqrt{p}}{p} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_p^\times} \left| \sum_{n \leq N} e\left(-\frac{an}{p}\right) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \sum_{0 < a < p} \frac{p}{a} \\ &\leq 3\sqrt{p} \log p, \end{aligned}$$

as we wanted.

v) One repeats the same argument observing that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{h \in \mathbb{F}_p} e\left(\frac{h^2 \alpha + ah}{p}\right) &= \sum_{h \in \mathbb{F}_p} e\left(\frac{\alpha(h^2 + a\bar{\alpha}h)}{p}\right) \\ &= \sum_{h \in \mathbb{F}_p} e\left(\frac{\alpha(h^2 + a\bar{\alpha}h + (a\bar{2}\bar{\alpha})^2 - (a\bar{2}\bar{\alpha})^2)}{p}\right) \\ &= e\left(\frac{-(a\bar{2})^2}{p}\right) \sum_{h \in \mathbb{F}_p} e\left(\frac{\alpha(h + a\bar{2}\bar{\alpha})^2}{p}\right) \\ &= e\left(\frac{-(a\bar{2})^2}{p}\right) G(2, \alpha; p). \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 6.

In the following we denote by $\|\cdot\|$ the norm in \mathbb{R}^2 and by

$$B_r^{+,+}(0) := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^2 : \|(x, z)\| \leq r\}$$

the quarter of the circle centered in 0 of radius r in the first quadrant. We start finding an asymptotic formula for

$$N_{+,+}(X) := |\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : a^2 + b^2 \leq X\}|.$$

We can rewrite this as

$$N_{+,+}(X) := |\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : (a, b) \in B_{\sqrt{X}}^{+,+}(0)\}|.$$

The points $(a, b) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ are in one to one correspondence with squares $S_{a,b} := [a, a+1) \times [b, b+1)$. Moreover is it clear that $S_{a,b} \cap B_{\sqrt{X}}^{+,+}(0) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $(a, b) \in B_{\sqrt{X}}^{+,+}(0)$. Indeed, if $(a, b) \in B_{\sqrt{X}}^{+,+}(0)$ then of course $S_{a,b} \cap B_{\sqrt{X}}^{+,+}(0) \neq \emptyset$. Let us do the other direction: if $(c, d) \in S_{a,b} \cap B_{\sqrt{X}}^{+,+}(0)$, then by definition $\|(c, d)\| \leq \sqrt{X}$. On the other hand one has that $\|(a, b)\| \leq \|(c, d)\| \leq \sqrt{X}$, thus $(a, b) \in B_{\sqrt{X}}^{+,+}(0)$. We can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} N_{+,+}(X) &:= |\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : (a, b) \in B_{\sqrt{X}}^{+,+}(0)\}| \\ &= |\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{N}^2 : S_{a,b} \cap B_{\sqrt{X}}^{+,+}(0) \neq \emptyset\}| \\ &= \text{Area}\left(\bigcup_{S_{a,b} \cap B_{\sqrt{X}}^{+,+}(0) \neq \emptyset} S_{a,b}\right). \end{aligned}$$

We claim that

$$B_{\sqrt{X}-\sqrt{2}}^{+,+}(0) \subset \bigcup_{S_{a,b} \cap B_{\sqrt{X}}^{+,+}(0) \neq \emptyset} S_{a,b} \subset B_{\sqrt{X}+\sqrt{2}}^{+,+}(0).$$

Let $(c, d) \in B_{\sqrt{X}-\sqrt{2}}^{+,+}(0)$, then there exists $(a, b) \in \mathbb{N}^2$ such that $(c, d) \in S_{a,b}$. Then $S_{a,b} \cap B_{\sqrt{X}}^{+,+}(0) \neq \emptyset$ since $(c, d) \in B_{\sqrt{X}-\sqrt{2}}^{+,+}(0) \subset B_{\sqrt{X}}^{+,+}(0)$. Thus $(c, d) \in \bigcup_{S_{a,b} \cap B_{\sqrt{X}}^{+,+}(0) \neq \emptyset} S_{a,b}$. Let $(c, d) \in S_{a,b}$ for some (a, b) such that $S_{a,b} \cap B_{\sqrt{X}}^{+,+}(0) \neq \emptyset$. Then

$$\|(c, d)\| \leq \|(a, b)\| + \|(a - c, b - d)\| \leq \sqrt{X} + \sqrt{2}.$$

Hence, we conclude

$$\text{Area}(B_{\sqrt{X}-\sqrt{2}}^{+,+}(0)) \leq N_{+,+}(X) \leq \text{Area}(B_{\sqrt{X}+\sqrt{2}}^{+,+}(0)),$$

and then

$$N_{+,+}(X) = \frac{\pi}{4}X + O(\sqrt{X}).$$

Using the symmetries of the circle we finally get

$$N(X) = \pi X + O(\sqrt{X}).$$