

Solution 25

FINITE FIELDS

1. Let k be a field.

- (a) Show that k is an extension of a field k_0 , called *prime field*, given by $k_0 = \mathbb{Q}$ if $\text{char}(k) = 0$ $k_0 = \mathbb{F}_p$ and if $\text{char}(k) = p > 0$.
- (b) Show that any field homomorphism restricts to the identity on the prime field.

Solution:

- (a) The characteristic of the field k is precisely the non-negative generator of the kernel of the unique ring homomorphism $\varphi: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow k$.

If $\text{char}(k) = 0$, then φ is an injective map. Since \mathbb{Q} is the field of fractions of \mathbb{Z} , the inclusion φ extends to an inclusion of \mathbb{Q} inside k .

If $\text{char}(k) > 0$, then it is a prime number p and by the first homomorphism theorem φ induces an injection $\varphi: \mathbb{F}_p := \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow k$, and k_0 coincides with the additive subgroup of k generated by 1_k .

- (b) If $\theta: k \rightarrow \ell$ is a field homomorphism, then the composition of ring homomorphisms $\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\varphi_k} k \xrightarrow{\theta} \ell$ must coincide with the unique homomorphism $\varphi_\ell: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \ell$. Moreover θ is necessarily injective (as is every field homomorphism, because the image of $x \in k^\times = k \setminus \{0\}$ has inverse $\theta(x^{-1})$, hence it cannot be zero). Thus

$$\ker(\varphi_\ell) = \{m \in \mathbb{Z} : \varphi_k(m) \in \ker(\theta)\} = \{m \in \mathbb{Z} : \varphi_k(m) = 0\} = \ker(\varphi_k)$$

so that k and ℓ have the same characteristic.

If the two fields have characteristic $p > 0$, then they contain the prime field \mathbb{F}_p as images of φ_k and φ_ℓ and those prime fields are mapped "identically" because $\varphi_\ell = \theta \circ \varphi_k$.

If the two fields have characteristic 0, then θ maps each integer $m \cdot 1_k$ to $m \cdot 1_\ell$. The inclusion $\varphi_k: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow k$ extends to an inclusion $\overline{\varphi}_k: \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow k$ by sending m/n to $\varphi_k(m)\varphi_k(n)^{-1}$ for $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n \neq 0$. Similarly, φ_ℓ extends to $\overline{\varphi}_\ell: \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \ell$. In order to conclude, it is enough to prove that $\overline{\varphi}_\ell = \theta \circ \overline{\varphi}_k$, so that θ restricts

to the identity on the prime fields \mathbb{Q} seen as images of $\overline{\varphi_k}$ and $\overline{\varphi_\ell}$. This is again done by using the fact that $\varphi_\ell = \theta \circ \varphi_k$: for all $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $n \neq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\theta \circ \overline{\varphi_k})(m/n) &= \theta(\overline{\varphi_\ell}(m/n)) = \theta(\varphi_\ell(m)\varphi_\ell(n)^{-1}) \\ &= (\theta \circ \varphi_\ell)(m) \cdot (\theta \circ \varphi_\ell)(n)^{-1} = \varphi_\ell(m)\varphi_\ell(n)^{-1} = \overline{\varphi_\ell}(m/n). \end{aligned}$$

2. We say that a field k is *perfect* if every algebraic field extension of k is separable.

- (a) Prove that k is perfect if and only if every irreducible polynomial in $k[X]$ is *separable*, i.e. has no multiple roots.
- (b) Let $f \in k[X]$ be an irreducible polynomial. Show that f is separable if and only if its derivative is nonzero.
- (c) For f as in Part (b), show that the derivative of f is zero if and only if $\text{char}(k) = p > 0$ and $f(X) = g(X^p)$ for some irreducible $g \in k[X]$.
- (d) Suppose that $\text{char}(k) = p > 0$. Prove that k is perfect if and only if the Frobenius homomorphism $\varphi: k \rightarrow k, x \mapsto x^p$ is surjective.
- (e) Deduce that fields of characteristic zero and finite fields are perfect.

Solution:

- (a) Suppose k is a perfect field and let $f \in k[X]$ be an irreducible polynomial with x a root of f in an algebraic closure \bar{k} of k . Then $k(x)$ is a field extension of k and it is separable because k is perfect. Hence x is a separable element, meaning that its minimal polynomial f is separable.

Conversely, assume that every irreducible polynomial in $k[X]$ is separable and let ℓ/k be an algebraic extension. Every $\alpha \in \ell$ has a minimal polynomial over k because ℓ/k is algebraic; it is a separable polynomial by assumption, meaning that α is separable. Hence ℓ/k is a separable field extension.

- (b) Let $a_1, \dots, a_r \in \bar{k}$ be the distinct roots of f with respective multiplicities $n_1, \dots, n_r \geq 1$. Over \bar{k} we thus have the factorization

$$f = \prod_{i=1}^r (X - a_i)^{n_i},$$

with derivative

$$f' = \sum_{i=1}^r n_i (X - a_i)^{n_i-1} \cdot \prod_{j \neq i} (X - a_j)^{n_j}.$$

From this we see that $f'(a_i) = n_i(a_i - a_i)^{n_i-1} \cdot \prod_{j \neq i} (a_i - a_j)^{n_j}$ is nonzero if $n_i = 1$, proving “ \Rightarrow ”.

Conversely, suppose f has a multiple root a in its splitting field E . Then from the above we see that a is a root of both f and f' , so $X - a$ divides

their gcd g (over E), i.e. g has degree at least 1. Moreover, if $f' \neq 0$, then g has degree strictly less than that of f . But the gcd over E is the same as the gcd over k (see Solution 16, Exercise 1(a)), so f is divisible by g over k and hence not irreducible – contrary to the assumption.

- (c) If $\text{char}(k) = p > 0$ and $f(X) = g(X^p)$, then $f'(X) = pX^{p-1} \cdot g'(X) = 0$.

For the converse, write $f = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i X^i \in k[X]$ with $a_n \neq 0$. Then we have $f' = \sum_{i=1}^n i \cdot a_i X^{i-1} = 0$ if and only if $i \cdot a_i = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. In particular, $na_n = 0$; hence $n = 0$ in k , which implies that k has positive characteristic p . Moreover, for any index i not divisible by p , the equation $i \cdot a_i = 0$ yields $a_i = 0$. Thus, we can write $f(X) = \sum_{j=0}^{n/p} a_{jp} X^{jp} =: g(X^p) \in k[X^p]$. Note that any factorization of g yields one of f . Thus g is irreducible because f is.

- (d) Suppose that k is a perfect field. We want to show that each $y \in k$ has a p -th root in k . Since k is perfect, the polynomial $f = X^p - y \in k[X]$ must be either separable, or reducible by Part (a). Let $x \in \bar{k}$ be a root of f , i.e. $x^p = y$. Since k has characteristic p , we can compute

$$(X - x)^p = X^p - x^p = X^p - y = f.$$

Hence x is the only root of f in \bar{k} and so f is not separable; in fact, a factor of f in $k[X]$ has no multiple roots in \bar{k} if and only if it is a linear factor. As each irreducible factor of f in $k[X]$ must be separable, the only possibility is that f splits completely in $k[X]$. In particular, $x \in k$.

Conversely, suppose that the Frobenius map $\varphi: k \rightarrow k$ is surjective. By (a) it suffices to prove that every irreducible polynomial f in $k[X]$ is separable. Suppose $f \in k[X]$ is irreducible and has multiple roots. Then by Part (c) we have $f \in k[X^p]$. Moreover, every coefficient of f is a p -th power of an element in k , since φ is surjective by assumption. So we can write

$$f = \sum_{i=0}^n b_i^p X^{pi} = \left(\sum_{i=0}^n b_i X^i \right)^p,$$

which is a proper factorization of f in $k[X]$, contradicting the assumption that f is irreducible. Hence f has no multiple roots.

- (e) If k is a field of characteristic zero, then by Part (c), the derivative of any irreducible polynomial over k is nonzero. By Part (b), this implies that every such polynomial is separable, which by Part (a) is equivalent to k being perfect.

Let k be a finite field of characteristic p . The Frobenius homomorphism φ from Part (d) is a generator of $\text{Gal}(k/\mathbb{F}_p)$ (see Assignment 17, Exercise 3). In particular, it is an automorphism, hence surjective. By Part (d) k is thus perfect.

3. Let k be a finite field and consider a finite field extension $k(\alpha, \beta)/k$ such that $k(\alpha) \cap k(\beta) = k$ (inside an algebraic closure of k). Prove that $k(\alpha, \beta) = k(\alpha + \beta)$.

Hint. Study the cardinality of the involved fields.

Solution: Clearly, $k(\alpha + \beta) \subset k(\alpha, \beta)$ since $\alpha + \beta \in k(\alpha, \beta)$.

For the reverse inclusion, let $q = |k|$ be a power of a prime p . We write $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ and we know that $\text{char}(k) = p$. Fix an algebraic closure \bar{k} . Then, as seen in Algebra I, for each power q^t of q there exists a unique subfield of \bar{k} containing q^t elements: it consists of those elements $\alpha \in \bar{k}$ such that $\alpha^{q^t} = \alpha$. The proof of Assignment 13, Exercise 1(b) generalizes to q and tells us that $\mathbb{F}_{q^s} \subset \mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ if and only if s divides t .

Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $k(\alpha) = \mathbb{F}_{q^n}$ and $k(\beta) = \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$. Here n is the minimal positive integer h such that $\alpha^{q^h} = \alpha$, because otherwise $k(\alpha)$ would be contained in a strictly smaller subfield of \mathbb{F}_{q^n} . Since $k = k(\alpha) \cap k(\beta)$ is the largest subfield of \bar{k} contained in both \mathbb{F}_{q^n} and \mathbb{F}_{q^m} , we deduce that $\text{gcd}(m, n) = 1$. In particular, p is not a common divisor of m and n . Without loss of generality, assume that p does not divide n . Also, note that $k(\alpha, \beta)$ is the smallest subfield of \bar{k} containing both \mathbb{F}_{q^n} and \mathbb{F}_{q^m} , so $k(\alpha, \beta) = \mathbb{F}_{q^{mn}}$.

We write $k(\alpha + \beta) = \mathbb{F}_{q^t}$. This means that

$$\alpha^{q^t} + \beta^{q^t} = (\alpha + \beta)^{q^t} = \alpha + \beta,$$

implying that

$$\alpha^{q^t} - \alpha = -(\beta^{q^t} - \beta) \in k(\alpha) \cap k(\beta) = k.$$

Write $\alpha^{q^t} = \alpha + \lambda$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q$. Repeatedly raising to the q^t -th power, we deduce inductively that

$$\alpha^{q^{tp}} = \alpha + p\lambda = \alpha.$$

This means that $n \mid tp$ and since $p \nmid n$ we obtain $n \mid t$. Thus, by uniqueness of subfields mentioned above, $k(\alpha + \beta) = \mathbb{F}_{q^t}$ contains $k(\alpha)$ and, in particular, $\alpha \in k(\alpha + \beta)$. This implies that $\beta = (\alpha + \beta) - \alpha \in k(\alpha + \beta)$, as well. Hence $k(\alpha, \beta) \subset k(\alpha + \beta)$ and we conclude that $k(\alpha, \beta) = k(\alpha + \beta)$.

4. Give a detailed proof of Wedderburn's theorem: *Every finite skew-field is a field.*

Solution: See N. Jacobson, *Basic Algebra I, 2nd Edition*, Section 7.7 **or**

R. Lidl, H. Niederreiter, *Finite Fields*, Ch. 2, Section 6, Theorem 2.55, first proof.