

Solutions Sheet 12

INJECTIVE MODULES, EXT FUNCTOR AND ARTIN RINGS

Let R be a commutative ring, k an algebraically closed field.

1. Let M be a finitely presented module over a ring R , $\varphi: R^n \rightarrow M$ a surjective R -linear map. Prove that $\ker \varphi$ is finitely generated.

(Hint: use Snake Lemma, Exercise 2 Sheet 11.)

Solution. Since M is finitely presented, there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \ker \psi \rightarrow R^m \xrightarrow{\psi} M \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\ker \psi$ is a finitely generated submodule of R^m . We also have the short exact sequence given by

$$0 \rightarrow \ker \varphi \rightarrow R^n \xrightarrow{\varphi} M \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $\gamma: M \rightarrow M$ be the identity map. Since free modules are projective, there exists an R -linear map $\beta: R^m \rightarrow R^n$ making the right-hand square of the diagram commute, i.e. such that $\gamma \circ \psi = \varphi \circ \beta$. The restriction of β to $\ker \psi$ gives then a map $\alpha: \ker \psi \rightarrow \ker \varphi$ making also the left-hand square of the diagram commute. The Snake Lemma gives an isomorphism between the finitely generated R -modules $\ker \varphi / \text{Im}(\alpha) \simeq R^n / \text{Im}(\beta)$. Since $\text{Im}(\alpha)$ is also finitely generated, being an homomorphic image of $\ker \psi$, we conclude that $\ker \varphi$ is finitely generated. See also the proof of Proposition 7, section 1.5 in [2].

2. An R -module Q is called *injective* if, for every monomorphism of R -modules $\alpha: N \rightarrow M$ and every homomorphism of R -modules $\beta: N \rightarrow Q$, there exists an homomorphism of R -modules $\gamma: M \rightarrow Q$ such that $\beta = \gamma \circ \alpha$.

- (a) Prove the following statement: let Q be an R -module, and assume that for every ideal $I \subset R$ and every homomorphism of R -modules $\beta: I \rightarrow Q$ there is an extension of β to an R -module homomorphism $R \rightarrow Q$. Then Q is injective.

(Hint: use Zorn's lemma to construct the desired extension.)

- (b) Use the previous point to show that an abelian group Q is an injective \mathbb{Z} -module if and only if it is *divisible*, i.e. for every $q \in Q$ and every $0 \neq n \in \mathbb{Z}$ there exists $q' \in Q$ such that $nq' = q$.

Solution. For (a), see [3], Lemma A3.4. For (b), see [3], Proposition A3.5.

3. Given an R -module M , an *injective resolution* of M is an exact sequence of R -modules

$$0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow Q_0 \rightarrow Q_1 \rightarrow Q_2 \rightarrow \cdots$$

in which the $Q_i, i \geq 0$ are injective modules.

- (a) Assuming (without proving it) that every module can be embedded into an injective module, prove that any R -module admits an injective resolution.
- (b) Give an example of an injective resolution of \mathbb{Z} as \mathbb{Z} -module.
(Hint: an immediate consequence of point (b) of the previous exercise is that, if Q is an injective abelian group and K is a subgroup, then Q/K is an injective abelian group.)

Solution.

- (a) Let M be an R -module, and embed it into an injective module Q_0 . Then, let Q_1 be an injective module in which the cokernel Q_0/M embeds. Continuing in this fashion an injective resolution of M is obtained.
- (b) The additive group \mathbb{Q} is an injective \mathbb{Z} -module by Exercise 2 (b); in particular, the quotient group \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} is also injective as a \mathbb{Z} -module. Therefore, an injective resolution of \mathbb{Z} is given by

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots .$$

4. The purpose of this exercise is to give another example of a derived functor (we already saw the functor Tor , which is left-derived, in the lecture).

The functor $\text{Hom}_R(M, -)$, where R is a commutative ring and M is a fixed R -module, is left-exact, i.e. it transforms exact sequences of the form $0 \rightarrow N' \rightarrow N \rightarrow N''$ into exact sequences of the same form. If N is an arbitrary R -module, let

$$I : 0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow I_0 \rightarrow I_1 \rightarrow \cdots$$

be an injective resolution of N (it always exists by the previous exercise), and form the associated complex

$$\text{Hom}_R(M, I) : 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, I_0) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, I_1) \rightarrow \cdots .$$

We define the *Ext* functor $\text{Ext}_R^i(M, N)$ to be the homology module $H_{-i}(\text{Hom}_R(M, I))$, for all integer $i \geq 0$. As in the case of the Tor functor, it can be shown that the definition does not depend on the choice of the injective resolution of N .

- (a) Let $x \in R$ be a nonzerodivisor. For any R -module M , compute $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/(x), M)$. In particular, compute $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^i(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})$ for any integers n, m .
- (b) Prove that a finitely generated abelian group A is free (as a \mathbb{Z} -module) if and only if $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(A, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$.

Solution.

- (a) As remarked in [3], section A3.11, the modules $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/(x), M)$ can be computed also from a projective resolution $F : \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow R/(x) \rightarrow 0$ of $R/(x)$; more specifically,

$$\text{Ext}_R^i(R/(x), M) = H_{-i}(\text{Hom}_R(F, M)) ,$$

where $\text{Hom}_R(F, M)$ is the complex

$$\text{Hom}_R(F, M) : 0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(F_0, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(F_1, M) \rightarrow \cdots .$$

Now, since x is a nonzerodivisor in R , a projective resolution of $R/(x)$ is given by $\cdots 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{f} R \rightarrow R/(x) \rightarrow 0$, where f denotes multiplication by x in R . Applying $\text{Hom}_R(-, M)$ gives the complex

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R/(x), M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R, M) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R, M) \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots ,$$

which identifies canonically with the complex

$$0 \rightarrow \{m \in M : xm = 0\} \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{g} M \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots ,$$

where g denotes multiplication by x in the module M . It thus follows immediately that

$$\text{Ext}_R^i(R/(x), M) = \begin{cases} \{m \in M : xm = 0\} & \text{if } i = 0; \\ M/xM & \text{if } i = 1; \\ 0 & \text{if } i > 1. \end{cases}$$

Specializing to the case $R = \mathbb{Z}$, $x = n$, $M = \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}$ (viewed as a \mathbb{Z} -module), we get

$$\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^i(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}) = \begin{cases} \{k \in \{0, 1, \dots, m-1\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} : m|nk\} & \text{if } i = 0; \\ \mathbb{Z}/(m, n)\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } i = 1; \\ 0 & \text{if } i > 1. \end{cases}$$

- (b) Assume that A is a finitely generated abelian group which is free as a \mathbb{Z} -module. Thus a free resolution of A is given by $\cdots 0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{\text{id}} A \rightarrow 0$. Hence $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(A, \mathbb{Z})$ is a quotient of $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(0, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, and is therefore trivial. Conversely, if a finitely generated abelian group A satisfies $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(A, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, then by the fundamental theorem for finitely generated abelian groups we have that $A \simeq \mathbb{Z}^n \oplus \mathbb{Z}/q_1\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}/q_r\mathbb{Z}$, where $n \geq 0$, $q_i \geq 1$, $r \geq 0$ are integers. By the analogous property for the Hom functor, it can be easily seen that

$$0 = \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(A, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z})^n \oplus \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(\mathbb{Z}/q_1\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) \oplus \cdots \oplus \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(\mathbb{Z}/q_r\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) .$$

An easy computation, similar to the one in the previous point, shows that $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$, while $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{Z}}^1(\mathbb{Z}/q_i\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/q_i\mathbb{Z}$. Thus, necessarily, $q_i = 1$ for all $i \leq r$, so that $A \simeq \mathbb{Z}^n$ is free.

5. Let R be a noetherian ring. Prove that the following are equivalent:

- (a) R is an Artin ring;
- (b) $\text{Spec}(R)$ is discrete (w.r.t. the Zariski topology) and finite;
- (c) $\text{Spec}(R)$ is discrete (w.r.t. the Zariski topology).

Solution. [(b) \implies (c)] Obvious.

[(c) \implies (a)] If $\text{Spec}(R)$ is discrete, then in particular every point is closed. Since closed points in the spectrum correspond to maximal ideals, this shows that every prime ideal of R is maximal. Thus $\dim R = 0$, and since R is noetherian by assumption, we deduce that R is an Artin ring.

[(a) \implies (b)] If R is an Artin ring, then every prime ideal is maximal, so that every point of $\text{Spec}(R)$ is closed for the Zariski topology; moreover R has just a finite number of prime ideals, so that $\text{Spec}(R)$ is a finite set. The unique topology on a finite set for which every singleton is closed is the discrete topology.

6. Let k be a field, and consider the ring $R = k[X^2, X^3]/(X^n)$, where n is a sufficiently large integer (e.g. $n \geq 10$). Prove that R has just one prime ideal and conclude that it is zero-dimensional.

Solution. First of all, let us remark that $k[X^2, X^3]$ is the subring of $k[X]$ consisting of all polynomials with vanishing first-order term. This is because every integer $n \geq 2$ can be written as a linear combination $2\alpha + 3\beta$, where $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$ are integers, hence any power $X^n, n \geq 2$ lies in $k[X^2, X^3]$. To prove the given statement, it is sufficient to show that the maximal ideal (X^2, X^3) (consisting of all polynomials with vanishing zero-order and first-order term) of $k[X^2, X^3]$ is the unique prime ideal containing the principal ideal (X^n) , $n \geq 2$. Thus, let \mathfrak{p}' be a prime ideal of $k[X^2, X^3]$ containing $X^n = X^2X^{n-2} = X^3X^{n-3}$. If \mathfrak{p}' contains both X^2 and X^3 , we are done by maximality of (X^2, X^3) , otherwise, by primality of \mathfrak{p}' , either $X^{n-2} \in \mathfrak{p}'$ or $X^{n-3} \in \mathfrak{p}'$. The proof is completed by induction on $n \geq 2$, the case $n = 2$ being trivial.

References

- [1] M. Atiyah, Y. McDonald (1994), *Introduction to commutative algebra*, Addison-Wesley Publishing Company.
- [2] S. Bosch (2012), *Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra*, Springer.

- [3] D.Eisenbud (2004), *Commutative Algebra with a View towards Algebraic Geometry*, Springer.