

## Solutions for exercise sheet 1

1. This is a straight-forward verification.

To show strict positivity let  $(v, w) \in V \times W$ . Then

$$\|(v, w)\|_{V \times W} = \max\{\|v\|_V, \|w\|_W\} = 0$$

is equivalent to  $\|v\|_V = 0$  and  $\|w\|_W = 0$  i.e. to  $v = 0$  and  $w = 0$  by strict positivity of the norms  $\|\cdot\|_V, \|\cdot\|_W$ .

To show homogeneity let  $(v, w) \in V \times W$  and let  $\alpha$  be a scalar. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\alpha(v, w)\|_{V \times W} &= \|(\alpha v, \alpha w)\|_{V \times W} = \max\{\|\alpha v\|_V, \|\alpha w\|_W\} \\ &= \max\{|\alpha|\|v\|_V, |\alpha|\|w\|_W\} = |\alpha| \max\{\|v\|_V, \|w\|_W\} \end{aligned}$$

as desired where in the second to last equality we used the homogeneity of the norms  $\|\cdot\|_V, \|\cdot\|_W$ .

To show the triangle inequality let  $(v_1, w_1), (v_2, w_2) \in V \times W$ . Then the triangle inequality for the norms  $\|\cdot\|_V, \|\cdot\|_W$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_1 + v_2\|_V &\leq \|v_1\|_V + \|v_2\|_V \leq \|(v_1, w_1)\|_{V \times W} + \|(v_2, w_2)\|_{V \times W}, \\ \|w_1 + w_2\|_W &\leq \|w_1\|_W + \|w_2\|_W \leq \|(v_1, w_1)\|_{V \times W} + \|(v_2, w_2)\|_{V \times W}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(v_1, w_1) + (v_2, w_2)\|_{V \times W} &= \|(v_1 + v_2, w_1 + w_2)\|_{V \times W} \\ &= \max\{\|v_1 + v_2\|_V, \|w_1 + w_2\|_W\} \\ &\leq \|(v_1, w_1)\|_{V \times W} + \|(v_2, w_2)\|_{V \times W} \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

2. Assume first that  $\|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|'$  are equivalent. Let  $c \geq 1$  be such that

$$\frac{1}{c}\|v\|' \leq \|v\| \leq c\|v\|'$$

for all  $v \in V$ . Let  $(v_n)_n$  be a sequence in  $V$  which converges to  $v \in V$  with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  (or more precisely the topology induced by it). Then

$$0 \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v - v_n\|' \leq c \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v - v_n\| = 0$$

so the limit  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v - v_n\|'$  exists and is equal to zero. Thus, the sequence  $(v_n)_n$  converges to  $v \in V$  with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|'$  as well. Similarly, one shows that any sequence  $(v_n)_n$  in  $V$  which converges to  $v \in V$  with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|'$  also converges to  $v \in V$  with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|$ .

We now turn to the proof of the converse claim. Assume first by contradiction that there is no constant  $c_1$  such that  $\|v\| \leq c_1 \|v\|'$  for all  $v \in V$ . For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we may thus choose some  $v_n \in V$  with  $\|v_n\| > n \|v_n\|'$ . After replacing  $v_n$  by  $\frac{1}{\|v_n\|} v_n$  we may assume that  $\|v_n\| = 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\|v_n\|' < \frac{1}{n}$  for all  $n$  the sequence  $(v_n)_n$  converges to zero with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|'$ . But since we have  $\|v_n\| = 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  it does not converge to zero with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  which is a contradiction. Thus, there is a constant  $c_1 \geq 1$  such that  $\|v\| \leq c_1 \|v\|'$  for all  $v \in V$ . Similarly, one shows that there is a constant  $c_2 \geq 1$  such that  $\|v\|' \leq c_2 \|v\|$  for all  $v \in V$ . The constant  $c = \max\{c_1, c_2\}$  then satisfies

$$\frac{1}{c} \|v\|' \leq \|v\| \leq c \|v\|'$$

for all  $v \in V$  so the norms  $\|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|'$  are equivalent.

3. a) By Exercise 2 it suffices to find functions  $f_n \in C^1([0, 1])$  such that the sequence  $(f_n)_n$  converges to zero with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  but does not converge with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{C^1([0,1])}$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  define  $f_n : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  via

$$f_n(x) = \frac{1}{n+1} x^{n+1}$$

for  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Then certainly  $f_n \in C^1([0, 1])$  and we have  $f_n'(x) = x^n$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ . Thus,

$$\|f_n\|_\infty = \frac{1}{n}, \quad \|f_n\|_{C^1([0,1])} = \|f_n'\|_\infty = 1$$

so the functions  $f_n$  satisfy all desired properties.

- b) It is straight-forward to verify that  $\|\cdot\|_0 : C^1([0, 1]) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  is homogeneous and satisfies the triangle inequality (i.e. it is a semi-norm). To show strict positivity, we use the fundamental theorem of calculus which states that for any  $f \in C^1([0, 1])$  we have

$$f(x) = f(0) + \int_0^1 f'(x) dx \tag{1}$$

for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ . So  $\|f\|_0 = |f(0)| + \|f'\|_\infty = 0$  we have  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f' = 0$  and therefore  $f = 0$  by (1). Thus,  $\|\cdot\|_0$  is a norm.

To show equivalence of  $\|\cdot\|_{C^1([0,1])}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_0$  we also use the fundamental theorem of calculus. For  $f \in C^1([0, 1])$  and any  $x \in [0, 1]$  we have

$$|f(x)| \leq |f(0)| + \int_0^1 |f'(x)| dx \leq |f(0)| + \int_0^1 \|f'\|_\infty dx = \|f\|_0.$$

This shows that  $\|f\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_0$  and together with the inequality  $\|f'\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_0$  we obtain

$$\|f\|_{C^1([0,1])} \leq \|f\|_0$$

for all  $f \in C^1([0, 1])$ . For the converse inequality we estimate directly

$$\|f\|_0 = |f(0)| + \|f'\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty + \|f'\|_\infty \leq 2\|f\|_{C^1([0,1])}.$$

**4. a)** We fix  $b \geq 0$  and consider the smooth function

$$f : a \in [0, \infty) \mapsto ab - \frac{a^p}{p}.$$

Let  $a_{\max} \in (1, \infty)$  be a critical value of  $f$  i.e. with  $f'(a_{\max}) = 0$ . Then

$$f'(a) = b - a_{\max}^{p-1} = 0$$

and plugging this into the definition of  $f$

$$f(a_{\max}) = a_{\max}b - \frac{a_{\max}^p}{p} = \frac{1}{q}a_{\max}b = \frac{1}{q}b^{1+\frac{1}{p-1}} = \frac{1}{q}b^q$$

as  $1 + \frac{1}{p-1} = \frac{p}{p-1} = \frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{p}} = q$ . Since  $\lim_{a \rightarrow \infty} f(a) = -\infty$  and  $f(0) = 0 < f(a_{\max})$  we conclude that the unique (global) maximum of  $f$  is attained at the point  $a_{\max}$ . In summary, we have obtained that

$$f(a) \leq f(a_{\max}) = \frac{1}{q}b^q$$

for all  $a \geq 0$  which is Young's inequality.

**b)** Let  $x \in \ell(\mathbb{N})$  and let  $y \in \ell^q(\mathbb{N})$ . We may assume without loss of generality that  $\|x\|_p = \|y\|_q = 1$  by replacing  $x$  with  $\frac{x}{\|x\|_p}$  or  $y$  with  $\frac{y}{\|y\|_q}$  if necessary. By Young's inequality from a) we have

$$|x_n||y_n| \leq \frac{|x_n|^p}{p} + \frac{|y_n|^q}{q}$$

for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For a fixed (large)  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  we may sum over all  $n$  between 1 and  $N$  to obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^N |x_n| |y_n| \leq \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{|x_n|^p}{p} + \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{|y_n|^q}{q} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|x_n|^p}{p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|y_n|^q}{q} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1 \quad (2)$$

The series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n| |y_n|$  is thus convergent and its value is less or equal than 1 as was to show.

- c) Everything apart from the triangle inequality follows directly from the definition of  $\|\cdot\|_p$ . Let  $x, y \in \ell^p(\mathbb{N})$ . To avoid issues of convergence with fix a large enough integer  $N$  and consider sums only in the window  $[1, N]$ . Given any two sequences  $z, w$  one can apply b) to the sequences  $x', y'$  of finite support defined by  $x'_n = z_n$ ,  $y'_n = w_n$  if  $n \leq N$ ,  $x'_n = y'_n = 0$  if  $n > N$  to obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^N |z_n| |w_n| \leq \left( \sum_{n=1}^N |z_n|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \sum_{n=1}^N |w_n|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Now notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^N |x_n + y_n|^p &= \sum_{n=1}^N |x_n + y_n| |x_n + y_n|^{p-1} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^N |x_n| |x_n + y_n|^{p-1} + \sum_{n=1}^N |y_n| |x_n + y_n|^{p-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We now estimate each term. By (2) applied to the sequences  $z = x$  and  $w$  defined by  $w_n = |x_n + y_n|^{p-1}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^N |x_n| |x_n + y_n|^{p-1} &\leq \left( \sum_{n=1}^N |x_n|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \left( \sum_{n=1}^N |x_n + y_n|^{q(p-1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \\ &\leq \|x\|_p \left( \sum_{n=1}^N |x_n + y_n|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \end{aligned}$$

as  $p = q(p-1)$ . Similarly, one estimates the second term and obtains

$$\sum_{n=1}^N |x_n + y_n|^p \leq \|x\|_p \left( \sum_{n=1}^N |x_n + y_n|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} + \|y\|_p \left( \sum_{n=1}^N |x_n + y_n|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.$$

Division by  $\left(\sum_{n=1}^N |x_n + y_n|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$  gives

$$\left(\sum_{n=1}^N |x_n + y_n|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \|x\|_p + \|y\|_p$$

and the limit as  $N \rightarrow \infty$  yields the triangle inequality.

5. We begin by showing that  $V_1, V_2$  are closed. Essentially, this is true as  $V_1, V_2$  are defined by equations. For  $V_1$ , notice that it is the preimage of  $\{0\}$  under the map

$$\Phi_1 : V \rightarrow \ell^1(\mathbb{N}), \quad (x, y) \mapsto y.$$

By definition of the norm in Exercise 1,  $\Phi_1$  is 1-Lipschitz and in particular continuous. Thus,  $V_1$  is closed.

The subspace  $V_2$  is the intersection over all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  of the subspaces

$$V_2^k = \{(x, y) \in V : ky_k = x_k\}.$$

Every  $V_2^k$  is closed as it is the preimage of  $\{0\}$  under the continuous linear map

$$\Phi_2^k : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad (x, y) \mapsto ky_k - x_k.$$

Indeed,  $\Phi_2^k$  is  $(k + 1)$ -Lipschitz as for any  $(x, y) \in V$

$$\begin{aligned} |ky_k - x_k| &\leq k|y_k| + |x_k| \leq k\|y\|_1 + \|x\|_1 \leq k\|(x, y)\|_V + \|(x, y)\|_V \\ &= (k + 1)\|(x, y)\|_V. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to show that  $V_1 + V_2$  is not closed. For this we consider the subspace  $c_c(\mathbb{N})$  of  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$  of finitely supported sequences i.e. sequences  $x$  with  $x_n = 0$  for all large enough  $n$ . Notice that  $c_c(\mathbb{N})$  is dense in  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$ . Indeed, given  $x \in \ell^1(\mathbb{N})$  we may choose for any  $\epsilon > 0$  some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} |x_n| < \epsilon$ . If we then set  $x' \in c_c(\mathbb{N})$  to be the sequence with  $x'_n = x_n$  if  $n \leq N$  and  $x'_n = 0$  if  $n > N$  we obtain

$$\|x - x'\|_1 = \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} |x_n| < \epsilon$$

As  $c_c(\mathbb{N})$  is dense in  $\ell^1(\mathbb{N})$ ,  $c_c(\mathbb{N}) \times c_c(\mathbb{N})$  is dense in  $V$ .

We claim that  $V_1 + V_2$  contains  $c_c(\mathbb{N}) \times c_c(\mathbb{N})$  so that  $V_1 + V_2$  is also dense. Indeed, given  $(x, y) \in c_c(\mathbb{N})$  we can write for every  $n$

$$(x_n, y_n) = (x_n - ny_n, 0) + (ny_n, y_n).$$

The first term on the right defines an element of  $V_1$  and the second an element of  $V_2$  as  $x, y$  are finitely supported. This shows the claim.

However,  $V_1 + V_2$  is not all of  $V$  as for instance the vector  $(x, y)$  given by  $x_n = 0$  and  $y_n = \frac{1}{n^2}$  is not contained in  $V_1 + V_2$ . In fact, suppose we can write

$$(x, y) = (0, y) = (x', 0) + (x'', y'')$$

where  $(x', 0) \in V_1$  and  $(x'', y'') \in V_2$ . Then  $y''_n = y_n = \frac{1}{n^2}$  and  $x''_n = x''_n = ny''_n = \frac{1}{n}$ . But then  $x'' \in \ell^1(\mathbb{N})$  which yields a contradiction.

Summing things up,  $V_1 + V_2$  is dense and not all of  $V$ . In particular,  $V_1 + V_2$  is not closed.

6. Notice that  $0 \in B$  as for any  $b \in B$  we have  $-b \in B$  (by rotational invariance) and thus  $\frac{b+(-b)}{2} \in B$  (by convexity). If  $v \in \mathbb{C}^d$  and  $\alpha > 0$  is such that  $\alpha v \in B$  then  $0 \in B$  implies that  $\beta v \in B$  for any  $\beta \in [-\alpha, \alpha]$ .

We define  $\|\cdot\| : \mathbb{C}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  through

$$\|v\| = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : \frac{1}{\lambda}v \in B \right\}$$

for all  $v \in \mathbb{C}^d$ . To motivate this (at first possibly random) definition let us show that the open unit ball for  $\|\cdot\|$  is  $B$  (though we haven't shown that  $\|\cdot\|$  is a norm yet). If  $v \in B$  then (as  $B$  is open) there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\frac{1}{1-\delta}v \in B$  so  $\|v\| \leq 1 - \delta < 1$ . Conversely, if  $v \in \mathbb{C}^d$  satisfies  $\|v\| < 1$  then any  $\lambda > \|v\|$  fulfills  $\frac{1}{\lambda}v \in B$  (here we use convexity) and in particular  $v = \frac{1}{\lambda}v \in B$ .

We now turn to showing that  $\|\cdot\|$  is indeed a norm. By definition  $\|\cdot\|$  is non-negative. If  $v \in B$  satisfies  $\|v\| = 0$  then  $\frac{1}{\lambda}v \in B$  for all  $\lambda > 0$  which is impossible as  $B$  is bounded. This shows strict positivity.

To show homogeneity, let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  be non-zero (otherwise we are already done) and let  $v \in \mathbb{C}^d$ . Write  $\alpha = |\alpha|\alpha'$  where  $\alpha' \in \mathbb{C}$  has norm one. Note first of all that  $\|\alpha'v\| = \|v\|$  as by rotational invariance  $\lambda > 0$  satisfies  $\frac{1}{\lambda}v \in B$  if and only if it satisfies  $\frac{1}{\lambda}\alpha'v \in B$ . We can thus assume that  $\alpha = |\alpha| > 0$ . If  $\lambda > 0$  is such that  $\frac{1}{\lambda}v \in B$ , the number  $\lambda' = |\alpha|\lambda$  fulfills  $\frac{1}{\lambda'}|\alpha|v \in B$  and so  $\|\alpha\|v \leq |\alpha|\|v\|$ . The other inequality is obtained in the same fashion.

To show the triangle inequality we let  $v_1, v_2 \in \mathbb{C}^d$  be arbitrary and pick  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$  such that  $\frac{1}{\lambda_1}v_1, \frac{1}{\lambda_2}v_2 \in B$ . We need to show that  $\|v_1 + v_2\| \leq \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$ . Observe that

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}(v_1 + v_2) = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_1}v_1 \right) + \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_2}v_2 \right).$$

By the choice of  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  the right hand side is a convex combination of elements of  $B$  and is therefore in  $B$ . This proves the triangle inequality and we conclude that  $\|\cdot\|$  is a norm with open unit ball  $B$  as desired.