

Solutions Sheet 4

SCHEMES

1. *Double Points.* Let k be a field and $Y \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^2$ be a closed subscheme with image containing the origin $(0, 0)$ in \mathbb{A}_k^2 and such that $\mathcal{O}_Y(Y) \cong k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)$. Denote by $\varphi : k[x, y] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_Y(Y)$ the surjection defining the inclusion $Y \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$. Prove that the kernel of φ contains a non-zero element $\alpha x + \beta y$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in k$. Write $X_{\alpha, \beta} := \text{Spec}(k[x, y]/\ker(\varphi))$ and show that $X_{\alpha, \beta}$ can also be characterized as the composition of the natural morphism $\text{Spec}(k[\varepsilon]/(\varepsilon^2)) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k[\varepsilon]) \cong \mathbb{A}_k^1$ with the inclusion of the line $\mathbb{A}_k^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^2$ given by $x \mapsto (\beta x, -\alpha x)$.

Solution: You find this solution in the Book of Eisenbud and Harris, Examples II.9, II.10.

2. Let k be an algebraically closed field and let $Z := \text{Spec}(k[X_1, \dots, X_n]/I) \subset \mathbb{A}_k^n$ be a closed subscheme of dimension 0 supported at the origin (i.e. $\sqrt{I} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$). Furthermore, suppose that $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]/I$ is a 3-dimensional k -vector space. Prove that Z is isomorphic to either $A := \text{Spec}(k[X]/(X^3))$ or to $B := \text{Spec}(k[X, Y]/(X^2, XY, Y^2))$ and that A and B are not isomorphic to each other.

Solution: Let us start proving that A and B are not isomorphic. To show this it is enough to prove that $k[X]/(X^3)$ and $k[X, Y]/(X^2, XY, Y^2)$ are not isomorphic as rings. Let $\varphi : k[X]/(X^3) \rightarrow k[X, Y]/(X^2, XY, Y^2)$ be any ring homomorphism from $k[X]/(X^3)$ to $k[X, Y]/(X^2, XY, Y^2)$. Then $\varphi(X^2) = \varphi(X)^2 = 0$. On the other hand $X^2 \neq 0$ in A , thus $\text{Ker}(\varphi) \neq 0$. It follows that $k[X]/(X^3)$ and $k[X, Y]/(X^2, XY, Y^2)$ can not be isomorphic since any homomorphism from from $k[X]/(X^3)$ to $k[X, Y]/(X^2, XY, Y^2)$ is not injective.

Let us prove the other part of the Exercise. in the following, x_i denotes the image of X_i in $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]/I$. Since $\sqrt{I} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$, then $\text{Spec}(k[X_1, \dots, X_n]/I) = \{\mathfrak{m}\}$ where \mathfrak{m} is the reduction of (X_1, \dots, X_n) modulo I . Moreover we have that

$$k[X_1, \dots, X_n]/I = k \oplus \mathfrak{m}$$

thus $\dim_k(\mathfrak{m}) = 2$. We claim that $\mathfrak{m}^3 = 0$. Let us consider the chain

$$\mathfrak{m} \supset \mathfrak{m}^2 \supset \mathfrak{m}^3.$$

Then $\mathfrak{m} \supsetneq \mathfrak{m}^2$, otherwise by Nakayama's Lemma $\mathfrak{m} = 0$. Thus $\dim_k(\mathfrak{m}^2) \leq 1$ If $\dim_k(\mathfrak{m}^2) = 0$ we have done, otherwise $\mathfrak{m}^2 \supsetneq \mathfrak{m}^3$ (again thanks to Nakayama's Lemma) and then $\mathfrak{m}^3 = 0$. Now we have to distinguish to situation

i) $\mathfrak{m}^2 = 0$. In this case we have that $\mathfrak{m} = \text{span}_k\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. Because $\dim_k(\mathfrak{m}) = 2$, without loss of generality we may assume that $\text{span}\{x_1, x_2\}$. On the other hand $\mathfrak{m}^2 = 0$ implies that $x_1^2 = x_1x_2 = x_2^2 = 0$. Hence the map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} k[X, Y]/(X^2, Y^2, XY) & \rightarrow & k[X_1, \dots, X_n]/I \\ X & \mapsto & x_1 \\ Y & \mapsto & x_2, \end{array}$$

is a ring isomorphism and so $Z \cong B$.

ii) $\mathfrak{m}^2 \neq 0$. We claim that there exists $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $x_i^2 \neq 0$. By contradiction assume this is not the case. Let x_i, x_j with $i \neq j$ such that $x_ix_j \neq 0$ (we know that there exists at least one of these pairs because $\mathfrak{m}^2 \neq 0$ and we are assuming $x_i^2 = 0$ for any $i = 1, \dots, n$). Then $\text{span}_k\{x_i, x_ix_j\} = \mathfrak{m}$: let $\alpha, \beta \in k$ such that

$$\alpha x_i + \beta x_ix_j = 0,$$

then

$$x_i(\alpha + \beta x_ix_j) = 0.$$

This implies $\alpha = 0$, otherwise $\alpha + \beta x_ix_j$ is invertible in $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]/I$ and so $x_i = 0$ and this is not possible since we are assuming $x_ix_j \neq 0$. On the other hand $\alpha = 0$ implies $\beta = 0$ again because $x_ix_j \neq 0$. Then $\dim(\text{span}_k\{x_i, x_ix_j\}) = 2$ and so $\text{span}_k\{x_i, x_ix_j\} = \mathfrak{m}$. In particular there exist $\alpha, \beta \in k$ such that

$$x_j = \alpha x_i + \beta x_ix_j.$$

Multiplying both sides by x_j we get

$$x_j^2 = \alpha x_ix_j + \beta x_ix_j^2,$$

thus $\alpha x_ix_j = 0$ which implies $\alpha = 0$. So we have

$$x_j = \beta x_ix_j.$$

implies $x_j(1 - \beta x_i) = 0$. On the other hand $1 - \beta x_i$ is invertible in $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]/I$, thus $x_j = 0$ and then $x_ix_j = 0$ and this is absurd since we are assuming $x_ix_j \neq 0$. Hence, without loss of generality we may assume that x_1 is such that $x_1^2 \neq 0$. Then $\text{span}_k\{x_1, x_1^2\} = \mathfrak{m}$: indeed if $x_1^2 = \lambda x_1$ for some $\lambda \in k^\times$ then $x_1^3 = \lambda^2 x_1 \neq 0$ since $x_1 \neq 0$. On the other hand $x_1^3 \in \mathfrak{m}^3 = 0$ and this leads to a contradiction. Thus $x_1^2 \neq 0$ and $x_1^2 \notin \text{span}_k\{x_1\}$, i.e. $\text{span}_k\{x_1, x_1^2\} = \mathfrak{m}$. Hence the map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} k[X]/(X^3) & \rightarrow & k[X_1, \dots, X_n]/I \\ X & \mapsto & x_1 \end{array}$$

is a ring isomorphism and so $Z \cong A$.

Let us consider $V = \text{span}\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, we have two possibilities:

- i) $\dim_k(V) = 2$. With out loss of generalities we may assume $V = \text{span}\{x_1, x_2\}$.
Then $k[X_1, \dots, X_n]/I = \text{span}\{1, x_1, x_2\}$
- ii) $\dim_k(V) = 1$

3. Let $X := \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \setminus \{0\} \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$. Prove:

- (a) The restriction map $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2}(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(X)$ is an isomorphism.
- (b) The scheme X is not an affine scheme.

Solution:

- (a) We compute $\Gamma(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \setminus \{0\}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2})$. Since

$$\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \setminus \{0\} = \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C},X}^2 \cup \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C},Y}^2$$

where

$$\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C},X}^2 := \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \setminus \{\mathfrak{p} : (X) \subset \mathfrak{p}\}, \quad \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C},Y}^2 := \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \setminus \{\mathfrak{p} : (Y) \subset \mathfrak{p}\},$$

we have that $\Gamma(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \setminus \{0\}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2})$ is the kernel of the map

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C},X}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2}) \oplus \Gamma(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C},Y}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2}) &\rightarrow \Gamma(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C},XY}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2}) \\ (s, t) &\mapsto s|_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C},XY}^2} - t|_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C},XY}^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C},XY}^2 = \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C},X}^2 \cap \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C},Y}^2$. Let $s \in \Gamma(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C},X}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2})$ and $t \in \Gamma(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C},Y}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2})$, since $\Gamma(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C},X}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2}) = k[X, Y]_X$, $\Gamma(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C},Y}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2}) = k[X, Y]_Y$ there exist two polynomial $f, g \in k[X, Y]$ such that $X \nmid f$, $Y \nmid g$ and $s = \frac{f}{X^m}$, $t = \frac{g}{Y^n}$ for some $m, n \geq 0$. On the other hand $\Gamma(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C},XY}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2}) = k[X, Y]_{XY}$, thus $s|_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C},XY}^2} - t|_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C},XY}^2} = 0$ if and only if

$$f \cdot Y^n = g \cdot X^m,$$

and this is possible if and only if $n = m = 0$ and $f = g$. Hence $\Gamma(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \setminus \{0\}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2}) = k[X, Y]$.

- (b) By contradiction, assume $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ affine scheme, then

$$\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \setminus \{0\} = \text{Spec}(\Gamma(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2 \setminus \{0\}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2})) = \text{Spec}(k[X, Y]) = \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{C}}^2,$$

and this is absurd.

4. In the following if X is a scheme we denote by $\text{sp}(X)$ the underlying topological space of X . Let S be a scheme and $\pi : X \rightarrow S$, $\rho : Y \rightarrow S$ be S -schemes. Let $\text{sp}(X) \times_{\text{sp}(S)} \text{sp}(Y)$ be the fiber product of sets defined by π and ρ , endowed with the topology induced by the product topology on $\text{sp}(X) \times \text{sp}(Y)$. We are going to study some property concerning the relation between $\text{sp}(X \times_S Y)$ and $\text{sp}(X) \times_{\text{sp}(S)} \text{sp}(Y)$.

- (a) Show that we have a canonical map $f : \text{sp}(X \times_S Y) \rightarrow \text{sp}(X) \times_{\text{sp}(S)} \text{sp}(Y)$.
- (b) Show that f is surjective.
- (c) Let us consider the example $X = Y = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}$ and $S = \text{Spec } \mathbb{R}$. Show that $X \times_S Y \cong \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C})$ and that f is not injective.
- (d) Show that in the case of the previous Exercise, with $X = \text{Spec } k(u)$, $Y = \text{Spec } k(v)$ and $S = \text{Spec } k$, the map f has infinite fibers.
- (e) Let $S = \text{Spec } k$ be the spectrum of an arbitrary field. By studying the example $X = Y = \mathbb{A}_k^1$, show that the image of an open subset under f is not necessarily an open subset.

Solution:

- (a) By definition of the fiber product of scheme we have two morphism $\lambda_1 : X \times_S Y \rightarrow X$ and $\lambda_2 : X \times_S Y \rightarrow Y$ such that diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times_S Y & \xrightarrow{\lambda_1} & X \\ \downarrow \lambda_2 & & \downarrow \pi \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\rho} & S \end{array}$$

is commutative. Then we define

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f : \text{sp}(X \times_S Y) & \rightarrow & \text{sp}(X) \times_{\text{sp}(S)} \text{sp}(Y) \\ z & \mapsto & (\lambda_1(z), \lambda_2(z)). \end{array}$$

- (b) Let $(x, y) \in \text{sp}(X) \times_{\text{sp}(S)} \text{sp}(Y)$ we want to show that there exist $z \in \text{sp}(X \times_S Y)$ such that $f(z) = (x, y)$. Let us denote by $k(x), k(y)$ the residue field of x, y respectively. Since $(x, y) \in \text{sp}(X) \times_{\text{sp}(S)} \text{sp}(Y)$ we have that $\pi(x) = \rho(y) = s \in S$. Moreover we also get $k(s) \hookrightarrow k(x)$ and $k(s) \hookrightarrow k(y)$ since $\pi : X \rightarrow S$ and $\rho : Y \rightarrow S$ are morphisms. Since $k(x), k(y) \supset k(s)$ we get that $k(x) \otimes_{k(s)} k(y) = k(x).k(y)$ the compositum field of $k(x)$ and $k(y)$. We denote $L := k(x)k(y)$ the compositum of $k(x)$ and $k(y)$. So we get the two morphisms

$$f_x : \text{Spec } L \rightarrow X \quad f_y : \text{Spec } L \rightarrow Y,$$

such that $f_x((0)) = x$ and $f_y((0)) = y$. Thus we have the commutative diagram of scheme

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Spec } L & \xrightarrow{f_x} & X \\ \downarrow f_y & & \downarrow \pi \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\rho} & S. \end{array}$$

Using the the universal property of $X \times_S Y$ there exists a morphism of scheme $f_x \times f_y : \text{Spec } L \rightarrow X \times_S Y$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & & & f_x \\ & & & & \curvearrowright \\ \text{Spec } L & & & & X \\ & \searrow f_x \times f_y & & & \downarrow \pi \\ & & X \times_S Y & \xrightarrow{\lambda_1} & X \\ & \searrow f_y & \downarrow \lambda_2 & & \downarrow \pi \\ & & Y & \xrightarrow{\rho} & S \end{array}$$

is a commutative diagram. Then $z := f_x \times f_y((0))$ is such that $f(z) = (x, y)$, indeed

$$\lambda_1(z) = \lambda_1 \circ f_x \times f_y((0)) = f_x((0)) = x$$

and similarly for λ_2 and y .

- (c) Let $X = Y = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}$ and $S = \text{Spec } \mathbb{R}$. To show that $X \times_S Y \cong \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C})$ it is enough to show that $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}$. An explicit isomorphism is given for example by

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} & \rightarrow & \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \\ z \otimes w & \mapsto & (z \cdot w, z \cdot \bar{w}). \end{array}$$

Then f is not injective since $|\text{sp}(\text{Spec } \mathbb{C}) \times_{\text{sp}(\text{Spec } \mathbb{R})} \text{sp}(\text{Spec } \mathbb{C})| = 1$ while $|\text{sp}(\text{Spec } \mathbb{C} \times_{\text{Spec } \mathbb{R}} \text{Spec } \mathbb{C})| = 2$.

- (d) We have that $\text{sp}(\text{Spec}(k(u))) \times_{\text{sp}(\text{Spec } k)} \text{sp}(\text{Spec}(k(v))) = \{((0), (0))\}$, thus $f^{-1}((0), (0)) = \text{Spec } A$. On the other hand $|\text{Spec } A| = \infty$ thanks to part (d) of the previous exercise.
- (e) Since f is surjective it is enough to show that the image of a closed subset under f is not necessarily closed. Let $X = Y = \mathbb{A}_k^1$, then we know that $\mathbb{A}_k^1 \times_k \mathbb{A}_k^1 = \mathbb{A}_k^2$ with respect to the Zarisky topology. On the other hand the topology in $\text{sp}(\mathbb{A}_k^1) \times_{\text{sp}(\text{Spec } k)} \text{sp}(\mathbb{A}_k^1)$ is the product topology, i.e. $Z \subset \text{sp}(\mathbb{A}_k^1) \times_{\text{sp}(\text{Spec } k)} \text{sp}(\mathbb{A}_k^1)$ is closed if and only if $Z = V(I) \times_{\text{sp}(\text{Spec } k)} V(J)$, where $I, J \subset k[X]$ are ideals. Consider $g = X + Y$. Then we have that

$$f(V((g))) = \{(X + a)(X - a) : a \in k\} \cup \{((0)(0))\}$$

which is not of the form $V(I) \times_{\text{sp}(\text{Spec } k)} V(J)$. Thus $g(V((g)))$ is not closed.

5. Let \mathcal{C} be a category and $X \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$, one defines

$$h_X : \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} & \rightarrow & (\text{Sets}) \\ Y & \mapsto & \text{Hom}(Y, X) \end{array}.$$

- (a) Show that h_X is a contravariant functor.
 (b) Show that any morphism $f : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ induces a morphism of functors

$$h_f : h_{X_1} \rightarrow h_{X_2}.$$

- (c) Conversely, let $\varphi : h_{X_1} \rightarrow h_{X_2}$ be a morphism of functors. There is a unique $f : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ such that $\varphi = h_f$.

Solution: This result is called *Yoneda Lemma*. You can find a proof of this in Szamuel's book "Galois Groups and Fundamental Groups", pp. 20, 21.

6. Let S be a scheme and consider the category $\mathcal{C} = (\text{Schemes over } S)$

- (a) If $X \rightarrow S$ is a scheme over S such that

$$h_X : T \rightarrow \text{Hom}_S(T, X) = X(T)$$

is a functor to groups then X has a structure of S -group scheme.

- (b) Consider $\mathbb{G}_m := \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[X, X^{-1}])$. Prove that

$$\mathbb{G}_m(T) = \mathcal{O}_T(T)^\times$$

for any scheme T and conclude that \mathbb{G}_m is a group scheme. Moreover, describe the morphism of rings corresponding to the multiplication

$$m : \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m.$$

Solution:

- (a) We need to check the axioms of S -group scheme, i.e. we need to define three maps

$$m : X \times_S X \rightarrow X, \quad e : S \rightarrow X, \quad i : X \rightarrow X,$$

subject to the commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times_S X \times_S X & \xrightarrow{\text{Id} \times m} & X \times_S X \\ \downarrow m \times \text{Id} & & \downarrow m \\ X \times_S X & \xrightarrow{m} & X. \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\text{Id} \times e} & X \times_S X \\ \downarrow e \times \text{Id} & \searrow \text{Id} & \downarrow m \\ X \times_S X & \xrightarrow{m} & X. \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{\text{Id} \times i} & X \times_S X \\
 \downarrow i \times \text{Id} & \searrow e \circ p & \downarrow m \\
 X \times_S X & \xrightarrow{m} & X,
 \end{array}$$

where $p : X \rightarrow S$ is the structure morphism. We start defining the map m . For any S -scheme T , we know that $X(T)$ is a group. Thus, we consider the multiplication map, $\mu(T)$, over $X(T)$:

$$\mu(T) : X(T) \times X(T) \rightarrow X(T).$$

On the other hand, for any S -scheme T one has that $X(T) \times X(T) = (X \times_S X)(T)$ (Remark 1.6 page 81 in Liu's book "Algebraic Geometry and Arithmetic Curves"), thus for any S -scheme T we have a map

$$\mu(T) : (X \times_S X)(T) \rightarrow X(T).$$

Let us check that these maps define a functor $\mu : h_{X \times X} \rightarrow h_X$. Let T, T' be S -schemes and $t : T \rightarrow T'$ a S -morphism, then we have the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (X \times_S X)(T') = X(T') \times X(T') & \xrightarrow{\mu(T')} & X(T') \\
 \downarrow h_{X \times X}(t) & & \downarrow h_X(t) \\
 (X \times_S X)(T) = X(T) \times X(T) & \xrightarrow{\mu(T)} & X(T),
 \end{array}$$

which is commutative since h_X is a functor to groups. Thanks to part (c) of the previous exercise, there exists a unique $m : X \times_S X \rightarrow X$ such that $h_m = \mu$. note that one can also define m using the fiber product:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X \times_S X & \xrightarrow{m} & X \\
 \downarrow m & & \downarrow p \\
 X & \xrightarrow{p} & S.
 \end{array}$$

To define i , one argue as before using the fact that for any S -scheme T , we have an inversion map

$$\iota(T) : X(T) \rightarrow X(T).$$

Let us conclude defining e . One can see $\text{id}_S : S \rightarrow S$ as an S -scheme. Then we define e as the zero element in $\text{Hom}_S(S, X)$. To verify that the maps m, i, e satisfy the commutative diagrams is just a computation involving part (b), (c) of the previous exercise and the universal property of the fiber product.

(b) We have that

$$\mathbb{G}_m(T) = \text{Hom}(T, \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[X, X^{-1}])) = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}[X, X^{-1}], \mathcal{O}_T(T)) = \mathcal{O}_T(T)^\times,$$

where the second step follows from the fact that \mathbb{G}_m is an affine scheme. Hence, $\mathbb{G}_m(T)$ is a group for any scheme T . Let us check that $h_{\mathbb{G}_m}$ sends morphisms to group homomorphism: let T, T' be schemes and $t : T \rightarrow T'$ a morphism, and denote by $t^\# : \mathcal{O}_{T'}(T') \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_T(T)$. Then we have the map

$$h_{\mathbb{G}_m}(t) : \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{G}_m(T') & \rightarrow & \mathbb{G}_m(T) \\ \psi & \mapsto & \psi \circ t. \end{array}$$

Using again the fact that $\mathbb{G}_m(T') = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}[X, X^{-1}], \mathcal{O}_{T'}(T'))$ and that $\mathbb{G}_m(T) = \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}[X, X^{-1}], \mathcal{O}_T(T))$, we can rewrite $h_{\mathbb{G}_m}(t)$ as

$$h_{\mathbb{G}_m}(t) : \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}[X, X^{-1}], \mathcal{O}_{T'}(T')) & \rightarrow & \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}[X, X^{-1}], \mathcal{O}_T(T)) \\ X \mapsto x & \mapsto & X \mapsto t^\#(x), \end{array}$$

i.e. $h_{\mathbb{G}_m}(t) = t^\#_{|\mathcal{O}_{T'}^\times(T')}$: $\mathcal{O}_{T'}^\times(T') \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_T^\times(T)$. Thus, $h_{\mathbb{G}_m}(t)$ is a group homomorphism and we can conclude that \mathbb{G}_m is a group scheme thanks to part (a). Let us describe m . First of all, observe that

$$\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}[X_1, X_1^{-1}] \otimes \mathbb{Z}[X_2, X_2^{-1}]).$$

Thus, we need to describe

$$m^\# : \mathbb{Z}[X, X^{-1}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[X_1, X_1^{-1}] \otimes \mathbb{Z}[X_2, X_2^{-1}].$$

Let us consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{G}_m & \xrightarrow{\text{Id} \times e} & \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m \\ \downarrow e \times \text{Id} & \searrow \text{Id} & \downarrow m \\ \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m & \xrightarrow{m} & \mathbb{G}_m \end{array} .$$

From this diagram we get the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}[X, X^{-1}] & \xrightarrow{m^\#} & \mathbb{Z}[X_1, X_1^{-1}] \otimes \mathbb{Z}[X_2, X_2^{-1}] \\ \downarrow m^\# & \searrow \text{Id} & \downarrow \text{Id}_1 \otimes e^\# \\ \mathbb{Z}[X_1, X_1^{-1}] \otimes \mathbb{Z}[X_2, X_2^{-1}] & \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_2 \otimes e^\#} & \mathbb{Z}[X, X^{-1}] \end{array} ,$$

where for any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ one has $\text{Id}_i(aX_i) = aX$, $e^\#(bX_i) = b$ for $i = 1, 2$. Thus, $(\text{Id}_1 \otimes e^\#)(m^\#(X)) = (\text{Id}_2 \otimes e^\#)(m^\#(X)) = X$. On the other hand, we have that

$$m^\#(X) = \sum_{i,j=-1}^1 a_{i,j}(X_1^i \otimes X_2^j)$$

thus we get $a_{1,0} + a_{0,0} + a_{-1,0} = 0 = a_{0,1} + a_{0,0} + a_{0,-1}$, $a_{1,0} + a_{1,1} + a_{1,-1} = 1 = a_{0,1} + a_{1,1} + a_{-1,1}$ and $a_{-1,-1} + a_{-1,0} + a_{-1,1} = 0 = a_{-1,-1} + a_{0,-1} + a_{1,-1}$. Looking at the other diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbb{G}_m & \xrightarrow{\text{Id} \times i} & \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m \\
 \downarrow i \times \text{Id} & \searrow e \circ p & \downarrow m \\
 \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{G}_m & \xrightarrow{m} & \mathbb{G}_m,
 \end{array}$$

one gets the relations $a_{1,-1} = a_{-1,1} = 0$, $a_{0,0} + a_{1,1} + a_{-1,-1} = 1$, $a_{1,0} + a_{0,-1} = a_{-1,0} + a_{0,1} = 0$. Putting all the conditions together we get that $a_{1,1} = 0$ and $a_{i,j} = 0$ for $(i, j) \neq (1, 1)$. Thus $m^\#(X) = X_1 \otimes X_2$ and this concludes the exercise.