

Probability Theory

Solution sheet 4

Solution 4.1

- (a) We denote the distribution functions of X_n and X by F_n and F respectively. We have to show that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(y) = F(y)$ for continuity points y of F .

So, let $y \in \mathbb{R}$ be a continuity point of F and let $\varepsilon > 0$. By the continuity of F in y there is a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$F(y) - \varepsilon \leq F(x) \leq F(y) + \varepsilon, \quad x \in [y - \delta, y + \delta]. \quad (1)$$

Since the X_n converge to X in probability, there is a $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$P[|X_n - X| > \delta] \leq \varepsilon, \quad n \geq N. \quad (2)$$

Now, for $n \geq N$,

$$\begin{aligned} F_n(y) = P[X_n \leq y] &\leq P[\{X \leq y + \delta\} \cup \{|X - X_n| > \delta\}] \\ &\leq P[X \leq y + \delta] + P[|X - X_n| > \delta] \\ &\stackrel{(2)}{\leq} F(y + \delta) + \varepsilon \stackrel{(1)}{\leq} F(y) + 2\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_n(y) = P[X_n \leq y] &\geq P[\{X \leq y - \delta\} \setminus \{|X - X_n| > \delta\}] \\ &\geq P[X \leq y - \delta] - P[|X - X_n| > \delta] \\ &\stackrel{(2)}{\geq} F(y - \delta) - \varepsilon \stackrel{(1)}{\geq} F(y) - 2\varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$F(y) - 2\varepsilon \leq F_n(y) \leq F(y) + 2\varepsilon.$$

Thus,

$$F(y) - 2\varepsilon \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(y) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(y) \leq F(y) + 2\varepsilon.$$

But this holds for all $\varepsilon > 0$, so we are done.

- (b) We assume that for a $c \in \mathbb{R}$

$$X_n \rightarrow c \text{ in distribution.} \quad (3)$$

The constant c has distribution function

$$F(x) = 1_{[c, \infty)},$$

which is continuous except in c . So we know from (3)

$$F_n(z) = P[X_n \leq z] \rightarrow \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } z < c, \\ 1 & \text{if } z > c. \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

We want to show that for all $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P[|X_n - c| \geq \varepsilon] = 0.$$

Now,

$$P[|X_n - c| \geq \varepsilon] = P[X_n \leq c - \varepsilon] + P[X_n \geq c + \varepsilon],$$

so that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P[|X_n - c| \geq \varepsilon] \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P[X_n \leq c - \varepsilon] + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P[X_n \geq c + \varepsilon].$$

By (4), we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P[X_n \leq c - \varepsilon] = 0$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Furthermore

$$P[X_n \geq c + \varepsilon] = 1 - P[X_n < c + \varepsilon] \leq 1 - P\left[X_n \leq c + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right].$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P[X_n \geq c + \varepsilon] \leq 1 - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left[X_n \leq c + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\right] \stackrel{(4)}{=} 0.$$

Solution 4.2

We start proving the statement of the hint. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and take $-\infty = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_n = \infty \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\pm\infty\}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$F(x_{i+1}) - F(x_i) \leq \epsilon, \tag{5}$$

note that this is possible by the continuity of F and the fact that F is non-decreasing with $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = 1$ and $\lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} f(x) = 0$. We assume that $F_m(x) \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} F(x)$ for all $x \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$, which imply that there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all $m \geq N$

$$\sup_{0 \leq i \leq n} |F_m(x_i) - F(x_i)| < \epsilon. \tag{6}$$

Note that for all $x \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ there exists $i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ such that $x_i \leq x \leq x_{i+1}$. Combining (5) and (6) we get that,

$$F_m(x) - F(x) \leq F_m(x_{i+1}) - [F(x_{i+1}) - \epsilon] \leq 2\epsilon.$$

and

$$F(x) - F_m(x) \leq [F(x_i) + \epsilon] - F_m(x_i) \leq 2\epsilon.$$

Therefore $|F_m(x) - F(x)| \leq 2\epsilon$ for all $x \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ and $m \geq N$, so we get the desired uniform convergence. Now we apply this result to our problem. Let us remark that for each given $x \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}$, $F_n(x)$ is actually a random variable: $\omega \mapsto \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n 1_{\{X_i(\omega) \leq x\}}$. To keep notation clean we usually omit ω in F_n . By the Strong Law of Large Numbers,

$$F_n(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n 1_{\{X_i \leq x\}} \rightarrow P(X_i \leq x) = F(x), \text{ P-a.s.},$$

which imply that for all $x \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ ($\pm\infty$ is trivial) there exists $N_x \subset \Omega$ with $P(N_x) = 0$ such that for all $\omega \notin N_x$, $F_n(x, \omega) \rightarrow F(x)$ as n goes to ∞ . Let N be defined as $N := \bigcup_{y \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}} N_y$, then for all $x \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ and $\omega \in N$, $F_n(x, \omega) \rightarrow F(x)$ pointwise, therefore, by the hint above, $\forall \omega \notin N$, $F_n \rightarrow F$ uniformly as n goes to infinity. Since N is the countable union of set with measure 0 we have that $P(N) = 0$ and then $F_n(x) \rightarrow F(x)$ uniformly P-a.s..

Solution 4.3

(a) Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and

$$V_{\epsilon, \delta} := \{x \in \mathbb{R} | \exists y, z \in (x - \delta, x + \delta) \text{ s.t. } |f(y) - f(z)| \geq \epsilon\}.$$

(i) Claim: $V_{\epsilon, \delta}$ is open.

Let $x \in V_{\epsilon, \delta}$. Then there are $y, z \in (x - \delta, x + \delta)$ such that $|f(y) - f(z)| \geq \epsilon$. We set $r := \delta - \max\{|y - x|, |z - x|\} > 0$.

$\Rightarrow \forall \tilde{x} \in (x - r, x + r)$ it holds that $|y - \tilde{x}| \leq |y - x| + |x - \tilde{x}| < |y - x| + r \leq \delta$, and similarly for z . From this it follows that $y, z \in (\tilde{x} - \delta, \tilde{x} + \delta)$ and $|f(y) - f(z)| \geq \epsilon$, which gives $\tilde{x} \in V_{\epsilon, \delta}$. So $(x - r, x + r) \subset V_{\epsilon, \delta}$, and the claim follows.

(ii) Claim: $U_f = \bigcup_n \bigcap_m V_{\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{m}}$.

“ \subset “ Let $x \in U_f$. Then there is an $n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$\forall m \in \mathbb{N} \exists y \in \left(x - \frac{1}{m}, x + \frac{1}{m}\right) \text{ s.t. } |f(x) - f(y)| \geq \frac{1}{n}.$$

“ \supset “ We assume that for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in V_{\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{m}}$, $\forall m$. Then there are $y, z \in (x - \frac{1}{m}, x + \frac{1}{m})$ so that $|f(y) - f(z)| \geq \frac{1}{n}$.

From this it follows that either $|f(y) - f(x)| \geq \frac{1}{2n}$ or $|f(z) - f(x)| \geq \frac{1}{2n}$ must hold. In other words $\exists n \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$\forall m \in \mathbb{N} \exists y \in \left(x - \frac{1}{m}, x + \frac{1}{m}\right) : |f(y) - f(x)| \geq \frac{1}{2n},$$

which implies that f is discontinuous in x .

Since the $V_{\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{m}}$ are open, they are Borel measurable. And since any σ -algebra is closed under countable unions and intersections, $U_f = \bigcup_n \bigcap_m V_{\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{m}}$ must also be Borel measurable.

(b) By (2.2.13) – (2.2.14) of the lecture notes, there exist $Y_n \stackrel{d}{=} X_n$, and $Y \stackrel{d}{=} X$, such that $Y_n \rightarrow Y$, P' -almost surely on a probability space $(\Omega', \mathcal{F}', P')$. Of course, we also have $f(Y_n) \stackrel{d}{=} f(X_n)$, and $f(Y) \stackrel{d}{=} f(X)$, so that we have $E[f(X_n)] = E[f(Y_n)]$, and $E[f(X)] = E[f(Y)]$, where we denote by E' the expectation w.r.t. P' . Thus, it suffices to show that

$$E'[f(Y_n)] \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} E'[f(Y)]. \quad (7)$$

Now, since $Y_n \rightarrow Y$, P' -almost surely, we have a set N , with $P'(N) = 0$, such that

$$\left\{ \omega' \in \Omega' \mid Y_n(\omega') \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} Y(\omega') \right\} \cup N = \Omega'. \quad (8)$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \{\omega' \mid Y_n(\omega') \rightarrow Y(\omega')\} &\subseteq \{\omega' \mid f \text{ cont. in } Y(\omega'), Y_n(\omega') \rightarrow Y(\omega')\} \cup \{\omega' \mid f \text{ discontin. in } Y(\omega')\} \\ &\subseteq \{\omega' \mid f(Y_n(\omega')) \rightarrow f(Y(\omega'))\} \cup \{\omega' \mid Y(\omega') \in U_f\}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, it follows from equation (8) that we have

$$\{\omega' \mid f(Y_n(\omega')) \rightarrow f(Y(\omega'))\} \cup \{\omega' \mid Y(\omega') \in U_f\} \cup N = \Omega'.$$

But, by assumption $P'(Y \in U_f) = P(X \in U_f) = 0$ (recall that Y and X have the same distribution). Therefore, we get $f(Y_n) \rightarrow f(Y)$, P' -almost surely. Finally f is a bounded function, so by the Dominated Convergence Theorem equation (7) holds.

(c) Let λ be the Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]$, and, for all $a \in [0, 1]$, let δ_a denote the Dirac delta measure on $[0, 1]$. Let $X_n, n \geq 1$, be random variables with distribution $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \delta_{\frac{k}{n}}$. Note that

$$E[f(X_n)] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right).$$

Let X be a uniform random variable on $[0, 1]$, hence it has distribution λ , and we note that

$$E[f(X)] = \int_0^1 f(x)\lambda(dx).$$

Thus, it suffices to show that we have

$$E[f(X_n)] \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} E[f(X)]. \quad (9)$$

Since by assumption $P[X \in U_f] = \lambda(U_f) = 0$, part **b)** implies that equation (9) is a consequence of the following:

$$X_n \xrightarrow{d} X. \quad (10)$$

To show equation (10), note that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$P[X_n \leq a] = \begin{cases} 0, & a < 0, \\ \frac{[na]}{n}, & 0 \leq a \leq 1, \\ 1, & 1 < a. \end{cases}$$

Since we have $na - 1 < [na] \leq na$ (i.e. $[na]$ denotes the integer part of na), we get $\frac{[na]}{n} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} a$, for all $0 \leq a \leq 1$. Thus, we obtain, for $0 \leq a \leq 1$,

$$P[X_n \leq a] \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda([0, a]) = P[X \leq a],$$

which implies equation (10), by definition. (Cases for $a < 0$ and $a > 1$ are trivially verified.)