Probability and Statistics

Exercise sheet 8

Exercise 8.1

- (a) Let $X \sim U([0,1])$. Compute $E(X^n)$, $E(X^{\frac{1}{n}})$ $(n \ge 1)$, and $\Psi_X(t) = E[e^{tX}]$ whenever it is defined.
- (b) Let $X \sim \text{Beta}(\alpha, \beta)$, $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 0$. Compute E(X) and var(X). *Hint:* Use the "trick" that any density function f has to integrate to 1.
- (c) Let $X \sim \text{Exp}(\lambda)$, for $\lambda > 0$. Compute the cdf of X and $E(X^n)$ for $n \ge 1$. *Remark:* Watch out for the parametrisation - different sources may use different parametrisations. In the lectures we consider the density function of an $\text{Exp}(\lambda)$ -distributed random variable to be $f(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$ for $x \ge 0$.

Solution 8.1

(a) For $\alpha > -1$,

$$E(X^{\alpha}) = \int_0^1 x^{\alpha} dx = \frac{1}{\alpha + 1}.$$

In particular,

$$E(X^n) = \frac{1}{n+1}$$

and

$$E(X^{\frac{1}{n}}) = \frac{n}{n+1}.$$

Moreover,

$$\Psi_X(t) = E[e^{tX}]$$
$$= \int_0^1 e^{tx} dx$$
$$= \frac{e^t - 1}{t}$$

for any $t \neq 0$, while $\Psi_X(0) = 1$.

(b) The density of X is

$$f(x) = \frac{x^{\alpha - 1}(1 - x)^{\beta - 1}}{B(\alpha, \beta)} \mathbb{1}_{x \in (0, 1)}$$

where $B(\alpha,\beta) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}$ is the beta function. Thus,

$$\begin{split} E(X) &= \int_0^1 x \frac{x^{\alpha - 1} (1 - x)^{\beta - 1}}{B(\alpha, \beta)} dx \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{x^{\alpha} (1 - x)^{\beta - 1}}{B(\alpha, \beta)} dx \\ &= \frac{B(\alpha + 1, \beta)}{B(\alpha, \beta)} \int_0^1 \frac{x^{\alpha} (1 - x)^{\beta - 1}}{B(\alpha + 1, \beta)} dx \\ &= \frac{B(\alpha + 1, \beta)}{B(\alpha, \beta)} \\ &= \frac{F(\alpha + 1, \beta)}{B(\alpha, \beta)} \\ &= \frac{F(\alpha + 1) \Gamma(\beta) \Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha) \Gamma(\beta) \Gamma(\alpha + \beta + 1)} \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + \beta} \end{split}$$

(using the density "trick" and the formula for the beta function).

$$\begin{split} E(X^2) &= \int_0^1 x^2 \frac{x^{\alpha-1}(1-x)^{\beta-1}}{B(\alpha,\beta)} dx \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{x^{\alpha+1}(1-x)^{\beta-1}}{B(\alpha,\beta)} dx \\ &= \frac{B(\alpha+2,\beta)}{B(\alpha,\beta)} \int_0^1 \frac{x^{\alpha+1}(1-x)^{\beta-1}}{B(\alpha+2,\beta)} dx \\ &= \frac{B(\alpha+2,\beta)}{B(\alpha,\beta)} \\ &= \frac{F(\alpha+2)F(\beta)F(\alpha+\beta)}{F(\alpha)F(\beta)F(\alpha+\beta+2)} \\ &= \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)}{(\alpha+\beta)(\alpha+\beta+1)}. \end{split}$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\operatorname{var}(X) = E(X^2) - E(X)^2$$
$$= \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)}{(\alpha+\beta)(\alpha+\beta+1)} - \frac{\alpha^2}{(\alpha+\beta)^2}$$
$$= \frac{\alpha^3 + \alpha^2 + \alpha^2\beta + \alpha\beta - \alpha^3 - \alpha^2\beta - \alpha^2}{(\alpha+\beta)^2(\alpha+\beta+1)}$$
$$= \frac{\alpha\beta}{(\alpha+\beta)^2(\alpha+\beta+1)}.$$

(c) The density of X is

$$f(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x} \mathbb{1}_{x \ge 0}.$$

For x > 0, we calculate

$$\int_0^x \lambda e^{-\lambda x} dx = 1 - e^{-\lambda x}$$

and therefore

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x < 0\\ 1 - e^{-\lambda x}, & x \ge 0. \end{cases}$$

We also compute

$$E(X^{n}) = \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{n} \lambda e^{-\lambda x} dx$$

=
$$\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{y^{n}}{\lambda^{n}} \lambda e^{-y} \frac{dy}{\lambda} \quad (y = \lambda x)$$

=
$$\frac{1}{\lambda^{n}} \int_{0}^{\infty} y^{n} e^{-y} dy$$

=
$$\frac{\Gamma(n+1)}{\lambda^{n}}$$

=
$$\frac{n!}{\lambda^{n}}$$

(using properties of the gamma function; alternatively one could integrate by parts).

Exercise 8.2 (Waiting time.)

An auto towing company services a 50 mile stretch of a highway. The company is located 20 miles from one end of the stretch. Breakdowns occur uniformly along the highway and the towing trucks travel at a constant speed of 50mph. Find the mean and variance of the time elapsed between the instant the company is called and a towing truck arrives.

Solution 8.2 Call the left endpoint of the 50 mile stretch zero, and let X be the number of miles from the left endpoint that a breakdown occurs. Then $X \sim U[0, 50]$. Assume that the towing company is located 20 miles from the left endpoint, so that the distance Y of the breakdown from the location of the towing company is Y = |X - 20|. It will take the truck $Z = \frac{Y}{50} = \frac{|X-20|}{50}$ hours to reach the location of the breakdown. We want the mean and variance of Z. First,

$$E(Z) = E\left(\frac{|X-20|}{50}\right) = \frac{1}{50} \int_0^{50} |x-20| f(x) dx = \frac{1}{50^2} \int_0^{50} |x-20| dx$$
$$= \frac{1}{2500} \int_0^{20} (20-x) dx + \int_{20}^{50} (x-20) dx = \frac{1}{2500} [200+450] = 0.26h.$$

Next,

$$E(Z^2) = \frac{1}{2500} E[(X - 20)^2] = \frac{1}{2500} E[X^2 - 40X + 400]$$
$$= \frac{1}{2500} \frac{1}{50} \int_0^{50} (x^2 - 40x + 400) dx \approx 0.0933,$$

and therefore

$$\operatorname{var}(Z) = E(Z^2) - E(Z)^2 = 0.0933 - 0.26^2 \approx 0.0257.$$

(if one wanted the units, one could write $var(Z) \approx 0.0257h^2$).

Exercise 8.3 (Uniforms, uniforms...)

- (a) Consider a random variable $X \sim U\left(\left[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]\right)$. Find $E(\sin(X))$ and $\operatorname{var}(\sin(X))$.
- (b) The lengths of the sides of a triangle are X, 2X and 2.5X with $X \sim U([0, \alpha])$ for some $\alpha > 0$.
 - Find the mean and variance of its area. *Hint:* Recall that if

$$s = \frac{a+b+c}{2}$$

with a, b, c the lengths, then the area of the triangle is

$$|\Delta| = \sqrt{s(s-a)(s-b)(s-c)}$$

(Heron's formula).

- How should we choose α so that the mean area is ≥ 1 ?
- (c) Take $X_1, ..., X_n$ to be $\stackrel{\text{iid}}{\sim} U([0, 1])$. Let $M_n = \max(X_1, ..., X_n)$. Find the cdf and pdf of M_n . Can you recognise this distribution? What are $E(M_n)$ and $\operatorname{var}(M_n)$?

Solution 8.3

(a) We have

$$E(\sin(X)) = \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\sin(x)}{\pi} dx$$
$$= 0$$

and

$$\operatorname{var}(\sin(X)) = E(\sin(X)^2) = \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\sin(x)^2}{\pi} dx$$
$$= \int_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{1 - \cos(2x)}{2\pi} dx$$
$$= \frac{1}{2}.$$

(b) The area of the triangle is given by Heron's formula:

$$|\Delta| = X^2 \sqrt{2.75 \times 0.25 \times 0.75 \times 1.75} = aX^2$$

for a constant $a \approx 0.95$.

• Note that if $X \sim U([0, \alpha])$, then $Y = \frac{X}{\alpha} \sim U([0, 1])$. Therefore,

$$E[|\Delta|] = E[aX^2]$$
$$= a\alpha^2 E[Y^2]$$
$$= \frac{a\alpha^2}{3}$$

as we found in question 1(a). Moreover

$$E[|\Delta|^2] = a^2 \alpha^4 E[Y^4]$$
$$= \frac{a^2 \alpha^4}{5}$$

also by question 1(a). Finally

$$\operatorname{var}(|\Delta|) = E[|\Delta|^2] - E[|\Delta|]^2 = \frac{4a^2\alpha^4}{45}$$

• We need to ensure that

$$\frac{a\alpha^2}{3} \ge 1 \Leftrightarrow \alpha \ge \sqrt{\frac{3}{a}} \approx 1.78,$$

so this is the suitable value of α .

(c) We can easily compute the cdf: for $x \in (0, 1)$,

$$F_{M_n}(x) = P(M_n \le x) = P(X_1 \le x, ..., X_n \le x) = x^n$$

For general x,

$$F_{M_n}(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x < 0, \\ x^n, & 0 \le x < 1, \\ 1, & 1 \le x. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, its density is

$$f_{M_n}(x) = nx^{n-1}$$

on (0,1) (0 otherwise).

We can recognise this as a particular case of a Beta distribution, $M_n \sim \text{Beta}(n, 1)$. Thus from question 1(b),

 $E(M_n) = \frac{n}{n+1}$

and

$$\operatorname{var}(M_n) = \frac{n}{(n+1)^2(n+2)}.$$

Exercise 8.4 (Quantile transformation.) Recall that for a given cdf F, the quantile t_{α} of order $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ is defined as

$$t_{\alpha} = \inf\{t : F(t) \ge \alpha\} =: F^{-1}(\alpha).$$

 F^{-1} denotes the generalised inverse of F. When the latter is bijective (at least in the neighbourhood of t_{α}), then F^{-1} is the inverse of F in the classical sense.

(a) Consider $U \sim U([0, 1])$. Show that $1 - U \sim U([0, 1])$.

Hint: Compute, for example, the cdf or the pdf of 1 - U.

(b) Consider

$$X := -\frac{1}{\lambda}\log(U)$$

(for $\omega : U(\omega) = 0$, take $X(\omega) = 0$, say).

Find the cdf of X. Can you recognise this distribution?

(c) Now, consider the following problem: take a cdf F which is bijective when viewed as a map $F: (a, b) \to (0, 1)$, for some $-\infty \le a < b \le +\infty$. Define $X = F^{-1}(U)$, with $U \sim U([0, 1])$.

- Compute the cdf of X. *Hint:* You may need to consider the cases a = -∞, a > -∞, b = +∞, b < +∞.
- Compute the pdf of X, assuming that F is C^1 on (a, b) with $F'(x) > 0 \ \forall x \in (a, b)$.
- Can you make the link to (b)?
- (d) Suppose you are given a numerical algorithm which enables you to generate a random number from [0, 1]. You would like to generate a random number X which follows the Cauchy distribution, i.e.

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\pi(1+x^2)}, \ x \in \mathbb{R}$$

Can you propose a way to do that, based on your previous findings?

Solution 8.4

(a) For $x \in (0, 1)$, it is clear that

$$P(1 - U \le x) = P(U \ge 1 - x)$$

= $P(U > 1 - x)$
= $1 - P(U \le 1 - x)$
= $1 - (1 - x) = x$

(using absolute continuity of U in the second line). For general x, note that 1 - U cannot be smaller than 0 or greater than 1, so

$$F_{1-U}(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x < 0, \\ x, & 0 \le x < 1, \\ 1, & 1 \le x. \end{cases}$$

So we conclude that $1 - U \sim U([0, 1])$.

(b) Similarly we compute for x > 0:

$$P(X \le x) = P\left(-\frac{1}{\lambda}\log(U) \le x\right)$$
$$= P(\log(U) \ge -\lambda x)$$
$$= P(U \ge e^{-\lambda x})$$
$$= P(U > e^{-\lambda x})$$
$$= 1 - e^{-\lambda x}.$$

and

$$F_X(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x < 0, \\ 1 - e^{-\lambda x}, & 0 \le x. \end{cases}$$

Therefore X has an $\text{Exp}(\lambda)$ distribution, by 1(c).

(c) • We calculate for $x \in (a, b)$,

$$F_X(x) = P(X \le x) = P(F^{-1}(U) \le x) = P(U \le F(x)) \quad (*) = F(x). \quad (**)$$

(**) holds since $F(x) \in [0,1]$. (*) requires more justification. By definition, for $u \in (0,1)$,

$$F^{-1}(u) = t_u = \inf\{t : F(t) \ge u\}.$$

Since F is non-decreasing and right-continuous, we can see that the set on the right-hand side is a closed interval of the form $[x_0, +\infty]$ for some x_0 , indeed we have

$$\{t: F(t) \ge u\} = [t_u, +\infty).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} x \geq t_u \\ \Leftrightarrow \ x \in [t_u, +\infty) &= \{t : F(t) \geq u\} \\ \Leftrightarrow \ F(x) \geq u \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, since $U \in (0, 1)$ almost surely, the step (*) is justified. We observe that X has the cdf F, and moreover while we only wrote this for $x \in (a, b)$, we can see that $F(x) = F_X(x) = 0$ on $(-\infty, a]$ and $F(x) = F_X(x) = 1$ on $[b, +\infty)$. We conclude that X and $F^{-1}(U)$ have the same cdf, which is F.

• If F is piecewise C^1 , then by the fundamental theorem of calculus,

$$F(x) = \int_{a}^{x} F'(y) dy$$

so the density of F is f(x) = F'(x).

• In (b) we defined

$$X = -\frac{1}{\lambda}\log(U).$$

Note that an $\text{Exp}(\lambda)$ random variable has a cdf $F(x) = 1 - e^{-\lambda x}$ on $(0, +\infty)$. Since F is smooth and strictly increasing, we find the inverse by calculating

$$y = 1 - e^{-\lambda x} \Leftrightarrow x = -\frac{1}{\lambda}\log(1-y) = F^{-1}(y)$$

Therefore, $X = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \log(1 - U)$ has an $\text{Exp}(\lambda)$ -distribution. This is not exactly the same as in (b), but since by (a), 1 - U also has a U([0, 1]) distribution, we can replace U by 1 - U in the expression above.

(d) Let U be the random number generated by the algorithm, with distribution $U \sim U([0, 1])$. For the Cauchy distribution, we can compute

$$F(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{1}{\pi(1+y^2)} dy = \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} + \arctan(x)\right)$$

and therefore by the previous parts, we can use the inverse

$$F^{-1}(x) = \tan\left(\pi\left(x - \frac{1}{2}\right)\right)$$

to simulate a random variable X with a Cauchy distribution, namely by setting

$$X = F^{-1}(U) = \tan\left(\pi\left(U - \frac{1}{2}\right)\right)$$

7 / 11

Exercise 8.5 (Optional.) Let $X_1, ..., X_n$ (for some $n \ge 2$) be random variables defined on the same probability space (Ω, \mathcal{A}, P) . A necessary and sufficient condition for (mutual) independence of $X_1, ..., X_n$ is that

$$P(X_1 \le x_1, ..., X_n \le x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(X_i \le x_i)$$
(1)

for any $(x_1, ..., x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

The goal of this exercise is to show that when $X_1, ..., X_n$ are discrete, (1) is equivalent to

$$P(X_{i_1} = x_1, ..., X_{i_m} = x_m) = \prod_{j=1}^m P(X_{i_j} = x_j)$$
(2)

for any $1 \leq m \leq n$ and $i_1 < \ldots < i_m$, $(x_1, \ldots, x_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

- (a) Take m = n (in which case $i_1 = 1, i_2 = 2, ..., i_m = n$).
 - Focus on X_1 and show that (2) implies that for any $(x_1, ..., x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$P(X_1 \le x_1, X_2 = x_2, ..., X_n = x_n) = P(X_1 \le x_1)P(X_2 = x_2)...P(X_n = x_n)$$

- Repeating this argument inductively, show that (1) holds.
- (b) Now, we want to show that (1) implies (2). Fix $\{i_1, ..., i_m\} = \mathcal{J} \subset \{1, ..., n\}$, with strict inclusion.
 - Show that (1) implies that

$$P(X_{i_1} \le x_1, ..., X_{i_m} \le x_m) = \prod_{j=1}^m P(X_{i_j} \le x_j).$$

Hint: You may want to take limits in (1) as $x_i \to +\infty$ for $i \notin \mathcal{J}$.

• Focus on i_1 (hold the other events depending on $i_2, ..., i_m$ fixed). Show that we have

$$P(X_{i_1} < x_1, X_{i_2} \le x_2, \dots, X_{i_m} \le x_m) = P(X_{i_1} < x_1)P(X_{i_2} \le x_2)\dots P(X_{i_m} \le x_m)$$

for any $(x_1, ..., x_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Hint: Consider $A_k := \{X_{i_1} \leq x_1 - \frac{1}{k}, X_{i_2} \leq x_2, ..., X_{i_m} \leq x_m\}$ and use the monotone convergence theorem.

• Conclude that

$$P(X_{i_1} = x_1, X_{i_2} \le x_2, \dots, X_{i_m} \le x_m) = P(X_{i_1} = x_1)P(X_{i_2} \le x_2)\dots P(X_{i_m} \le x_m).$$

• Repeat your argument inductively to conclude.

Solution 8.5

(a) • Since $X_1, ..., X_n$ are discrete, assume that X_1 is supported on $\{x_1^1, x_2^1, ...\}$. Then,

$$\begin{split} P(X_1 \le x_1, X_2 = x_2, ..., X_n = x_n) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(X_1 = x_i^1, X_1 \le x_1, X_2 = x_2, ..., X_n = x_n) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(X_1 = x_i^1, X_2 = x_2, ..., X_n = x_n) \mathbb{1}_{x_i^1 \le x_1} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{j=2}^m P(X_j = x_j) \right) P(X_1 = x_i^1) \mathbb{1}_{x_i^1 \le x_1} \\ &= \prod_{j=2}^m P(X_j = x_j) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(X_1 = x_i^1) \mathbb{1}_{x_i^1 \le x_1} \\ &= \prod_{j=2}^m P(X_j = x_j) P(X_1 \le x_1) \\ &= P(X_1 \le x_1) P(X_2 = x_2) ... P(X_n = x_n). \end{split}$$

• Similarly if we assume that

$$P(X_1 \le x_1, \dots, X_{k-1} \le x_{k-1}, X_k = x_k, \dots, X_n = x_n) = P(X_1 \le x_1) \dots P(X_{k-1} \le x_{k-1}) P(X_k = x_k) \dots P(X_n = x_n),$$

we assume that X_k is supported on $\{x_1^k, x_2^k, \ldots\}$ and redo the computations:

$$\begin{split} &P(X_{1} \leq x_{1}, X_{2} \leq x_{2}, ..., X_{k} \leq x_{k}, X_{k+1} = x_{k+1}, ..., X_{n} = x_{n}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(X_{1} \leq x_{1}, X_{2} \leq x_{2}, ..., X_{k} \leq x_{k}, X_{k} = x_{i}^{k}, X_{k+1} = x_{k+1}, ..., X_{n} = x_{n}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(X_{1} \leq x_{1}, X_{2} \leq x_{2}, ..., X_{k} = x_{i}^{k}, X_{k+1} = x_{k+1}, ..., X_{n} = x_{n}) \mathbb{1}_{x_{i}^{k} \leq x_{k}} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\prod_{j=1}^{k-1} P(X_{j} \leq x_{j}) \right) \left(\prod_{j=k+1}^{m} P(X_{j} = x_{j}) \right) P(X_{k} = x_{i}^{k}) \mathbb{1}_{x_{i}^{k} \leq x_{k}} \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} P(X_{j} \leq x_{j}) \prod_{j=k+1}^{m} P(X_{j} = x_{j}) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(X_{k} = x_{i}^{k}) \mathbb{1}_{x_{i}^{k} \leq x_{k}} \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^{k-1} P(X_{j} \leq x_{j}) \prod_{j=k+1}^{m} P(X_{j} = x_{j}) P(X_{k} \leq x_{k}) \\ &= P(X_{1} \leq x_{1}) P(X_{2} \leq x_{2}) ... P(X_{k} \leq x_{k}) P(X_{k+1} = x_{k+1}) ... P(X_{n} = x_{n}). \end{split}$$

Thus, by induction we obtain the desired result.

- (b) Now, we want to show that (1) implies (2). Fix $\{i_1, ..., i_m\} = \mathcal{J} \subset \{1, ..., n\}$, with strict inclusion.
 - For each $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $(x_1, ..., x_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$, consider the measurable set

$$A_k := \left(\bigcap_{l \neq \mathcal{J}} \{X_l \le k\}\right) \cap \left(\bigcap_{j=1}^m \{X_{i_j} \le x_j\}\right).$$

The sequence $(A_k)_{k\geq 0}$ is increasing with

$$\bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k = A = \bigcap_{j=1}^{m} \{ X_{i_j} \le x_j \}.$$

By the monotone convergence theorem,

$$P(A) = \lim_{k \to \infty} P(A_k)$$

where

$$P(A_k) = \prod_{l \neq \mathcal{J}} P(X_l \le k) \times \prod_{j=1}^m P(X_{i_j} \le x_j)$$

and $\lim_{k\to\infty} P(X_l \leq k) = 1$ using the same theorem. This implies that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} P(A_k) = \prod_{j=1}^m P(X_{i_j} \le x_j).$$

It follows that

$$P\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{m} \{X_{i_j} \le x_j\}\right) = \prod_{j=1}^{m} P(X_{i_j} \le x_j),$$

or equivalently,

$$P(X_{i_1} \le x_1, ..., x_{i_m} \le x_m) = \prod_{i=1}^m P(X_{i_j} \le x_j).$$

• Now, let us focus on X_{i_1} . As in the hint, we consider the measurable set $B_k := \{X_{i_1} \le x_1 - \frac{1}{k}, X_{i_2} \le x_2, ..., X_{i_m} \le x_m\}$ for $k \ge 1$. The sequence $(B_k)_{k\ge 1}$ is increasing with

$$\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k = B := \{ X_{i_1} < x_1, X_{i_2} \le x_2, ..., X_{i_m} \le x_m \}.$$

By the monotone convergence theorem, $\lim_{k\to\infty} P(B_k) = P(B)$. But

$$P(B_k) = P\left(X_{i_1} \le x_1 - \frac{1}{k}, X_{i_2} \le x_2, ..., X_{i_m} \le x_m\right)$$
$$= P\left(X_{i_1} \le x_1 - \frac{1}{k}\right) P(X_{i_2} \le x_2) ... P(X_{i_m} \le x_m)$$

and using the same theorem, $\lim_{k\to\infty} P\left(X_{i_1} \leq x_1 - \frac{1}{k}\right) = P(X_{i_1} < x_1)$. This implies that

$$P(X_{i_1} < x_1, X_{i_2} \le x_2, \dots, X_{i_m} \le x_m) = P(X_{i_1} < x_1)P(X_{i_2} \le x_2)\dots P(X_{i_m} \le x_m)$$

as we wanted.

• Now,

$$\begin{split} &P(X_{i_1} = x_1, X_{i_2} \le x_2, ..., X_{i_m} \le x_m) \\ &= P(X_{i_1} \le x_1, X_{i_2} \le x_2, ..., X_{i_m} \le x_m) - P(X_{i_1} < x_1, X_{i_2} \le x_2, ..., X_{i_m} \le x_m) \\ &= P(X_{i_1} \le x_1) P(X_{i_2} \le x_2) ... P(X_{i_m} \le x_m) - P(X_{i_1} < x_1) P(X_{i_2} \le x_2) ... P(X_{i_m} \le x_m) \\ &= [P(X_{i_1} \le x_1) - P(X_{i_1} < x_1)] P(X_{i_2} \le x_2) ... P(X_{i_m} \le x_m) \\ &= P(X_{i_1} = x_1) P(X_{i_2} \le x_2) ... P(X_{i_m} \le x_m). \end{split}$$

• Similarly, given the previous result, one can obtain by the monotone convergence argument that

$$P(X_{i_1} < x_1, X_{i_2} < x_2, X_{i_3} \le x_3, \dots, X_{i_m} \le x_m) = P(X_{i_1} < x_1)P(X_{i_2} < x_2)P(X_{i_3} \le x_3)\dots P(X_{i_m} \le x_m),$$

and therefore by taking a difference we get

$$P(X_{i_1} = x_1, X_{i_2} = x_2, X_{i_3} \le x_3, \dots, X_{i_m} \le x_m) = P(X_{i_1} = x_1)P(X_{i_2} = x_2)P(X_{i_3} \le x_3)\dots P(X_{i_m} \le x_m).$$

We can keep on going, and by an inductive argument we get eventually

$$P(X_{i_1} = x_1, ..., X_{i_m} = x_m) = \prod_{j=1}^m P(X_{i_j} = x_j)$$

as we wanted.