## Solution 22

Extensions of Finite Fields, Splitting Fields

1. Let $L_{1} / K_{1}$ and $L_{2} / K_{2}$ be two field extensions and $\varphi: L_{1} \longrightarrow L_{2}$ an isomorphism of fields such that $\varphi\left(K_{1}\right)=K_{2}$. Prove that $\left[L_{1}: K_{1}\right]=\left[L_{2}: K_{2}\right]$.
Solution: Let $\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$ be a $K_{1}$-basis of $L_{1}$, so that $n=\left[L_{1}: K_{1}\right]$. Since $\varphi$ is injective, $\left(\varphi\left(\alpha_{1}\right), \ldots, \varphi\left(\alpha_{n}\right)\right)$ consists of $n$ different elements of $L_{2}$. We want to prove that $\left(\varphi\left(\alpha_{1}\right), \ldots, \varphi\left(\alpha_{n}\right)\right)$ is a $K_{2}$-basis of $L_{2}$, so that $\left[L_{2}: K_{2}\right]=n=\left[L_{1}: K_{1}\right]$.
For every $\beta \in L_{2}$, there exists a unique $\alpha \in L_{1}$ such that $\varphi(\alpha)=\beta$. Writing $\alpha=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \alpha_{i}$ for $\lambda_{i} \in K_{1}$. Using the fact that $\varphi$ is a group homomorphism, we obtain

$$
\beta=\varphi(\alpha)=\varphi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \alpha_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varphi\left(\lambda_{i}\right) \varphi\left(\alpha_{i}\right)
$$

and since $\varphi\left(\lambda_{i}\right) \in K_{2}$ by assumption and $\beta$ is arbitrary, we have proven that $\left(\varphi\left(\alpha_{1}\right), \ldots, \varphi\left(\alpha_{n}\right)\right)$ is a generating set.
Now let $\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{n} \in K_{2}$ and assume that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{i} \varphi\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=0$. Since $K_{2}=\varphi\left(K_{1}\right)$, there exist $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n} \in K_{1}$ such that $\varphi\left(\lambda_{i}\right)=\mu_{i}$ for all $i$. Hence, using the fact that $\varphi$ is a field homomorphism, we obtain that

$$
0=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{i} \varphi\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varphi\left(\lambda_{i}\right) \varphi\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=\varphi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \alpha_{i}\right)
$$

which by injectivity of $\varphi$ implies that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} \alpha_{i}=0$. Since $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}$ are linearly independent, we obtain $\lambda_{i}=0$ for each $i$, and so $\mu_{i}=\varphi\left(\lambda_{i}\right)=0$ for each $i$. We conclude that the elements $\varphi\left(\alpha_{1}\right), \ldots, \varphi\left(\alpha_{n}\right) \in L_{2}$ are $K_{2}$-linearly independent.
2. Let $p$ be a prime number. By factoring $X^{p-1}-1$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$, show that

$$
(p-1)!+1 \equiv 0(\bmod p) .
$$

Solution: For $p=2$, the above equality is immediately checked. Assume that $p$ is an odd prime number.

By Fermat's little theorem, each $x \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{\times}$satisfies $x^{p-1}=1$, that is, $x$ is a root of $X^{p-1}-1 \in \mathbb{F}_{p}[X]$, so $X-x$ divides $X^{p-1}-1$ in $\mathbb{F}_{p}[X]$. Since $\mathbb{F}_{p}[X]$ is a UFD and $\# \mathbb{F}_{p}^{\times}=p-1=\operatorname{deg}\left(X^{p-1}-1\right)$, we conclude that

$$
X^{p-1}-1=\prod_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{\times}}(X-x)
$$

Evaluating at $0 \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$, we obtain that $0=1+(-1)^{p-1} \prod_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{\times}} x=1+\prod_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{\times}} x$. Since the representatives of the $x \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{\times}$can be taken to be $1,2, \ldots, p-1$, we obtain the desired equality.
3. Let $f=X^{3}-X+1 \in \mathbb{F}_{3}[X]$.
(a) Show that $f$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_{3}[X]$.
(b) Show that if $E$ is a splitting field and $\rho \in E$ is a root, then so are $\rho+1$ and $\rho-1$.
(c) Construct a splitting field of $f$ and write out its multiplication table.
(d) Write down explicitly the action of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(E / \mathbb{F}_{3}\right)$ on the elements of $E$.

## Solution:

(a) Since $f$ has degree 3 , it is reducible if and only if it has a linear factor in $\mathbb{F}_{3}[X]$, which is equivalent to having a root in $\mathbb{F}_{3}$. But $f(0)=f(1)=f(-1)=1$ so that $f$ has no root in $\mathbb{F}_{3}$. Hence $f$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_{3}[X]$.
(b) Recall that $x \mapsto x^{3}$ is a field automorphism of $K$ whenever $K$ has characteristic 3 , which is the identity on $\mathbb{F}_{3}$. In particular, it respects the sum. Then for $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{F}_{3}$ we compute

$$
f(\rho+\varepsilon)=(\rho+\varepsilon)^{3}-(\rho+\varepsilon)+1=\rho^{3}+\varepsilon^{3}-\rho-\varepsilon+1=f(\rho)+\varepsilon-\varepsilon=0 .
$$

This implies that $\rho+1$ and $\rho-1$ are roots of $f$ as well.
(c) By b), any field extension $E$ containing a root $\rho$ of $f$ contains three distinct roots of $f$, hence it contains all roots of $f$ and it is the splitting field of $f$. Such an extension can be obtained as

$$
E=\mathbb{F}_{3}[X] /(f) \cong\left\{a+b \rho+c \rho^{2}: a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_{3}\right\}
$$

where the sum on the set on the right is done by adding the coefficients of $1, \rho, \rho^{2}$, while the product is induced by the bijection $\mathbb{F}_{3}[X] /(f) \cong\{a+$ $\left.b \rho+c \rho^{2}: a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_{3}\right\}$ sending $X \mapsto \rho$. That means that we can multiply two expressions on the right as if they were polynomial in $\rho$, and then simplify the obtained expression to one of "degree two" by using the condition $\rho^{3}+\rho+1=$

0 , i.e., $\rho^{3}=-\rho-1$, which gives $\rho^{4}=\rho(-\rho-1)=-\rho^{2}-\rho$ as well. Hence the multiplication rule of $\left\{a+b \rho+c \rho^{2}: a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_{3}\right\}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(a+b \rho+c \rho^{2}\right)\left(a^{\prime}+b^{\prime} \rho+c^{\prime} \rho^{2}\right) \\
& =a a^{\prime}+\left(a b^{\prime}+a^{\prime} b\right) \rho+\left(a c^{\prime}+b b^{\prime}+c a^{\prime}\right) \rho^{2}+\left(b c^{\prime}+c b^{\prime}\right) \rho^{3}+c c^{\prime} \rho^{4} \\
& =a a^{\prime}-b c^{\prime}-c b^{\prime}+\left(a b^{\prime}+a^{\prime} b-b c^{\prime}-c b^{\prime}-c c^{\prime}\right) \rho+\left(a c^{\prime}+b b^{\prime}+c a^{\prime}-c c^{\prime}\right) \rho^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

4. Let $E / F / k$ be field extensions such that $E / F$ and $F / k$ are finite Galois extensions.
(a) Give an example where the extension $E / k$ is Galois.
(b) Is $E / k$ necessarily Galois? If not, provide a counterexample.

Solution: Let $k=\mathbb{Q}$.
(a) Set $F=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ and $E=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{2}, i)$. Then $E / F$ is Galois because it is a degree 2 extension, and $E / F$ is Galois because $E$ is a splitting field of the polynomial

$$
\begin{aligned}
(X-i-\sqrt[4]{2})(X+i+\sqrt[4]{2}) & (X-i+\sqrt[4]{2})(X+i-\sqrt[4]{2}) \\
& =X^{4}+2(1-\sqrt{2}) X+3+2 \sqrt{2} \in F[X]
\end{aligned}
$$

The extension $E / k$ is Galois because it is a splitting field of $X^{4}-2 \in k[X]$.
(b) This is not true. Set, for example $E^{\prime}=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{2})$ : The extensions $E^{\prime} / F$ and $F / k$ are of degree 2, thus Galois. But $E^{\prime} / k$ is not, because $E^{\prime}$ does not contain all roots of the minimal polynomial $X^{4}-2$ of $\sqrt[4]{2}$ over $k$.

