

Solutions Sheet 11

COMPLETIONS, PROJECTIVE MODULES AND THE TOR FUNCTOR

Let R be a commutative ring, k an algebraically closed field.

1. Let P be a module over a commutative ring R . Prove that the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) for any exact sequence $M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M''$ of R -modules, the associated sequence

$$\mathrm{Hom}(P, M') \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(P, M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(P, M'')$$

is exact;

- (b) P is projective;
(c) any short exact sequence of R -modules of the form $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow P \rightarrow 0$ splits (see Exercise 4, Sheet 4 for the definition);
(d) P is isomorphic to a direct factor (see Exercise 2, Sheet 4 for the definition) of a free R -module.

(Hint for (d) \Rightarrow (a): prove that the condition expressed in (a) is stable under direct sum, i.e. that a direct sum $\bigoplus_i P_i$ of R -modules satisfies the condition if and only if each factor P_i satisfies it.)

Solution. [(a) \Rightarrow (b)] Let $f: M \rightarrow M''$ be a surjective map of R -modules. This gives a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$, with $M' = \ker f$. By assumption, the sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(P, M') \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(P, M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(P, M'') \rightarrow 0$ is exact. In particular, the map $\mathrm{Hom}(P, M) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(P, M'')$ is surjective. This means that every R -linear map $\varphi: P \rightarrow M''$ can be *lifted* to an R -linear map $\tilde{\varphi}: P \rightarrow M$, i.e. a map satisfying $\varphi = f \circ \tilde{\varphi}$. Therefore, P is a projective module.

[(b) \Rightarrow (c)] Let $0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow P \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence of R -modules. Thus P is isomorphic to M/M' (more precisely, to the quotient of M by a submodule isomorphic to M' , which we will canonically identify with M' itself). Denote by $\varphi: P \rightarrow M/M'$ this isomorphism. Now, since P is projective and the canonical projection map $\pi: M \rightarrow M/M'$ is surjective, there exists an R -linear map $s: P \rightarrow M$ such that $\pi \circ s = \varphi$. This implies that $f \circ s = \mathrm{id}_P$, where $f: M \rightarrow P$ is the map appearing in the original short exact sequence. Thus, the sequence admits a section, whence it splits.

[(c) \Rightarrow (d)] Choose a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow F \rightarrow P \rightarrow 0$ of R -modules, where F is a free R -module (this can always be done for any module P , without

the projective assumption). The hypothesis implies that such a sequence splits. Exercise 4, Sheet 4 now gives that P is isomorphic to a direct factor of F . The conclusion is achieved.

[(d) \Rightarrow (a)] We first claim that if $P = F$ is a free R -module, then the condition expressed in (a) holds. The claim is obviously true if $F = R$, by the canonical isomorphism $\text{Hom}(R, N) \simeq N$ for any R -module N .

Our aim will be now to prove the following assertion: if $(P_i)_{i \in I}$ is an arbitrary collection of R -modules then their direct sum $P = \bigoplus_{i \in I} P_i$ satisfies (a) if and only if each P_i satisfies it. Indeed, we have

$$\text{Hom}(P, N) = \text{Hom}\left(\bigoplus_{i \in I} P_i, N\right) \simeq \prod_{i \in I} \text{Hom}(P_i, N)$$

for any R -module N . To conclude, it just suffices to recall that sequences $0 \rightarrow A_i \xrightarrow{\alpha_i} B_i \xrightarrow{\beta_i} C_i \rightarrow 0$ of R -modules are exact if and only if the associated "product sequence"

$$0 \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} A_i \xrightarrow{\prod_{i \in I} \alpha_i} \prod_{i \in I} B_i \xrightarrow{\prod_{i \in I} \beta_i} \prod_{i \in I} C_i \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

Therefore, we deduce that the desired implication is true whenever $P = F$ is a free module. This immediately extends to direct factors of a free module by what we just proved.

2. Prove the *Snake Lemma*: if $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$, $0 \rightarrow A' \rightarrow B' \rightarrow C' \rightarrow 0$ are short exact sequences of R -modules, and $\alpha: A \rightarrow A'$, $\beta: B \rightarrow B'$, $\gamma: C \rightarrow C'$ are R -linear maps defining a morphism between the two exact sequences (i.e. such that the resulting diagram commutes), then there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \ker \alpha \rightarrow \ker \beta \rightarrow \ker \gamma \rightarrow \text{coker } \alpha \rightarrow \text{coker } \beta \rightarrow \text{coker } \gamma \rightarrow 0 .$$

Solution. The Snake Lemma is thoroughly proven in [2], Lemma 1 (and Corollary 2), section 1.5.

3. (a) Let P be a projective module over a ring R . Show that $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, P) = 0$ for every R -module M and every integer $i > 0$.
- (b) i. Show that an R -module M is flat if and only if $\text{Tor}_1^R(M, N) = 0$ for any R -module N .
- ii. Show that an R -module M is flat if and only if $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, N) = 0$ for any R -module N and any integer $i > 0$.

Solution.

- (a) If P is projective, a projective resolution of P is given by the exact sequence $\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow P \xrightarrow{\text{id}} P \rightarrow 0$, from which the conclusion trivially follows.
- (b) By definition, M is flat if tensoring with M transforms exact sequences into exact sequences. Therefore, it is automatic by the definition of the Tor functor that flatness of M implies $\text{Tor}_i^R(M, N) = 0$ for any R -module N and any integer $i > 0$.

It thus remains to show that M is flat whenever $\text{Tor}_1^R(M, N) = 0$ for any R -module N . Given a short exact sequence of modules $0 \rightarrow N' \rightarrow N \rightarrow N'' \rightarrow 0$, we have a long exact sequence in homology

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1(M, N') \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Tor}_1(M, N'') \rightarrow M \otimes N' \rightarrow M \otimes N \rightarrow M \otimes N'' \rightarrow 0.$$

Since by assumption $\text{Tor}_1(M, N'') = 0$, the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M \otimes N' \rightarrow M \otimes N \rightarrow M \otimes N'' \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

4. Let R be a commutative ring, $x \in R$ a nonzerodivisor. Prove that

$$\text{Tor}_1(R/(x), M) \simeq \{m \in M : xm = 0\}$$

(which incidentally explains the name "Tor", since it is connected with torsion elements in this elementary example).

Solution. It is equivalent to determine $\text{Tor}_1(M, R/(x))$ by commutativity of the functor Tor. We may assume the free resolution of $R/(x)$ to be given by

$\cdots \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{f} R \rightarrow R/(x) \rightarrow 0$, where f is the map defined by $f(y) = xy$ for all $y \in R$ (injective because x is a nonzerodivisor). By definition of the Tor functor, we have

$$\text{Tor}_1(M, R/(x)) \simeq \frac{\ker(M \otimes R \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes f} M \otimes R)}{\text{Im}(M \otimes 0 \rightarrow M \otimes R)} = \ker(M \otimes R \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes f} M \otimes R).$$

Identifying $M \otimes R$ with M in the canonical way, the map $\text{id} \otimes f$ becomes the assignment $m \rightarrow xm$ for all $m \in M$. The proof is concluded.

5. Let R be a local ring with maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} , M an R -module. We say that a free resolution

$$F : \cdots \rightarrow F_{i+1} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{i+1}} F_i \xrightarrow{\varphi_i} \cdots \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

of M is *minimal* if each φ_i has image contained in $\mathfrak{m}F_{i-1}$.

If F is a minimal resolution of M as above and $\text{rank} F_i = b_i$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, then prove that

$$\text{Tor}_i^R(R/\mathfrak{m}, M) \simeq (R/\mathfrak{m})^{b_i}.$$

The b_i are called the *Betti numbers* of M , by analogy with the corresponding algebraic topology context, in which F is a chain complex.

Solution. By definition, we have

$$\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(R/\mathfrak{m}, M) = \frac{\ker(R/\mathfrak{m} \otimes R^{b_i} \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{m} \otimes R^{b_{i-1}})}{\mathrm{Im}(R/\mathfrak{m} \otimes R^{b_{i+1}} \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{m} \otimes R^{b_i})}. \quad (1)$$

To get what we want, it suffices to prove that every map

$$R/\mathfrak{m} \otimes R^{b_i} \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{m} \otimes R^{b_{i-1}}$$

is the zero map. If this is so, we may indeed deduce from (1) that

$$\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(R/\mathfrak{m}, M) = R/\mathfrak{m} \otimes R^{b_i} \simeq (R/\mathfrak{m} \otimes R)^{b_i} \simeq (R/\mathfrak{m})^{b_i}.$$

The fact that each map $R/\mathfrak{m} \otimes R^{b_i} \rightarrow R/\mathfrak{m} \otimes R^{b_{i-1}}$ is the zero map is an immediate consequence of the fact that $\varphi_i(F_i) \subset \mathfrak{m}F_{i-1}$, since R/\mathfrak{m} is annihilated (as an R -module) by \mathfrak{m} .

6. Let R be a noetherian ring, $\mathfrak{m} \subset R$ an ideal, $\hat{\mathfrak{m}}$ the corresponding ideal in the \mathfrak{m} -adic completion \hat{R} .
 - (a) Prove that $\hat{\mathfrak{m}}$ is contained in the Jacobson radical of \hat{R} .
 - (b) Deduce from the previous point that, if R is a noetherian local ring and \mathfrak{m} is its maximal ideal, then \hat{R} is a (noetherian) local ring with maximal ideal $\hat{\mathfrak{m}}$.

Solution. For the proof of the two statements, see [1], Proposition 10.15 *iv*) and 10.16.

References

- [1] M. Atiyah, Y. McDonald (1994), *Introduction to commutative algebra*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company.
- [2] S. Bosch (2012), *Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra*, Springer.
- [3] D. Eisenbud (2004), *Commutative Algebra with a View towards Algebraic Geometry*, Springer.