# Mathematical Finance

## Solution sheet 1

### Solution 1.1

(a) Suppose that S is adapted and RCLL.

Regularity: Obviously,  $S_t^*$  is increasing in t. Fix  $t_0 \geq 0$ . Then for *P*-a.a.  $\omega$ ,  $\lim_{t \downarrow t_0} S_t^*(\omega)$  exists in  $[-\infty, \infty)$  and we have  $\lim_{t \downarrow t_0} S_t^*(\omega) \geq S_{t_0}^*(\omega)$ . Now by the right-continuity of  $S(\omega)$ , for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  we can find  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|S_{t_0+\eta}(\omega) - S_{t_0}(\omega)| < \varepsilon$  whenever  $0 \leq \eta \leq \delta$ . Thus  $|S_{t_0+\eta}^*(\omega) - S_{t_0}^*(\omega)| \leq \sup_{0 \leq \eta \leq \delta} |S_{t_0+\eta}(\omega) - S_{t_0}(\omega)| \leq \varepsilon$  whenever  $0 \leq \eta \leq \delta$ . This shows that  $S^*(\omega)$  is right-continuous.

By a similar argument, it is easy to show that  $S^*(\omega)$  has left limits.

Again fix  $t_0 \geq 0$ . It is easy to show that for *P*-a.a.  $\omega$ , for each T > 0,  $S(\omega)$  is bounded on [0, T]. From  $|A_{t_0+\eta}(\omega) - A_{t_0}(\omega)| \leq \int_{t_0}^{t_0+\eta} |S_r(\omega)| \, \mathrm{d}r$ , we even obtain that  $A(\omega)$  is continuous.

Adaptedness: For each  $t \ge 0$ , since S is RCLL, we have  $S_t^* = \sup_{r \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0,t]} S_r$ , showing that  $S_t^*$  is  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -measurable.

To show that A is adapted, consider  $S^n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{1}_{\{(k-1)/n < t \le k/n\}} S_{(k-1)/n}$ . Because S is P-a.s. bounded on [0,t],  $S^n \to S$  uniformly on [0,t] P-a.s. Now since  $r \mapsto A_r$  is continuous, it suffices to show that for each s < t,  $A_s$  is  $\mathcal{F}_s$ -measurable. Set  $K(n) = \sup\{k : k/n \le s\}$ . Then clearly

$$\int_{0}^{s} S_{r} \, \mathrm{d}r = \lim_{n \to \infty} \int_{0}^{s} S_{r}^{n} \, \mathrm{d}r = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{K(n)} \frac{S_{(k-1)/n}}{n} \quad P\text{-a.s.}$$

So  $A_s$  is *P*-a.s. equal to an  $\mathcal{F}_s$ -measurable random variable and therefore  $\mathcal{F}_s$ -measurable because  $\mathbb{F}$  is complete.

(b) If S is adapted and continuous, then by (a) both  $S^*$  and A are continuous and adapted. Therefore,  $t \mapsto f(S_t, S_t^*, A_t)$  as a composition of continuous functions is continuous and adapted. Hence  $\vartheta$  is predictable.

If S is only RCLL and adapted, the statement is not true. It is enough to consider an example where S is RCLL and adapted, but not predictable. An easy example on [0, 1] is that  $X_t = \mathbb{1}_{\{1/2 \le t \le 1\}} B$  with its natural filtration, where B is a (nondegenerate) Bernoulli random variable. Obviously X is RCLL and adapted (to its natural filtration). But since X is 0 on [0, 1/2), any adapted and left-continuous process must be constant on [0, 1/2]. So the same should be true for any predictable process.

Updated: September 20, 2018

Now simply take f(x, y, z) = x, giving  $\vartheta = S$ , which is not predictable.

**Solution 1.2** Start with a geometric Brownian motion with  $\mu = 0$ ,  $\sigma = 1$ , so that  $\bar{S}_t = \exp(W_t - t/2)$ . It is clear that  $\bar{S}_t \to 0$  as  $t \to \infty$  a.s. So the stopping time  $\bar{\tau} := \inf\{t \ge 0 : \bar{S}_t = 1/2\}$  is a.s. finite. Set  $\psi(t) := \tan t$  and  $S_t := \bar{S}_{\psi(t)}$  for  $t \in [0, \pi/2)$  and  $S_{\pi/2} = 0$ . This yields again a continuous process S and a stopping time  $\tau := \psi^{-1} \circ \bar{\tau}$  with  $\tau \in [0, \pi/2)$  a.s. Thus we can use the predictable, self-financing strategy  $\varphi$  with  $v_0 = 0$  and going short on  $[0, \tau]$ , i.e.,  $\vartheta_t := -\mathbb{1}_{[0,\tau]}(t)$  (which is adapted and left-continuous). It follows that

$$V(\varphi) = \int \vartheta \, \mathrm{d}S = -(S^{\tau} - S_0).$$

So we end up with  $V_{\pi/2}(\varphi) = S_0 - S_{\pi/2}^{\tau} = S_0 - S_{\tau} = 1/2$ , which gives an arbitrage.

#### Solution 1.3

(a) First note that the left-continuous function  $\operatorname{sgn}(x)$  can be approximated pointwise by a sequence  $(g_n)$  of continuous functions and each  $g_n(X)$  as a continuous adapted process is therefore predictable. Hence, as  $\operatorname{sgn}(X) = \lim_{n \to \infty} g_n(X)$ , we can conclude that  $\operatorname{sgn}(X)$  is also predictable and of course bounded. In particular, this ensures that the stochastic integral  $\int_0^{\cdot} \operatorname{sgn}(X) dX$  is well-defined. An alternative proof is to note that X as a continuous, adapted process is predictable and  $\operatorname{sgn}(x)$  is Borel-measurable, so that the composition  $\operatorname{sgn}(X)$  is also predictable.

Next, according to the given hint, we have a family of convex  $C^2$ -functions  $f_h$  such that  $f_h(x) = -x$  for  $x \leq 0$ ,  $f_h(x) = x - h$  for  $x \geq h$  and  $f_h(x) \to |x|$ ,  $f'_h(x) \to \operatorname{sgn}(x)$  for all x as  $h \to 0$ . Note that since  $f_h$  is convex, its first derivative  $f'_h$  is increasing and therefore by our construction it holds that  $|f'_h(x)| \leq 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Now applying Itô's formula for each  $f_h(X)$ , we obtain that

$$f_h(X_t) - f_h(0) - \int_0^t f'_h(X_s) \, dX_s = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t f''_h(X_s) \, d[X]_s. \tag{1}$$

Since  $\lim_{h\to 0} f'_h(x) = \operatorname{sgn}(x)$  and  $|f'_h(x)| \leq 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , the dominated convergence theorem for stochastic integrals implies that

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \int_0^{\cdot} f_h'(X_s) \, dX_s = \int_0^{\cdot} \operatorname{sgn}(X_s) \, dX_s$$

uniformly on any compact interval [0, t] in probability (which will be denoted by u.c.p). Consequently, as  $f_h(x) \to |x|$ , we actually get

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \left( f_h(X_t) - f_h(0) - \int_0^t f'_h(X_s) \, dX_s \right) = |X_t| - |X_0| - \int_0^t \operatorname{sgn}(X_s) \, dX_s = L_t^X(0)$$

Updated: September 20, 2018

2/4

u.c.p. In view of (1), the equation above is equivalent to

$$L_t^X(0) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t f_h''(X_s) \, d[X]_s,$$

u.c.p. Now note that  $d[X]_s$  is a nonnegative (random) measure and  $f''_h \ge 0$ due to the convexity of  $f_h$ . So we can conclude that  $t \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t f''_h(X_s) d[X]_s$  is a increasing process for each h > 0 and therefore as the limit of increasing processes,  $L_t^X(0)$  is also increasing in t.

Furthermore, by definition, we easily see that  $L^X(0)$  has continuous trajectories and satisfies  $L_0^X(0) = 0$ . The latter together with the increasing property ensures that  $L^X(0)$  is nonnegative.

(b) Clearly,  $(X_t - K)^+ = \frac{1}{2}(|X_t - K| + (X_t - K))$ . By the definition of the local time at K, we get immediately that

$$|X_t - K| = |X_0 - K| + \int_0^t \operatorname{sgn}(X_s - K) \, dX_s + L_t^X(K).$$

On the other hand, by Itô's formula, we have

$$X_t - K = X_0 - K + \int_0^t 1 \, dX_s.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$(X_t - K)^+ = \frac{1}{2} \left( |X_0 - K| + (X_0 - K) + \int_0^t (1 + \operatorname{sgn}(X_s - K)) dX_s + L_t^X(K) \right)$$
  
=  $(X_0 - K)^+ + \int_0^t \mathbbm{1}_{\{X_s > K\}} dX_s + \frac{1}{2} L_t^X(K).$ 

#### Solution 1.4

- (a) Apply Itô's formula to  $S_t = s_0 \exp(\sigma W_t + (\mu \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)t)$  to see that S satisfies the desired dynamics  $dS_t = S_t(\mu dt + \sigma dW_t)$ .
- (b) Note that  $\{S_t > K\} = \{W_t > \frac{1}{\sigma}(\log(K/s_0) (\mu \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)t)\}$ . Since under the measure P, the random variable  $W_t$  has a normal distribution, we get

$$P[S_t > K] = P\left[W_t > \frac{1}{\sigma}(\log(K/s_0) - (\mu - \sigma^2/2)t)\right] > 0.$$

Similarly we have  $P[S_t < K] > 0$ .

(c) Let Q be an equivalent measure on  $\mathcal{F}_T$  for S such that S is a martingale with respect to Q. Recall that (see Exercise 1.3, (b))

$$(S_t - K)^+ = (S_0 - K)^+ + \int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{\{S_s > K\}} dS_s + \frac{1}{2} L_t^S(K),$$

Updated: September 20, 2018

3/4

and also note that with S being a Q-martingale, the stochastic integral  $\int_0^{\cdot} \mathbb{1}_{\{S_s > K\}} dS_s$  is also a Q-martingale. Hence, taking the Q-expectation of both sides of the equation above, we get

$$E_Q[(S_t - K)^+] - E_Q[(S_0 - K)^+] = \frac{1}{2}E_Q[L_t^S(K)].$$

Since Q is equivalent to P, we can derive from (b) that  $Q[S_t > K] > 0$  and  $Q[S_t < K] > 0$ . Consequently, since the function  $g(x) := (x - K)^+$  is strictly convex on any interval containing K, Jensen's inequality applied for  $E_Q[g(S_t)]$  is strict and therefore

$$\frac{1}{2}E_Q[L_t^S(K)] = E_Q[g(S_t)] - E_Q[g(S_0)] > g(E_Q[S_t]) - g(s_0) = g(s_0) - g(s_0) = 0.$$

It follows that  $Q[L_t^S(K) > 0] > 0$  and of course also  $P[L_t^S(K) > 0] > 0$ .

(d) We first observe that the portfolio value at time t > 0 is given by

$$V_t = \varphi_t^0 \, 1 + \vartheta_t S_t = -K \mathbf{1}_{\{S_t > K\}} + \mathbf{1}_{\{S_t > K\}} S_t = \max(0, S_t - K) = (S_t - K)^+.$$

By definition,  $(\varphi^0, \vartheta)$  is self-financing if and only if for any t > 0,

$$V_t = V_0 + \int_0^t \vartheta_s \, dS_s. \tag{2}$$

Now by Exercise 1.3, (b) and noting that  $V_0 = (S_0 - K)^+$ , we have

$$V_t = (S_t - K)^+ = (S_0 - K)^+ + \int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{\{S_s > K\}} dS_s + \frac{1}{2} L_t^S(K).$$
(3)

Thus, we see from the comparison of (2) with (3) that  $(\varphi^0, \vartheta)$  is self-financing if and only if for any t > 0,  $L_t^S(K)$  is equal to zero *P*-a.s. But we know from (c) that  $L_t^S(K) \ge 0$  *P*-a.s. and  $P[L_t^S(K) > 0] > 0$ , and hence  $(\varphi^0, \vartheta)$  is not self-financing.