

# Probability and Statistics

## Exercise sheet 12

**Exercise 12.1** The goal of this exercise is to show that if  $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n)^T \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$  with  $\Sigma$  invertible, then  $X$  admits a density with respect to the Lebesgue measure on  $(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}^n})$ , given by

$$f(x) = f_X(x) = \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det(\Sigma)}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)^T \Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)} \quad (1)$$

for any  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Before showing this, we first settle some questions around the covariance matrix  $\Sigma$  (this is done in the first two parts). In (a) and (b), the random vector  $X$  can have any distribution (not necessarily normal).

- (a) Recall that the covariance matrix of  $X$ ,  $\Sigma$ , has entries  $\Sigma_{ij} = \text{cov}(X_i, X_j)$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ . Show that

$$\Sigma = E[(X - \mu)(X - \mu)^T].$$

*Remark:* Expectations are evaluated componentwise, i.e. if  $M$  is a random matrix,

$$E \left[ \begin{pmatrix} M_{11} & \dots & M_{1n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ M_{n1} & \dots & M_{nn} \end{pmatrix} \right] = \begin{pmatrix} E(M_{11}) & \dots & E(M_{1n}) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ E(M_{n1}) & \dots & E(M_{nn}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (b) Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$  be a fixed (deterministic) matrix. Show that the covariance matrix of  $AX$  is  $A\Sigma A^T$ .

If  $A = a^T \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times n}$ , what is the covariance of  $a^T X$ ? Conclude that  $\Sigma$  is semi-positive definite.

- (c) Now take  $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$ . By definition,  $X \stackrel{d}{=} \mu + AZ$  with  $AA^T = \Sigma$  (i.e.,  $A$  is a square root of  $\Sigma$ ), and  $Z$  is standard normal, i.e.  $Z = (Z_1, \dots, Z_n)^T$  for  $Z_1, \dots, Z_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ .

- Check that  $\Sigma$  is indeed the covariance matrix of  $X$ .
- Assuming that  $\Sigma$  is invertible, show that  $A$  is also invertible. Using the Jacobian formula, show that  $X$  has density given by (1) almost everywhere.

- (d) Suppose you are given a density in the form (1). Can you find the marginal density of  $X_i$  ( $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ) without additional calculations?

- (e) (optional).

For  $d = 2$ , if  $\sigma_1^2 = \text{var}(X_1) > 0$ ,  $\sigma_2^2 = \text{var}(X_2) > 0$  and  $\text{cov}(X_1, X_2) = \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \rho$  with  $\rho$  the correlation between  $X_1$  and  $X_2$ . What is the condition on  $\rho$  for  $\Sigma$  to be invertible? What is the expression of the density in this case?

### Solution 12.1

(a) Put  $M := (X - \mu)(X - \mu)^T$ . Then, by definition

$$M_{ij} = (X_i - \mu_i)(X_j - \mu_j) = (X_i - E(X_i))(X_j - E(X_j))$$

and

$$E(M_{ij}) = E[(X_i - E(X_i))(X_j - E(X_j))] = \text{cov}(X_i, X_j)$$

for  $(i, j) \in \{1, \dots, n\}^2$ .

Thus,  $\Sigma = E[(X - \mu)(X - \mu)^T]$ .

(b) The covariance of  $AX$ ,  $\tilde{\Sigma}$ , is given by

$$\tilde{\Sigma} := E[(AX - A\mu)(AX - A\mu)^T]$$

since  $E(AX) = AE(X) = A\mu$ .

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Sigma} &= E[A(X - \mu)(X - \mu)^T A^T] \\ &= AE[(X - \mu)(X - \mu)^T] A^T \\ &= A\Sigma A^T. \end{aligned}$$

For  $A = a^T$ ,  $AX = a^T X \in \mathbb{R}$  and the covariance boils down to  $\text{var}(a^T X)$ . Since this covariance is also equal to  $a^T \Sigma a$ , we conclude that  $a^T \Sigma a = \text{var}(a^T X)$ . Now,  $\text{var}(a^T X) \geq 0 \forall a \in \mathbb{R}^n$  implying that  $\Sigma$  is positive semidefinite.

(c) • We have shown that the covariance is given by

$$E[(X - \mu)(X - \mu)^T].$$

Since  $X \stackrel{d}{=} \mu + AZ$  and  $\mu$  is a deterministic vector, this implies that

$$X - \mu \stackrel{d}{=} AZ$$

and hence  $X - \mu$  and  $AZ$  have the same moments (when these exist).

Therefore,

$$E[(X - \mu)(X - \mu)^T] = E[(AZ)(AZ)^T] = A\Sigma_Z A^T$$

where

$$\Sigma_Z = E(ZZ^T) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = I_{n \times n}$$

is the identity matrix.

Hence, the covariance of  $X$  is  $AA^T = \Sigma$ .

• We have

$$\Sigma = P^T \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \lambda_n \end{pmatrix} P$$

where  $P$  is orthogonal ( $P^T P = P P^T = I_{n \times n}$ ). Since  $\Sigma$  is invertible (so that  $\det(\Sigma) = \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \neq 0$ ), and since  $\Sigma$  is semi-positive definite, so that  $\lambda_i \geq 0$  for each  $i$ , putting these together we get that  $\lambda_i > 0$  for each  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

We have seen that we can take

$$A = P^T \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\lambda_1} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \sqrt{\lambda_n} \end{pmatrix}$$

as a square root for  $\Sigma$ .  $A$  is clearly invertible since

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_1}} & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_n}} \end{pmatrix} P$$

satisfies  $AB = I_{n \times n}$ .

Letting  $g(z) = \mu + Az$  for  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then  $g \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $\nabla g(z) = A$  ( $\nabla g$  is an equivalent notation for  $\text{grad } g$ ), and

$$\mathcal{J}_g(z) = \det(\nabla g(z)) = \det(A) \neq 0$$

for any  $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Also,  $g^{-1}(x) = A^{-1}(x - \mu)$ .

By the Jacobian theorem, we have

$$f_X(x) = \frac{f_Z \circ g^{-1}(x)}{|\mathcal{J}_g \circ g^{-1}(x)|}$$

(on the open set  $\mathcal{O} = \mathbb{R}^n$ ) with

$$\begin{aligned} f_Z(z) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i^2\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} z^T z\right). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \frac{\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x - \mu)^T (A^{-1})^T A^{-1}(x - \mu)\right)}{\det(A)}$$

(note  $\det(A) = \prod_{i=1}^n \sqrt{\lambda_i} > 0$ ).

Now,  $(A^{-1})^T A^{-1} = (AA^T)^{-1} = \Sigma^{-1}$  and  $\det(AA^T) = (\det(A))^2 = \det(\Sigma)$ , so that  $\det(A) = \sqrt{\det(\Sigma)}$ , yielding the formula in (1).

- (d) If we are given a density in the form (1), this means that  $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$ . By the general characterisation of Gaussian vectors, this also means that any linear combination of the components of  $X$  is normally distributed, and in particular so are the components themselves.

Thus, for any  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $X_i \sim \mathcal{N}(E(X_i), \text{var}(X_i))$ . But  $E(X_i) = \mu_i$  and  $\text{var}(X_i) = \Sigma_{ii}$ . Hence,  $X_i \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_i, \Sigma_{ii})$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

- (e) In this case,

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & \rho\sigma_1\sigma_2 \\ \rho\sigma_1\sigma_2 & \sigma_2^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$\Sigma$  being invertible is equivalent to

$$\det(\Sigma) \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2 (1 - \rho^2) \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow |\rho| < 1$$

(note that we must always have  $|\rho| \leq 1$ ).

To find  $\Sigma^{-1}$ , recall that

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{pmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2)^T$  be the mean. For  $x = (x_1, x_2)^T \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , we compute

$$\begin{aligned} (x - \mu)^T \Sigma^{-1} (x - \mu) &= \frac{1}{\sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2 (1 - \rho^2)} (x_1 - \mu_1, x_2 - \mu_2) \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_2^2 & -\rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \\ -\rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2 & \sigma_1^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 - \mu_1 \\ x_2 - \mu_2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2 (1 - \rho^2)} (x_1 - \mu_1, x_2 - \mu_2) \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_2^2 (x_1 - \mu_1) - \rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2 (x_2 - \mu_2) \\ \sigma_1^2 (x_2 - \mu_2) - \rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2 (x_1 - \mu_1) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2 (1 - \rho^2)} (\sigma_2^2 (x_1 - \mu_1)^2 - 2\rho \sigma_1 \sigma_2 (x_1 - \mu_1)(x_2 - \mu_2) + \sigma_1^2 (x_2 - \mu_2)^2) \\ &= \frac{1}{1 - \rho^2} \left( \frac{(x_1 - \mu_1)^2}{\sigma_1^2} - \frac{2\rho}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} (x_1 - \mu_1)(x_2 - \mu_2) + \frac{(x_2 - \mu_2)^2}{\sigma_2^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}} \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2(1 - \rho^2)} \left( \frac{(x_1 - \mu_1)^2}{\sigma_1^2} - \frac{2\rho}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2} (x_1 - \mu_1)(x_2 - \mu_2) + \frac{(x_2 - \mu_2)^2}{\sigma_2^2} \right) \right).$$

**Exercise 12.2** (some training) Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be i.i.d with density  $f(\cdot | \theta_0)$ , where the true value of  $\theta_0$  is unknown.

- (a) For the following models, find the moment estimator and MLE for  $\theta_0 \in \Theta$  as well as the Fisher information  $I(\theta_0)$  (you may assume that all regularity conditions are fulfilled).

1. (Geometric)

$$f(x | \theta) = (1 - \theta)^{x-1} \theta$$

for  $x \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ , where  $\theta \in \Theta = (0, 1)$ .

2. (Bernoulli)

$$f(x | \theta) = \theta^x (1 - \theta)^{1-x}$$

for  $x \in \{0, 1\}$ , where  $\theta \in \Theta = (0, 1)$ .

3. (Beta(1,  $\theta$ ))

$$f(x | \theta) = \theta(1 - x)^{\theta-1} \mathbb{1}_{x \in (0,1)},$$

where  $\theta \in \Theta = (0, +\infty)$ .

## 4. (Laplace)

$$f(x | \theta) = \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-\theta|x|}$$

for  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\theta \in \Theta = (0, +\infty)$ .

*Hint:* Note that for  $X \sim \text{Laplace}(\theta)$ ,  $E(X) = 0$  and therefore one needs to use the next order moment.

- (b) For the first model  $\text{Geo}(\theta)$ , construct an asymptotic confidence interval of level  $1 - \alpha$  for  $\theta_0$ , based on the asymptotic normality of the MLE  $\hat{\theta}$ , and approximating  $I(\theta_0)$  by  $I(\hat{\theta})$ .
- (c) In a study of feeding behaviors of birds, the number of hops between flights was counted for  $n = 130$  birds. The data are given in the following table.

# Hops	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Frequency	48	31	20	9	6	5	4	2	1	1	2	1

For example: in 48 occasions, a bird had just 1 hop before flying again, in 20 occasions they had 3 hops, etc. Assume that the number of hops can be modelled as a geometric random variable with unknown success probability  $\theta_0 \in (0, 1)$ . Compute the MLE based on the data in the table, and find an asymptotic confidence interval of level 95%.

**Solution 12.2**

- (a) 1. Let  $X \sim \text{Geo}(\theta_0)$ , for some unknown  $\theta_0 \in (0, 1)$ .

$$E(X) = \frac{1}{\theta_0} \Leftrightarrow \theta_0 = \frac{1}{E(X)}.$$

Approximating  $E(X)$  by  $\bar{X}_n$  (which we can justify by the strong law of large numbers), we get the moment estimator  $\tilde{\theta}_n = \frac{1}{\bar{X}_n}$  for  $\theta_0$ .

For the MLE, we maximise as usual the log-likelihood.

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n f(X_i | \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \theta(1-\theta)^{X_i-1} = \theta^n (1-\theta)^{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i-1)}$$

$$l(\theta) = \log(L(\theta)) = n \log(\theta) + \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - 1) \log(1 - \theta)$$

Differentiating,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial l}{\partial \theta}(\hat{\theta}_n) = 0 &\Leftrightarrow \frac{n}{\hat{\theta}_n} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{X_i - 1}{1 - \hat{\theta}_n} = 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow n(1 - \hat{\theta}_n) = \hat{\theta}_n \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - 1) \\ &\Leftrightarrow n = n\hat{\theta}_n + \hat{\theta}_n \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - n\hat{\theta}_n \\ &\Leftrightarrow \hat{\theta}_n = \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i} = \frac{1}{\bar{X}_n} \end{aligned}$$

is the unique stationary point. We check maximality by taking the second derivative:

$$\frac{\partial^2 l(\theta)}{\partial \theta^2} = -\frac{n}{\theta^2} - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{X_i - 1}{(1 - \theta)^2} < 0$$

Since the second derivative is negative,  $l$  is strictly concave on  $(0, 1)$  and so  $\hat{\theta}_n = \frac{1}{\bar{X}_n}$  is the MLE. In this case it coincides with the moment estimator  $\tilde{\theta}_n$ .

For the Fisher information, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \log f(x | \theta) &= \log(\theta) + (x - 1) \log(1 - \theta) \\ \frac{\partial \log f(x | \theta)}{\partial \theta} &= \frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{x - 1}{1 - \theta} \\ \frac{\partial^2 \log f(x | \theta)}{\partial \theta^2} &= -\frac{1}{\theta^2} - \frac{x - 1}{(1 - \theta)^2} \\ \Leftrightarrow I(\theta_0) &= -E \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \log f(X_1 | \theta)}{\partial \theta^2} \Big|_{\theta=\theta_0} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\theta_0^2} + \frac{E(X_1) - 1}{(1 - \theta_0)^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{\theta_0^2} + \frac{\frac{1}{\theta_0} - 1}{(1 - \theta_0)^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{\theta_0^2} + \frac{1}{\theta_0(1 - \theta_0)} \\ &= \frac{1}{\theta_0^2(1 - \theta_0)}. \end{aligned}$$

2. If  $X \sim \text{Bernoulli}(\theta_0)$ , then  $E(X) = \theta_0$ . Thus we get the moment estimator  $\tilde{\theta}_n = \bar{X}_n$  for  $\theta_0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} L(\theta) &= \theta^{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i} (1 - \theta)^{n - \sum_{i=1}^n X_i} \\ l(\theta) &= \left( \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right) \log(\theta) + \log(1 - \theta) \left( n - \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right). \end{aligned}$$

So we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial l}{\partial \theta}(\hat{\theta}_n) = 0 &\Leftrightarrow \frac{1}{\hat{\theta}_n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \frac{1}{1 - \hat{\theta}_n} \left( n - \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right) = 0 \\ &\Leftrightarrow (1 - \hat{\theta}_n) \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = \hat{\theta}_n \left( n - \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \hat{\theta}_n = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{n} = \bar{X}_n \end{aligned}$$

as the unique stationary point. We check that

$$\frac{\partial^2 l}{\partial \theta^2}(\theta) = -\frac{1}{\theta^2} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \frac{1}{(1 - \theta)^2} \left( n - \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \right) < 0$$

for any  $X_1, \dots, X_n \in \{0, 1\}$ .

Since  $l$  is strictly concave,  $\hat{\theta}_n (= \tilde{\theta}_n)$  is the MLE.

For the Fisher information,

$$\begin{aligned} \log f(x | \theta) &= x \log(\theta) + (1-x) \log(1-\theta) \\ \frac{\partial^2 \log f(x | \theta)}{\partial \theta^2} &= -\frac{x}{\theta^2} - \frac{1-x}{(1-\theta)^2} \\ \Leftrightarrow I(\theta_0) &= -E \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \log f(X_1 | \theta)}{\partial \theta^2} \Big|_{\theta=\theta_0} \right] \\ &= \frac{E(X_1)}{\theta_0^2} + \frac{1-E(X_1)}{(1-\theta_0)^2} \\ &= \frac{\theta_0}{\theta_0^2} + \frac{1-\theta_0}{(1-\theta_0)^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{\theta_0} + \frac{1}{1-\theta_0} \\ &= \frac{1}{\theta_0(1-\theta_0)}. \end{aligned}$$

3. If  $X \sim \text{Beta}(1, \theta_0)$ , then

$$E(X) = \frac{1}{1+\theta_0} \Leftrightarrow \theta_0 = \frac{1}{E(X)} - 1$$

and therefore,  $\tilde{\theta}_n = \frac{1}{\bar{X}_n} - 1$  is the moment estimator for  $\theta_0$ .

$$L(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n \theta(1-X_i)^{\theta-1} = \theta^n \left( \prod_{i=1}^n (1-X_i) \right)^{\theta-1}$$

and

$$l(\theta) = n \log(\theta) + (\theta-1) \sum_{i=1}^n \log(1-X_i),$$

so we maximise at

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial l}{\partial \theta}(\hat{\theta}_n) &= \frac{n}{\hat{\theta}_n} + \sum_{i=1}^n \log(1-X_i) = 0 \\ \Rightarrow \hat{\theta}_n &= -\frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \log(1-X_i)}. \end{aligned}$$

$l$  is clearly concave (as the sum of a strictly concave and linear functions). Hence  $\hat{\theta}_n$  is the MLE.

For the Fisher information,

$$\begin{aligned} \log f(x | \theta) &= \log(\theta) + (\theta-1) \log(1-x) \\ \frac{\partial \log f(x | \theta)}{\partial \theta} &= \frac{1}{\theta} + \log(1-x) \\ \frac{\partial^2 \log f(x | \theta)}{\partial \theta^2} &= -\frac{1}{\theta^2} \\ \Leftrightarrow I(\theta_0) &= -E \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \log f(X_1 | \theta)}{\partial \theta^2} \Big|_{\theta=\theta_0} \right] = \frac{1}{\theta_0^2}. \end{aligned}$$

4.

$$\begin{aligned}
E(X^2) &= \frac{\theta_0}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^2 e^{-\theta_0|x|} dx \\
&= \theta_0 \int_0^{\infty} x^2 e^{-\theta_0 x} dx \\
&= \theta_0 \frac{\Gamma(3)}{\theta_0^3} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\theta_0^3}{\Gamma(3)} x^{3-1} e^{-\theta_0 x} dx \\
&= \frac{\Gamma(3)}{\theta_0^2} = \frac{2}{\theta_0^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

(noting that we integrate the density of a  $G(3, \theta_0)$  distribution). Alternatively, we could observe that

$$\int_0^{\infty} \theta_0 x^2 e^{-\theta_0 x} dx = E[Y^2] = E(Y)^2 + \text{var}(Y) = \frac{2}{\theta_0^2}$$

for  $Y \sim \text{Exp}(\theta_0)$ .

Therefore,  $\theta_0^2 = \sqrt{\frac{2}{E(X^2)}}$ . We can replace  $E(X^2)$  by  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2$  to obtain the moment estimator

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\theta}_n &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2}} \\
L(\theta) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{\theta}{2} e^{-\theta|X_i|} = \frac{1}{2^n} \theta^n e^{-\theta \sum_{i=1}^n |X_i|} \\
l(\theta) &= c + n \log(\theta) - \theta \sum_{i=1}^n |X_i|
\end{aligned}$$

for  $c = -n \log(2)$  a constant. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial l}{\partial \theta}(\hat{\theta}_n) &= \frac{n}{\hat{\theta}_n} - \sum_{i=1}^n |X_i| = 0 \\
\Rightarrow \hat{\theta}_n &= \frac{1}{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |X_i|}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the function  $l$  is strictly concave, we conclude that  $\hat{\theta}_n$  is the MLE.

For the Fisher information,

$$\begin{aligned}
\log f(x | \theta) &= -\log(2) + \log(\theta) - \theta|x| \\
\frac{\partial \log f(x | \theta)}{\partial \theta} &= \frac{1}{\theta} - |x| \\
\frac{\partial^2 \log f(x | \theta)}{\partial \theta^2} &= -\frac{1}{\theta^2} \\
\Rightarrow I(\theta_0) &= \frac{1}{\theta_0^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

- (b) Assume that the geometric model satisfies the regularity conditions of Theorem 2 from the lecture. Then, the MLE for  $\theta_0$  based on  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Geo}(\theta_0)$ , for some  $\theta_0 \in (0, 1)$ , is asymptotically normal with



$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0) &\xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}\left(0, \frac{1}{I(\theta_0)}\right) \\ \sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0)\sqrt{I(\theta_0)} &\xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, 1)\end{aligned}$$

with  $\sqrt{I(\theta_0)} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{\theta_0^2(1-\theta_0)}}$ .

Replacing  $\theta_0$  by  $\hat{\theta}_n$  results in

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0)\sqrt{\frac{1}{\hat{\theta}_n^2(1-\hat{\theta}_n)}} \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$$

For  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , let  $z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}$  be the  $(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2})$ -quantile of  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned}P\left(\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0)\frac{1}{\hat{\theta}_n\sqrt{1-\hat{\theta}_n}} \in (-z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}, z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}]\right) &\xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 1 - \alpha \\ &\Leftrightarrow P(\theta_0 \in I_\alpha) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 1 - \alpha\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}I_\alpha &= \left[ \hat{\theta}_n - \frac{\hat{\theta}_n\sqrt{1-\hat{\theta}_n}}{\sqrt{n}}z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}, \hat{\theta}_n + \frac{\hat{\theta}_n\sqrt{1-\hat{\theta}_n}}{\sqrt{n}}z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} \right) \\ &= \left[ \frac{1}{\bar{X}_n} - \frac{1}{\bar{X}_n}\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\bar{X}_n}}\frac{z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}}{\sqrt{n}}, \frac{1}{\bar{X}_n} + \frac{1}{\bar{X}_n}\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{\bar{X}_n}}\frac{z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}}{\sqrt{n}} \right).\end{aligned}$$

(c) With  $n = 130$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n X_i = 1 \times 48 + 2 \times 31 + 3 \times 20 + \dots + 12 \times 1 = 363$$

and so  $\bar{X}_n = 2.792$ . Using  $\alpha = 0.05$ ,  $z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}} = z_{0.975} \approx 1.964$  and we get the confidence interval

$$P(\theta_0 \in [0.308, 0.407]) \approx 0.95.$$

### Exercise 12.3

(a) Find a sufficient statistic for the parameters generating the following models:

1.

$$X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} U([0, \theta]), \quad \theta \in (0, +\infty).$$

2.

$$X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \text{Exp}(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in (0, +\infty).$$

3.

$$X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2), \quad \theta = (\mu, \sigma)^T \in \mathbb{R} \times (0, +\infty).$$

4.

$$X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} U([\theta, \theta + 1]), \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R}.$$

- (b) Show that in general, if  $T(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is a sufficient statistic for  $\theta \in \Theta$  (where  $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} f(\cdot | \theta)$ ), then for any  $c \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $cT(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is also sufficient for  $\theta$ .

*Hint:* Use the factorisation theorem.

### Solution 12.3

- (a) We use the factorisation theorem.

1.  $f(x | \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta} \mathbb{1}_{x \in [0, \theta]}$ , so

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i | \theta) &= \frac{1}{\theta^n} \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{x_i \in [0, \theta]} \\ &= \frac{1}{\theta^n} \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{x_i \geq 0} \mathbb{1}_{x_i \leq \theta} \\ &= \frac{1}{\theta^n} \mathbb{1}_{\min_i x_i \geq 0} \mathbb{1}_{\max_i x_i \leq \theta} \\ &= g(T(x_1, \dots, x_n), \theta) h(x_1, \dots, x_n) \end{aligned}$$

with  $T(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i$ ,  $g(t, \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta^n} \mathbb{1}_{t \leq \theta}$  and  $h(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \mathbb{1}_{\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i \geq 0}$ . Hence,  $T(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i$  is sufficient for  $\theta$ .

- 2.

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i | \lambda) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \lambda e^{-\lambda x_i} \mathbb{1}_{x_i > 0} \\ &= \lambda^n e^{-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n x_i} \mathbb{1}_{\min_i x_i \geq 0} \\ &= g(T(x_1, \dots, x_n), \lambda) h(x_1, \dots, x_n) \end{aligned}$$

with  $g(t, \lambda) = \lambda^n e^{-\lambda t}$ ,  $h(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \mathbb{1}_{\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i \geq 0}$  and  $T(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ . Therefore,  $T(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is sufficient for  $\lambda$ .

- 3.

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i | \theta) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}} \sigma^n} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \mu)^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}} \sigma^n} e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 + \frac{\mu}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i - \frac{n\mu^2}{2\sigma^2}} \\ &= g(T(x_1, \dots, x_n), \theta) h(x_1, \dots, x_n) \end{aligned}$$

with

$$g(t, \theta) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}} \theta_2^n} e^{-\frac{1}{2\theta_2^2} t_2 + \frac{\theta_1}{\theta_2^2} t_1 - n \frac{\theta_1^2}{2\theta_2^2}},$$

$h(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 1$ ,  $T(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (\sum_{i=1}^n x_i, \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2)^T$ . Thus  $T(X_1, \dots, X_n) = (\sum_{i=1}^n X_i, \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2)^T$  is sufficient for  $\theta = (\mu, \sigma)^T$ .

4.

$$\begin{aligned}
\prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i | \theta) &= \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{\theta \leq x_i \leq \theta+1} \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{1}_{\theta \leq x_i} \mathbb{1}_{\theta \geq x_i-1} \\
&= \mathbb{1}_{\theta \leq \min_i x_i} \mathbb{1}_{\theta \geq \max_i x_i-1} \\
&= \mathbb{1}_{\max_i x_i-1 \leq \theta \leq \min_i x_i} \\
&= g(T(x_1, \dots, x_n), \theta) h(x_1, \dots, x_n)
\end{aligned}$$

with  $g(t, \theta) = \mathbb{1}_{t-1 \leq \theta \leq t}$ ,  $h(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 1$  and  $T(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i, \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} x_i)^T$ , and therefore  $T(X_1, \dots, X_n) = (\min_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i, \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} X_i)^T$  is sufficient for  $\theta$ .

(b) If  $T(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is sufficient then

$$\prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i | \theta) = g(T(x_1, \dots, x_n), \theta) h(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

for some measurable functions  $g$  and  $h$ . If we define  $\tilde{g}(t, \theta) = g(\frac{t}{c}, \theta)$ , it will follow that

$$\prod_{i=1}^n f(x_i | \theta) = \tilde{g}(\tilde{T}(x_1, \dots, x_n), \theta) h(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

where  $\tilde{T}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = cT(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ .

This shows that  $\tilde{T}(X_1, \dots, X_n) = cT(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  is sufficient for  $\theta$ . Replacing  $c$  by  $\frac{1}{c}$  gives the equivalence.

*Remark:* This implies, for example, that if  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is sufficient for  $\theta$ , then so is  $\bar{X}_n$ .

**Exercise 12.4** Let  $(X, Y)^T$  be a random vector. We want to show that  $\text{var}(X | Y) = 0$  with probability 1, if and only if there is a measurable function  $h$  such that  $P(X = h(Y)) = 1$ .

We consider only the case where the vector is discrete (takes either finitely many or countably many different values).

- State the definition of  $\text{var}(X | Y = y)$ .
- Show that  $\text{var}(X | Y) = 0$  with probability 1 if and only if  $P(X = E(X | Y)) = 1$ .
- Conclude.

**Solution 12.4**

(a)

$$\text{var}(X | Y = y) := \sum_x (x - E(X | Y = y))^2 p(x | y)$$

for any  $y$  such that  $p_Y(y) > 0$ .

- Let  $Z = X - E(X | Y)$ . First, assume that  $P(\text{var}(X | Y) = 0) = 1$ , or in other words,  $P(E(Z^2 | Y) = 0) = 1$ . For any  $y$  with  $p_Y(y) > 0$ , we have that

$$0 = P(E(Z^2 | Y) \neq 0) \geq p_Y(y) \mathbb{1}_{E(Z^2 | Y=y) \neq 0}$$

and thus  $E(Z^2 | Y = y) = 0$ . Then we get:

$$\begin{aligned} E(Z^2) &= E(E(Z^2 | Y)) \\ &= \sum_{y:p_Y(y)>0} E(Z^2 | Y = y)p_Y(y) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $Z^2 \geq 0$ , this implies that  $Z = 0$  almost surely, or

$$P(Z = 0) = 1 \Leftrightarrow P(X = E(X | Y)) = 1.$$

For the other direction, we start from  $P(Z = 0) = 1$ . It will be convenient to use the joint probability of  $Z$  and  $Y$ :

$$q_{Z,Y}(z, y) = P(Z = z, Y = y) = \sum_{x:E(X|Y=y)=z} p_{X,Y}(x, y) = p_{X,Y}(E(X | Y = y) + z, y).$$

Note that the resulting marginal pmf for  $Y$  is  $q_Y(y) = P(Y = y) = p_Y(y)$ . Then, for  $y$  with  $p_Y(y) > 0$ , and denoting by  $q(z | y)$  the conditional pmf of  $Z$  given  $Y = y$ :

$$q(z | y) = \frac{q(z, y)}{p_Y(y)} \leq \frac{\sum_{y'} q(z, y')}{p_Y(y)} = \frac{p_Z(z)}{p_Y(y)} = 0$$

unless  $z = 0$  (since  $P(Z = 0) = 1$ ).

Hence,

$$E[Z^2 | Y = y] = \sum_z z^2 q(z | y) = 0$$

for any  $y$  with  $p_Y(y) > 0$ , since  $z^2 q(z | y) = 0$  for any  $z$ .

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} P(\text{var}(X | Y) = 0) &= P(E[Z^2 | Y] = 0) \\ &= \sum_{y:p_Y(y)>0} \mathbb{1}_{E[Z^2|Y=y]=0} p_Y(y) \\ &= \sum_{y:p_Y(y)>0} p_Y(y) \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

as we wanted.

(c) We have shown that

$$P(X = E(X | Y)) = 1 \Leftrightarrow P(\text{var}(X | Y) = 0) = 1.$$

Thus,

$$P(\text{var}(X | Y) = 0) = 1 \Rightarrow P(X = \mu_X(Y)) = 1$$

where  $\mu_X(y) = E(X | Y = y)$ .

If there is a measurable function  $\Psi$  such that  $P(X = \Psi(Y)) = 1$ , then  $P(X = E(X | Y)) \geq P(X = \Psi(Y))$  since we know that  $X = \Psi(Y) \Rightarrow E(X | Y) = \Psi(Y)$ . Therefore,  $P(X = E(X | Y)) = 1$  which implies that  $P(\text{var}(X | Y) = 0) = 1$ .

**Exercise 12.5** (optional).

The goal here is to justify why the idea of maximising the likelihood is a good one.

- (a) For  $X \sim f(\cdot | \theta_0)$  and  $\theta \in \Theta$ , assume that  $E[\log f(X | \theta)]$  exists.

Show that  $E[\log f(X | \theta)] \leq E[\log f(X | \theta_0)]$ .

*Hint:* Show that  $E\left[\log\left(\frac{f(X|\theta_0)}{f(X|\theta)}\right)\right] \geq 0$  by using Jensen's inequality for the convex function  $t \mapsto -\log t, t \in (0, +\infty)$ .

- (b) Recall the weak law of large numbers: if  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  are i.i.d. such that  $E(|Y_1|) < \infty$ , then

$$\bar{Y}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} E(Y_1) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Using the WLLN, explain why the MLE would be a reasonable estimator.

**Solution 12.5**

- (a) We have that

$$\begin{aligned} E\left[\log\frac{f(X|\theta_0)}{f(X|\theta)}\right] &= E\left[-\log\frac{f(X|\theta)}{f(X|\theta_0)}\right] \\ &\geq -\log\left(E\left[\frac{f(X|\theta)}{f(X|\theta_0)}\right]\right) \end{aligned}$$

by Jensen's inequality applied to the convex function  $t \mapsto -\log(t)$ , for  $t \in (0, +\infty)$ .

But since  $X \sim f(\cdot | \theta_0)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} E\left[\frac{f(X|\theta)}{f(X|\theta_0)}\right] &= \int \frac{f(x|\theta)}{f(x|\theta_0)} f(x|\theta_0) d\mu(x) \\ &= \int f(x|\theta) d\mu(x) \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

since we are integrating a density. Note that  $-\log(1) = 0$ , and thus, for  $\theta \in \Theta$ ,

$$E[\log f(X | \theta_0)] \geq E[\log f(X | \theta)].$$

Therefore, the true parameter  $\theta_0$  maximises the function  $\theta \mapsto E[\log f(X | \theta)]$ .

- (b) By the weak law of large numbers,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log f(X_i | \theta) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{\mathbb{P}} E[\log f(X | \theta)].$$

Hence, by maximising the log-likelihood

$$l(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log f(X_i | \theta)$$

over  $\Theta$ , we are also maximising

$$\frac{1}{n}l(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log f(X_i | \theta).$$

We “hope” (under some technical conditions) that as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we will manage to get closer to the maximal value of  $E[\log f(X | \theta)]$ , which is  $E[\log f(X | \theta_0)]$  by part (a). This gives a heuristic argument for why the MLE should converge to  $\theta_0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .