### Topology

Prof. Dr. Alessandro Sisto Luca De Rosa Exercise Sheet 9

Due to April 30

## **Ex.1**:

Let X be a topological space,  $q: X \to Y$  be the quotient map and let  $f: Y \to Z$  be any function. Then f is continuous if and only if  $f \circ q$  is continuous.



#### Solution:

Since q is continuous, it is cleat that if f is continuous, so is  $f \circ q$ . So suppose that  $f \circ q$  is continuous, and let  $U \subseteq Z$ . We want to show that  $f^{-1}(U)$  is open. But since  $q^{-1}(f^{-1}(U))$  is open, this is true by definition of quotient topology.

## **Ex.2**:

Let X be a Hausdorff space and let K be a non-open compact in X. Show that the quotient X/K is Hausdorff.

<u>Hint</u>: It can be helpful to write down explicitly how the elements  $[x] \in X/K$  look like, distinguishing the case  $x \in K$  or not.

#### Solution:

Let  $q: X \to X/K$  be the quotient map. The elements of X/K are equivalence classes [x] such that  $[x] = \{x\}$  if  $x \notin K$  and [x] = K otherwise. Let  $[x] \neq [y]$ be distinct points of X/K. There are two cases: either both x and y are points of X - K, or (up to exchange x and y), [y] = K. In the first case, since X is Hausdorff there exist two disjoint open sets U, V such that  $x \in U$  and  $y \in V$ . We want to modify U and V such that they do not intersect K. Note that, since X is Hausdorff, K is closed. Thus X - K is open (and contains x, y). This means that, up to replace U with  $U \cap (X - K)$  and similarly for V, we can assume that U and V are disjoint open sets contained in X - K. We claim that q(U) and q(Y) are disjoint open sets that contain [x] and [y] respectively. It is clear that  $[x] \in q(U), [y] \in q(U)$  and that  $q(U) \cap q(V) = \emptyset$ . Moreover, by the definition of the quotient map,  $q^{-1}(q(U)) = U$  and similarly for V. Thus, by definition of quotient topology, q(U) and q(V) are open.

Consider now the case [y] = K. If we can find disjoint open sets U and V such that  $x \in U$  and  $K \subseteq V$ , then the same reasoning as before gives that q(U) and q(V) are disjoint open sets containing [x], [y] respectively. For each point  $k \in K$ , let  $U_k$  and  $V_k$  be disjoint open sets such that  $x \in U_k$  and  $k \in V_k$ . The existence of such open sets is guaranteed by the fact that X is Hausdorff. Note that  $\{V_k \cap K\}$  is an open cover for K, thus it admits a finite subcover. Let  $\{V_h\}_{h \in H}$  be such a subcover. Set  $U = \bigcap_{h \in H} U_h, V = \bigcup_{h \in H} V_h$ . Since H is finite, both V and U are open. Moreover, it is clear by construction that U and V are disjoint, which concludes the proof.

### Ex.3:

Let X be a topological space, and let  $\Delta$  be the diagonal of  $X \times X$ , i.e. the set  $\Delta = \{(x, y) \in X \times X \mid x = y\}$ . Show that X is Hausdorff if and only in  $\Delta$  is closed in  $X \times X$ .

### Solution:

Assume that X is Hausdorff, we will show that  $Y = (X \times X) - \Delta$  is open. Let  $(x, y) \in Y$ , that is  $x \neq y$ . Then there exists disjoint open sets U, V such that  $x \in U$  and  $y \in V$ . Then  $U \times V$  is an open of  $X \times X$  which contains (x, y). We claim that is contained in Y. Indeed, suppose that there was  $z \in X$  such that  $(z, z) \in U \times V$ . This implies that  $z \in U \cap V$ , which is a contradiction.

On the other hand, assume that the space Y above is open, and let  $(x, y) \in Y$ . We want to find disjoint open sets U and V as before. Since Y is open, there is an open set O contained in Y that contains (x, y). By the definition of product topology, O is the union of products of the form  $U_i \times V_i$ , where  $U_i$  and  $V_i$  are open in X. In particular, there exists j such that  $(x, y) \in U_j \times V_j \subseteq O \subseteq Y$ . Then, as before,  $U_j$  and  $V_j$  are the desired open sets.

### **Ex.4**:

Let X be Hausdorff, and let ~ be an equivalence relation on X. Let  $R = \{(x, y) \in X \times X \mid x \sim y\}$ . Suppose that  $p: X \to X/_{\sim}$  is open. Show that  $X/_{\sim}$  is Hausdorff if and only if R is closed in  $X \times X$ .

#### Solution:

We start with the easier part: assume that  $X/_{\sim}$  is Hausdorff, and let  $(x, y) \in (X \times X) - R$ . By definition of R we have that  $p(x) \neq p(y)$ . Thus we can find disjoint open sets  $U_x, U_y$  in  $X_{\sim}$  that contain p(x) and p(y) respectively. By definition of quotient topology,  $p^{-1}(U_x)$  and  $p^{-1}(U_y)$  are open in X. Moreover,  $p^{-1}(U_x) \times p^{-1}(U_y) \subseteq X \times X - R$ . Indeed, suppose that there was a point  $(z,t) \in R$  with  $z \in p^{-1}(U_x)$  and  $t \in p^{-1}(U_y)$ . Then  $z \sim t$  which contradicts U and V being disjoint in  $X/_{\sim}$ .

Now, let's consider the first implication. By Exercise Sheet 2, Question 6.a we have that  $p \times p$  is open. By hypothesis,  $X \times X - R$  is open. This implies that  $p(X \times X - R)$  is open in  $X/_{\sim} \times X/_{\sim}$ . We claim that  $p(X \times X - R) = X/_{\sim} \times X/_{\sim} - \Delta$ , where  $\Delta$  is the diagonal of  $X/_{\sim}$ . Note that then the exercise is concluded by Question 3.

# Ex.5:

Show that there is a quotient map  $q: (-2,2) \rightarrow [-1,1]$ , but not a quotient map  $p: [-2,2] \rightarrow (-1,1)$  (This means that there is a quotient of (-2,2) that is homeomorphic to [-1,1]).

#### Solution:

Let  $K = (-2, 1] \cup [1, 2)$ . Then (-2, 2)/K is homeomorphic to [-1, 1]. On the other hand, if there was a continuous surjection  $p: [-2, 2] \rightarrow (-1, 1)$ , we would have that the latter is compact, which is a contradiction.