

## REPRESENTATION THEORY OF LIE GROUPS EXERCISES

**Exercise 1.** Let  $G$  be a finite group. Deduce from the Peter–Weyl theorem that

$$\#G = \sum (\dim V)^2,$$

with the sum taken over the isomorphism classes of finite-dimensional irreducible representations of  $G$ .

**Solution 1.** Peter–Weyl says that the characters of the irreducible representations  $\pi$  give an orthonormal basis for the space of class functions  $f$ , thus  $\|f\|^2 = \sum_{\pi} |\langle f, \chi_{\pi} \rangle|^2$ . Taking  $f(g) = 1$  if  $g = e$  and 0 otherwise gives  $\|f\|^2 = 1/\#G$  and  $\langle f, \chi_{\pi} \rangle = \chi_{\pi}(1)/\#G = \dim(\pi)/\#G$ . Summing over  $\pi$  gives what we want.

Alternatively, use the decomposition of the coefficient ring  $\mathcal{A}(G)$  as the direct sum of  $\mathcal{A}(\pi)$  over irreducibles  $\pi$ , use that  $\mathcal{A}(\pi) \cong \text{End}(\pi)^*$ , and compare dimensions:  $\dim \mathcal{A}(G) = \#G$ , while  $\dim \text{End}(\pi)^* = (\dim \pi)^2$ .

**Exercise 2.** Let  $G_1, G_2$  be compact groups. For  $j = 1, 2$ , let  $\pi_j$  be a finite-dimensional representation of  $G_j$ . The external tensor product  $\pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2$  is the representation of  $G_1 \times G_2$  defined by  $(g_1, g_2)(v_1 \otimes v_2) := g_1 v_1 \otimes g_2 v_2$ . Compute  $\chi_{\pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2}$  in terms of  $\chi_{\pi_1}$  and  $\chi_{\pi_2}$ . Show that the map

$$(\pi_1, \pi_2) \mapsto \pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2$$

induces a bijection between  $\text{Irr}(G_1) \times \text{Irr}(G_2)$  and  $\text{Irr}(G_1 \times G_2)$ ; here, as usual, we denote by  $\text{Irr}(G)$  the set of isomorphism classes of irreducible finite-dimensional representations of a compact group  $G$ .

**Solution 2.** Let  $\{u_i\}_i$  and  $\{v_j\}$  be two ONBs of  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$ , respectively. So that,  $\{u_i \otimes v_j\}_{i,j}$  is an ONB of  $\pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{\pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2}(g_1, g_2) &= \sum_{i,j} \langle \pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2(g_1, g_2) u_i \otimes v_j, u_i \otimes v_j \rangle_{\pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2} \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \langle \pi_1(g_1) u_i, u_i \rangle_{\pi_1} \langle \pi_2(g_2) v_j, v_j \rangle_{\pi_2} \\ &= \chi_{\pi_1}(g_1) \chi_{\pi_2}(g_2). \end{aligned}$$

First we show that the map is well-defined, that is,  $\pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2$  is irreducible, if  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are. To check that we use the irreducibility criteria of the character that it is a unit in  $L^2$ . Indeed,

$$\|\chi_{\pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2}\|_{L^2(G_1 \times G_2)} = \|\chi_{\pi_1}\|_{L^2(G_1)} \|\chi_{\pi_2}\|_{L^2(G_2)} = 1.$$

Next, to check injectivity, let  $\pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2 \cong \pi'_1 \boxtimes \pi'_2$ , so that

$$\chi_{\pi_1}(g_1)\chi_{\pi_2}(g_2) = \chi_{\pi'_1}(g_1)\chi_{\pi'_2}(g_2), \quad \forall g_i \in G_i.$$

Choosing  $g_2 = 1$  we get  $\chi_{\pi_1} = c\chi_{\pi'_1}$ . From irreducibility of  $\pi_i$  one gets that  $c = 1$ , hence  $\pi_1 \cong \pi'_1$ . Similarly,  $\pi_2 \cong \pi'_2$ .

Finally, to check surjectivity we invoke Peter-Weyl. Let  $\pi$  be an irreducible representation of  $G_1 \times G_2$  which is not isomorphic to any  $\pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2$  for  $\pi_i \in \text{Irr}(G_i)$ . So

$$\chi_\pi \perp \chi_{\pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2}, \quad \forall \pi_i \in \text{Irr}(G_i).$$

But  $\{\chi_{\pi_i}\}_{\pi_i \in \text{Irr}(G_i)}$  is an ONB of  $L^2(G_i)$ , so that,  $\{\chi_{\pi_1}\chi_{\pi_2}\}$  is an ONB of  $L^2(G_1 \times G_2)$ . But then,  $\chi_\pi \perp L^2(G_1 \times G_2)$ , which is a contradiction.

**Exercise 3.** For  $n \geq 1$ , let  $V$  be the regular representation of  $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ , thus  $V$  consists of functions  $f : \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ . Define a linear map  $T : V \rightarrow V$  by

$$Tf(x) := \frac{f(x-1) + f(x+1)}{2}.$$

Define  $f_0 \in V$  by

$$f_0(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Define  $f_k$  inductively by  $f_{k+1} := Tf_k$ .

- (1) Verify that  $T$  is equivariant.
- (2) Decompose  $V$  as a sum of inequivalent irreducible invariant subspaces  $W$ , and compute the eigenvalue of  $T$  on each  $W$ .
- (3) Suppose that  $n$  is odd. Show that there exist positive constants  $C, c$  (not depending upon  $n$  or  $k$ ) so that

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} |f_k(x) - 1/n|^2 \leq Cn^2 \exp(-ck/n^2).$$

Thus, informally,  $f_k$  is rather uniform for  $k$  a bit larger than  $n^2$ . [Hint: write the LHS in terms of the eigenvalues of  $T$  on the nontrivial  $W$ .]

- (4) What happens if  $n$  is even?

**Solution 3.** (1) We compute

$$\begin{aligned} T \circ \pi(y)f(x) &= \frac{\pi(y)f(x-1) + \pi(y)f(x+1)}{2} \\ &= \frac{f(x+y-1) + f(x+y+1)}{2} \\ &= Tf(x+y) = \pi(y) \circ Tf(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $T$  is equivariant.

- (2) As the group is abelian the irreducible representations are one dimensional. We claim that  $\{e_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}$  are all possible characters, where  $e_k(x) := e^{2\pi i x k/n}$ . Consequently,

$$V = \bigoplus_{k \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C}e_k.$$

The claim follows from the fact that the characters are inequivalent and by the Fourier inversion formula any  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$  can be written as

$$f(x) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(l)e_l(x),$$

where the Fourier transform is as defined in the lecture.

Finally, we compute the  $T$ -eigenvalues:

$$T e_l(x) = \frac{e_l(x-1) + e_l(x+1)}{2} = e_l(x) \cos(2\pi l/n).$$

- (3) Let us start by writing  $f_0$  in its Fourier expansion as

$$f_0(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(l)e_l(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} e_l(x).$$

Thus from (2),

$$f_k(x) = T^k f_0(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} \cos^k(2\pi l/n) e_l(x).$$

Hence using that for  $n$  odd

$$|\cos(2\pi l/n)| \leq 1 - c/n^2 \leq \exp(-c/n^2),$$

for some positive constant  $c$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} |f_k(x) - 1/n|^2 &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} \left| \sum_{0 \neq l \in \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} \cos^k(2\pi l/n) e_l(x) \right|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{n(n-1)^2}{n^2} \exp(-ck/n^2), \end{aligned}$$

by Cauchy-Schwarz and trivially bounding all the characters.

**Exercise 4.** For the representations  $\text{Sym}^k(\mathbb{C}^n)$  and  $\bigwedge^k(\mathbb{C}^n)$  of  $U(n)$ , describe the weights, determine the lexicographically highest weight, and use the Weyl character formula to prove that these representations are irreducible.

**Solution 4.** We work out the  $\bigwedge^k(\mathbb{C}^n)$  case,  $\text{Sym}^k(\mathbb{C}^n)$  would be similar (also done as a sketch in the notes). We recall that

$$\chi_{\bigwedge^k(\mathbb{C}^n)}(t) = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} t_{i_1} \dots t_{i_k}.$$

Thus the highest weight is  $\lambda := (1, \dots, 1)$ . Thus the dimension of the irreducible subrepresentation  $V_\lambda$  attached to the highest weight, by the Weyl dimension formula, is

$$\prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=k+1}^n \frac{1+j-i}{j-i} = \binom{n}{k} = \dim(\bigwedge^k(\mathbb{C}^n)).$$

Thus  $\bigwedge^k(\mathbb{C}^n) = V_\lambda$  hence the claim follows.

**Exercise 5.** Use the Weyl character formula to describe the decomposition into irreducibles of the representations  $\text{Sym}^{k_1}(\mathbb{C}^2) \otimes \text{Sym}^{k_2}(\mathbb{C}^2)$  of  $U(2)$ .

**Solution 5.** We know the character of  $\text{Sym}^k(\mathbb{C}^2)$  is given by

$$\chi_k(\text{diag}(t_1, t_2)) = \sum_{i=0}^k t_1^i t_2^{k-i} = \frac{t_1^{k+1} - t_2^{k+1}}{t_1 - t_2}.$$

Let WOLG  $k_1 \leq k_2$ . Hence for characters  $\chi_{k_i}$  of  $\text{Sym}^{k_i}(\mathbb{C}^2)$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{k_1}(t)\chi_{k_2}(t) &= \frac{t_1^{k_2+1} - t_2^{k_2+1}}{t_1 - t_2} \sum_{i=0}^{k_1} t_1^i t_2^{k_1-i} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{k_1} \frac{t_1^{k_2+1+i} t_2^{k_1-i} - t_1^{k_1-i} t_2^{k_2+1+i}}{t_1 - t_2} = \sum_{i=0}^{k_1} (t_1 t_2)^{k_1-i} \frac{t_1^{k_2+1-k_1+2i} - t_2^{k_2+1-k_1+2i}}{t_1 - t_2} \\ &= \chi_{k_1+k_2}(t) + t_1 t_2 \chi_{k_1+k_2-2}(t) + (t_1 t_2)^2 \chi_{k_1+k_2-4}(t) \dots \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\text{Sym}^{k_1}(\mathbb{C}^2) \otimes \text{Sym}^{k_2}(\mathbb{C}^2) = \bigoplus_{l=0}^{\min(k_1, k_2)} (\det)^l \otimes \text{Sym}^{k_1+k_2-2l}(\mathbb{C}^2).$$

It is easy to check (by Irreducibility criteria) that the representations in the summands are irreducible.

**Exercise 6.** For  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , establish the existence of the following isomorphism of representations of  $U(n)$ :

$$\bigwedge^k(\mathbb{C}^n) \cong \bigwedge^{n-k}(\mathbb{C}^n)^* \otimes \det.$$

**Solution 6.** We check by the equality of the characters in the both sides.

$$\chi_{\bigwedge^k(\mathbb{C}^n)}(t) = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} t_{i_1} \dots t_{i_k},$$

and

$$\chi_{\bigwedge^{n-k}(\mathbb{C}^n)^*}(t) = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_{n-k}} t_{i_1}^{-1} \dots t_{i_{n-k}}^{-1}.$$

The claim follows immediately from  $\det(g) = \prod_i t_i$ .

**Exercise 7.** Recall that  $SU(n) = \ker(\det : G \rightarrow U(1))$ . Let  $Z \cong U(1)$  denote the center of  $U(n)$ , and set  $PU(n) := U(n)/Z$ . Let  $\mu_n \subseteq Z$  denote the subgroup of  $n$ th roots of unity, so that  $\mu_n = Z \cap SU(n)$ . Observe that

- given a representation of  $PU(n)$ , we obtain a representation of  $U(n)$  by pullback, i.e., by composing with the projection  $U(n) \rightarrow PU(n)$ , and
- given a representation of  $U(n)$ , we obtain a representation of  $SU(n)$  by restriction, i.e., by composing with the inclusion  $SU(n) \rightarrow U(n)$ .

Show that the operations of pullback and restriction just described preserve irreducibility, inducing an injective map

$$\text{Irr}(PU(n)) \hookrightarrow \text{Irr}(U(n))$$

and a surjective map

$$\text{Irr}(U(n)) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Irr}(SU(n)).$$

Show that the latter maps and the bijection  $\text{Irr}(U(n)) \leftrightarrow \{\text{dominant elements of } \mathbb{Z}^n\}$  as in the Weyl character formula are compatible with bijections

$$\text{Irr}(SU(n)) \leftrightarrow \{\text{dominant elements of } \mathbb{Z}^n / \mathbb{Z}(1, \dots, 1)\}$$

and

$$\text{Irr}(PU(n)) \leftrightarrow \{\text{dominant elements of } (\mathbb{Z}^n)_0 := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n : \sum_j \lambda_j = 0\}\}.$$

**Solution 7.** Let  $\pi_i \in \text{Irr}(PU(n))$  for  $i = 1, 2$  such that  $\tilde{\pi}_1 \cong \tilde{\pi}_2$  where  $\tilde{\pi}_i$  is the representation of  $U(n)$  obtained by pulling back. Thus,  $\chi_{\tilde{\pi}_1} = \chi_{\tilde{\pi}_2}$  on  $U(n)$ , hence equal on  $PU(n)$ . Consequently,  $\pi_1 \cong \pi_2$ . If  $\pi$  is irreducible then  $\|\chi_\pi\|_{L^2(PU(n))} = 1$ . Note that, by definition of the pull back map the center  $Z$  acts trivially on  $\tilde{\pi}$ . Thus  $\chi_{\tilde{\pi}}(zg) = \chi_{\tilde{\pi}}(g)$ . We calculate under a probability Haar measure of  $U(n)$

$$\int_{U(n)} |\chi_{\tilde{\pi}}(g)|^2 = \int_Z \int_{PU(n)} |\chi_{\tilde{\pi}}(zg)|^2 = \frac{1}{\text{vol}(PU(n))} \int_{PU(n)} |\chi_\pi(g)|^2 \frac{1}{\text{vol}(Z)} \int_Z 1 = 1.$$

Thus  $\tilde{\pi}$  is irreducible.

Let  $\pi$  be a representation of  $U(n)$  with  $\bar{\pi}$  corresponding restriction representation of  $SU(n)$ . We check irreducibility in a similar way as previous. Let  $\pi$  be irreducible, so that  $\|\chi_\pi\|_{L^2(U(n))} = 1$ . Note that, for  $\alpha \in U(1) = U(n)/SU(n)$  we have  $\chi_\pi(\alpha g) = \chi(\det(\alpha))\chi_\pi(g)$ , for some unitary character  $\chi$  of  $U(1)$ . Obviously,  $\chi_{\bar{\pi}}(g) = \chi_\pi(g)$  for  $g \in SU(n)$ . Thus under a probability Haar measure of  $U(n)$

$$\int_{U(n)} |\chi_\pi(g)|^2 = \int_{U(1)} \int_{SU(n)} |\chi_\pi(\alpha g)|^2 = \int_{SU(n)} |\chi_{\bar{\pi}}(g)|^2 \int_{U(1)} |\chi(\det(\alpha))|^2 = \frac{1}{\text{vol}(SU(n))} \int_{SU(n)} |\chi_{\bar{\pi}}(g)|^2.$$

Thus  $\bar{\pi}$  is irreducible. To check surjectivity let  $\pi \in \text{Irr}(SU(n))$ . We define  $\tilde{\pi} \in \text{Irr}(U(n))$  by

$$\tilde{\pi}(g) = \det(g)\pi(g)/(\det(g))^{1/n}.$$

Clearly,  $\bar{\tilde{\pi}} = \pi$ . Irreducibility follows from the previous computation.

Let  $\pi \in \text{Irr}(\text{SU}(n))$ . We construct  $\tilde{\pi} \in \text{Irr}(\text{U}(n))$ , as previous, so that  $\tilde{\pi}$  restricts on  $\text{SU}(n)$  as  $\pi$ . Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  is such that  $\chi_{\tilde{\pi}} = s_\lambda$ . For  $t \in T \cap \text{SU}(n)$ , so that  $\det(t) = 1$ , we get  $\forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\chi_\pi(t) = s_\lambda(t) = s_{\lambda - (k, \dots, k)}.$$

Thus  $\pi|_{\text{SU}(n)}$  corresponds to  $\lambda + \mathbb{Z}(1, \dots, 1)$ , hence the correspondence is compatible.

Similarly, if  $\pi \in \text{Irr}(\text{PU}(n))$ , we pull back  $\pi$  to  $\tilde{\pi} \in \text{Irr}(\text{U}(n))$ . Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  so that  $\chi_{\tilde{\pi}} = s_\lambda$ . As  $\forall z \in Z$  we have  $\chi_{\tilde{\pi}}(zg) = \chi_{\tilde{\pi}}(g)$ , we obtain

$$z^{\sum_i \lambda_i} s_\lambda(t) = s_\lambda(zt) = s_\lambda(t), \quad \forall t \in T.$$

Thus  $\sum \lambda_i = 0$ , i.e., the correspondence is compatible.

**Exercise 8.** (Knapp, Exercise 11.4) Fix  $n \geq 2$ . For nonnegative integers  $p, q$ , let  $V_{p,q}$  denote the space of polynomials  $f \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n, \bar{z}_1, \dots, \bar{z}_n]$  that are bihomogeneous of degree  $(p, q)$  with respect to the  $z_i$ 's and the  $\bar{z}_j$ 's, that is to say,

$$V_{p,q} := \bigoplus_{\substack{i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_p \\ j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_q}} \mathbb{C} z_{i_1} \cdots z_{i_p} \bar{z}_{j_1} \cdots \bar{z}_{j_q}.$$

We may identify  $V_{p,q}$  with a space of functions  $f : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  given by polynomials in the real and imaginary parts of the argument. Regarding  $\mathbb{C}^n$  as the space of row vectors  $(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ , the group  $\text{SU}(n)$  acts on  $V_{p,q}$  by the rule  $g \cdot f(z) := f(zg)$ .

- (1) Verify that  $V_{p,q}$  actually defines a representation of  $\text{SU}(n)$ .
- (2) Write down a natural isomorphism

$$(0.1) \quad V_{p,q} \cong \text{Sym}^p(\mathbb{C}^n) \otimes \text{Sym}^q((\mathbb{C}^n)^*).$$

Determine the weights of  $V_{p,q}$ .

- (3) Show that the Laplacian  $\Delta := \sum_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j}$  defines a equivariant surjection  $\Delta : V_{p,q} \rightarrow V_{p-1,q-1}$ .
- (4) Let  $H_{p,q} \leq V_{p,q}$  denote the space of harmonic polynomials, i.e., the kernel of  $\Delta$ . Show that  $H_{p,q}$  is an invariant subspace. Compute its dimension and lexicographically highest weight. Show that it is irreducible.
- (5) Show that every irreducible representation of  $\text{SU}(n)$  is isomorphic to some  $H_{p,q}$  if and only if  $n \leq 3$ .

**Solution 8.** (1) This is clear if we check that if  $f$  is a monomial of degree  $(p, q)$  then  $f(zg)$  is a bihomogeneous polynomial of degree  $(p, q)$ . Let  $f = \prod_{i=1}^n z_i^{s_i} \bar{z}_i^{t_i}$  with  $\sum_i s_i = p$  and  $\sum t_i = q$ . Then

$$f(zg) = \prod_{i=1}^n \left( \sum_j g_{ij} z_j \right)^{s_i} \overline{\left( \sum_j g_{ij} z_j \right)^{t_i}}.$$

Each factor is bihomogeneous of degree  $(k, l)$ , so is  $f(zg)$ .

- (2) Let  $\{e_i\}$  and  $\{e_i^*\}$  be the standard basis of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  and  $\mathbb{C}^{*n}$ . The idea is to “map  $z_i$  to  $e_i$ ”. Choose the standard basis in  $V_{p,q}$  to be

$$\left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n z_i^{s_i} \bar{z}_i^{t_i} \mid \sum_i s_i = p, \sum_i t_i = q \right\}.$$

We claim that

$$\prod_{i=1}^n z_i^{s_i} \bar{z}_i^{t_i} \mapsto \otimes_{i=1}^n e_i^{\otimes s_i} e_i^{*\otimes t_i}$$

is  $SU(n)$ -equivariant. We note that, as  $g \in SU(n)$  we have  $g^{-t} = \bar{g}$ , so that,  $SU(n)$  acts on  $\mathbb{C}^{*n}$  by  $\bar{g}$ . Finally we see

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \left( \sum_j g_{ij} z_j \right)^{s_i} = \sum g_s \prod_i z_i^{s'_i} \mapsto \sum g_s \otimes_i e_i^{\otimes s'_i} = \otimes_i (g e_i)^{\otimes s_i}.$$

Similarly, we work out the dual action. This proves the natural isomorphism.

We use the isomorphism to calculate the characters. Hence for  $t \in T \cap SU(n)$

$$\chi(t) = \left( \sum_{i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_p} t_{i_1} \dots t_{i_p} \right) \left( \sum_{j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_q} t_{j_1}^{-1} \dots t_{j_q}^{-1} \right).$$

Thus the weights form the set

$$\{ \lambda \in (\mathbb{Z} \cap [-q, p])^n \mid \sum_i \lambda_i = p - q \} / \mathbb{Z}(1, \dots, 1).$$

- (3) It is easy to see that  $\Delta$  has image in  $V_{p-1, q-1}$  just by differentiation and surjectivity follows from “integrating”, and from the fact that differentiation and integration preserve homogeneity. Equivariance can be checked by explicit calculation, as previous.
- (4) If  $f \in H_{p,q}$  then from the previous part

$$\Delta(\pi(g)f) = \pi(g)\Delta(f) = 0.$$

Hence,  $H_{p,q}$  is invariant.

As  $H_{p,q}$  is the kernel of the surjection  $V_{p,q} \rightarrow V_{p-1, q-1}$ . So its dimension is

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(V_{p,q}) - \dim(V_{p-1, q-1}) &= \binom{n+p-1}{p} \binom{n+q-1}{q} - \binom{n+p-2}{p-1} \binom{n+q-2}{q-1} \\ &= (n-1)(p+q+n-1) \frac{(n+p-2)!(n+q-2)!}{p!q!(n-1)!^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Highest weight is  $(p, 0, \dots, -q)$ . Using Weyl character formula we check that the dimension of the representation attached to the highest weight is

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{p+q+n-1}{n-1} \prod_{i=2}^{n-1} \frac{n-i+q}{n-i} \prod_{j=2}^{n-1} \frac{p-1+j}{j-1} \\ &= (n-1)(p+q+n-1) \frac{(n+p-2)!(n+q-2)!}{p!q!(n-1)!^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $H_{p,q}$  is irreducible.

- (5) Let  $\pi$  irreducible representation of  $SU(3)$  with highest weight of the form  $(p, q, r)/\mathbb{Z}(1, 1, 1)$  which is same as  $(p-q, 0, r-q)$ . Thus  $\pi$  is isomorphic to  $H_{p-q, r-q}$ . Similar happens for  $SU(2)$ . Finally if  $n \geq 4$  then there exists irreducible representation with highest weight  $\lambda/Z(1, 1, 1, 1)$  with  $\lambda_2 \neq \lambda_3$ , thus clearly can not be isomorphic to any  $H_{p,q}$ .



**Exercise 9.** For a compact group  $G$ , prove that any subrepresentation (i.e., closed irreducible subspace) of the representation of  $G \times G$  on  $L^2(G)$  is of the form  $E_\Pi := \hat{\bigoplus}_{\pi \in \Pi} \mathcal{A}(\pi)$  for some subset  $\Pi$  of  $\text{Irr}(G)$ . Give an analogous description for subrepresentations of (say) the right regular representation of  $G$  on  $L^2(G)$ .

**Solution 9.** Let  $(\rho, V) \subseteq (\rho_0, L^2(G))$  be a subrepresentation of  $G \times G$ . Using an isotypic decomposition of  $V$  (theorem 4.11) we get

$$V = \hat{\bigoplus}_{\sigma \in \text{Irr}(G \times G)} V[\sigma],$$

where  $V[\sigma]$  is the  $\sigma$  isotypic component of  $V$ . Thus it is enough to show that if  $V[\sigma] \neq 0$  then  $\exists \pi \in \text{Irr}(G)$  such that  $\mathcal{A}(\pi) = V[\sigma]$ . We recall (Lemma 3.3) that  $\mathcal{A}(\pi) \cong \pi \boxplus \bar{\pi}$ , and the Peter-Weyl theorem

$$L^2(G) = \hat{\bigoplus}_{\pi \in \text{Irr}(G)} \mathcal{A}(\pi).$$

We also recall that  $\pi(\alpha_\tau)$  is a projector on the  $\tau$ -isotypic space for  $\alpha_\tau = \dim(\tau) \overline{\chi_\tau}$  and  $\pi \in \text{Irr}(G)$  (theorem 4.9). Now let  $\sigma = \pi_1 \boxtimes \pi_2$ . But  $\rho_0(\alpha_\sigma) |_{\mathcal{A}(\pi)} = 0$  unless  $\pi_1 = \pi$  and  $\pi_2 = \bar{\pi}$ , in particular,  $\pi_2 = \bar{\pi}_1$ . In other words,  $L^2(G)[\sigma] = \mathcal{A}(\pi)$  if  $\sigma = \pi \boxtimes \bar{\pi}$  and zero otherwise. But  $\rho(\alpha_\sigma) = \rho_0(\alpha_\sigma) |_V$ , which concludes the proof of the claim.

**Exercise 10.** Let  $G$  be a unimodular locally compact group and  $\pi$  a compact-type unitary representation of  $G$ . Show that

$$\pi \cong \hat{\bigoplus} \pi_j,$$

where  $\pi_j$  are irreducible and  $\#\{k \mid \pi_j \cong \pi_k\} < \infty$ , for all  $j$ . [A proof is recorded in the lecture notes at the end of §4, so the homework problem is basically to study and rewrite that proof.]

**Solution 10.** First we show that there exists at least one irreducible subspace of  $\pi$ . Let  $f \in C_c(G)$  with  $f(g) = \overline{f(g^{-1})}$ , so that  $\pi(f)$  is a self-adjoint, nonzero, compact operator. Thus by the psectral theory  $\pi(f)$  has an eigenvalue, call  $\lambda$ , with eigenvector  $v$ . We consider the cyclic representation  $\overline{\langle v \rangle}$  generated by  $v$ . Let  $\overline{\langle v \rangle}$  is not irreducible and has a orthogonal decomposition of the form  $\overline{\langle v \rangle} = V \oplus V^\perp$  with  $v = v_1 + v'_1$ , where  $V$  and its orthogonal compliment are  $G$ -invariant. So they are also  $\pi(f) - \lambda$  invariant. This implies that  $v_1$  and  $v'_1$  are also  $\pi(f)$  eigenvectors with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . We confirm that none of the  $v_i$  are zero. Because otherwise  $V$  would lie in a proper subspace of  $\overline{\langle v \rangle}$  contrary to the construction. Thus if  $V_\lambda$  is the  $\lambda$  eigenspace of  $\pi(f)$  then we obtained

$$V_\lambda \cap \overline{\langle v_1 \rangle} \subsetneq V_\lambda \cap \overline{\langle v \rangle}.$$

As LHS has a strictly smaller dimension than the RHS and the RHS is a finite dimensional space after finitely many steps we will obtain a nonzero irreducible subspace.

By Zorn's lemma we can find a maximal collection of mutually orthogonal collection  $\{\pi_j\}$  of irreducible subrepresentation. We claim that  $\hat{\bigoplus} \pi_j$  is dense in  $\pi$ . If not we can take the orthogonal compliment of the above which would satisfy the same hypothesis without any irreducible subrepresentation, contradicting the previous argument.

For  $\pi_j$  we choose a self adjoint compact integral operator  $\pi(f)$  having an eigenvector in  $\pi_j$ . if  $\pi_j \cong \pi_k$  then  $\pi(f)$  will have an eigenvector in  $\pi_k$  with the same eigenvalue. But the eigenspaces of  $\pi(f)$  are finite dimensional, which concludes the proof of the claim.

**Exercise 11.** *Let  $G$  be a unimodular Lie group, equipped with some Haar measure  $dg$ . Let  $(\pi, V)$  be a Hilbert representation. Say that  $v \in V$  is a smooth vector if the map  $G \rightarrow V$  given  $g \mapsto gv$  is smooth (i.e., infinitely differentiable, with the same definition as for scalar-valued functions). Show that:*

- (1) *For  $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$ , the map  $G \rightarrow L^1(G)$  given by  $g \mapsto [x \mapsto f(g^{-1}x)]$  is smooth.*
- (2) *For each  $v \in V$  and  $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$ , the vector  $\pi(f)v$  is smooth.*
- (3) *The space of smooth vectors is dense in  $V$ .*

**Solution 11.** (1) Let  $X \in \mathfrak{g} := \text{Lie}(G)$ . Then the induced action by  $X$  on  $f$  is given by

$$Xf : x \mapsto \partial_{t=0} f(\exp(-tX)x).$$

As  $f$  is smooth the  $Xf$  exists and  $f$  being compact supported confirms that  $Xf \in L^1(G)$ .

- (2) Note that the composed map  $G \rightarrow L^1(G) \rightarrow V$  given by  $g \mapsto f(g^{-1}\cdot) \mapsto \pi(f(g^{-1}\cdot))$  is smooth, as the first map is smooth by (1) and the second map is Lipschitz. Now by differentiating under the integral sign and a change of variable

$$\begin{aligned} X\pi(f)v &= \partial_{t=0} \int_G f(x)\pi(\exp(tX)x)v dg \\ &= \int_G \partial_{t=0} f(\exp(-tX)x)\pi(x)v dg = \pi(Xf)v. \end{aligned}$$

The last equality holds from (1) and implies that  $v$  is differentiable, hence smooth by repeating the same argument.

- (3) Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Note that for any  $v \in V$  there exists a neighbourhood  $U$  of the identity such that by the continuity of the representation we can obtain

$$\|\pi(u)v - v\|_V < \epsilon, \quad \forall u \in U.$$

By  $C^\infty$  Urysohn's lemma there exists  $f \in C_c^\infty(U)$  with  $\|f\|_1 = 1$ . Then

$$\|\pi(f)v - v\| \leq \int_U |f(g)| \|\pi(g)v - v\| < \epsilon.$$

But  $\pi(f)v$  is smooth by (2), hence we conclude.

**Exercise 12.** *Let  $G$  be a reductive complex algebraic group, and  $V$  a finite-dimensional vector space. Show that any holomorphic representation  $G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$  is algebraic. [You may use that if  $\Omega$  is a connected open subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  that intersects  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then any holomorphic function  $\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  that vanishes on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is identically zero.]*

**Solution 12.** We start by recalling the Cartan decomposition  $G = K \exp(\mathfrak{p})$ . Note that if  $\pi$  is a holomorphic representation of  $G$  then for  $g = k \exp(X)$  we have

$$\pi(g) = \pi(k)\pi(\exp(X)) = \pi(k) \exp(d\pi(X)) = \pi(k) \exp(id\pi(X/i)),$$

where  $d\pi$  is the differentiated representation of  $\text{Lie}(G)$  which is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear if  $\pi$  is holomorphic, and the second equality follows from the uniqueness of one parameter flow with given velocity. Now we recall that  $\mathfrak{p} = i\mathfrak{k}$ , thus  $X/i \in \mathfrak{k}$ . In other words,  $\pi \mapsto \pi|_K$  is injective. Finally, we recall that  $\mathbb{C}[G] \cong \mathcal{A}(K)$ , in particular, algebraic representations of  $G$  are in bijection with finite dimensional representation of  $K$  (theorem 5.13). As  $V$  is finite dimensional there exists an algebraic representation  $\tau$  of  $G$  such that  $\tau|_K = \pi|_K$ . But the injectivity implies that  $\pi \cong \tau$ , hence algebraic.

**Exercise 13.** Let  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$  be a complex reductive algebraic group, embedded in  $\text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$  in such a way that  $\Theta(G_{\mathbb{C}}) = G_{\mathbb{C}}$ . Set  $G := G_{\mathbb{C}} \cap \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{R})$  and  $K := G_{\mathbb{C}} \cap \text{O}(n)$ . The groups  $G$  that arise in this way turn out to be the reductive real algebraic groups. Extend the Cartan decomposition, as proved in lecture for reductive complex algebraic groups, to the real case, as follows.

- (1) Set  $\mathfrak{k} := \text{Lie}(K)$ ,  $\mathfrak{g} := \text{Lie}(G)$  and  $\mathfrak{p} := \{x \in \mathfrak{g} : x^t = x\}$ . Show that  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$  and that the map  $K \times \mathfrak{p} \rightarrow G$  is a diffeomorphism.
- (2) Take  $p, q \geq 1$  and  $G_{\mathbb{C}} := \text{U}(p, q)$ . Show that  $G = \text{O}(p, q)$  and  $K = \text{O}(p) \times \text{O}(q)$ . Describe  $\mathfrak{k}$ ,  $\mathfrak{p}$  and the decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}$  explicitly. Assuming the fact that  $\text{O}(n)$  has two connected components, show that  $G$  has four connected components. Can you describe these explicitly?

**Solution 13.** (1) Let  $\theta := d\Theta$ , so that,  $\theta(\text{Lie}(G_{\mathbb{C}})) = \text{Lie}(G_{\mathbb{C}})$ . Also  $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G_{\mathbb{C}}) \cap \mathfrak{gl}_n(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\theta|_{\mathfrak{g}}: x \mapsto -x^t$ . We also note that,  $\mathfrak{k} := \{x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid x^t = -x\}$  as  $\mathfrak{k} = \text{Lie}(G_{\mathbb{C}}) \cap \mathfrak{o}(n)$ . As  $\theta$  is an involution we can decompose  $\mathfrak{g}$  in  $\pm 1$  eigenspace of  $\theta$ . In particular, we can write

$$\mathfrak{g} \ni x = x^+ + x^-, \quad x^{\pm} := \frac{x \pm \theta(x)}{2}.$$

Hence,  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$ .

$G$ ,  $K$ , and  $\mathfrak{p}$  are intersections of smooth manifolds with closed subsets. So the restriction of the corresponding diffeomorphism in  $G_{\mathbb{C}}$  would yield a diffeomorphism in the real group.

- (2) Recall that, for  $J := \begin{pmatrix} I_p & \\ & -I_q \end{pmatrix}$

$$U(p, q) := \{g \in \text{GL}_{p+q}(\mathbb{C}) \mid g^* J g = J\}.$$

Clearly,

$$U(p, q) \cap \text{GL}_{p+q}(\mathbb{R}) = \{g \in \text{GL}_{p+q}(\mathbb{R}) \mid g^t J g = J\} = O(p, q).$$

We write an element  $g \in K$  in  $p + q$  block matrix form, that is,  $k = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$  with  $A \in \text{Mat}_{p \times p}$ ,  $B \in \text{Mat}_{p \times q}$ , etc.. As  $k \in O(p + q) \cap O(p, q)$  we have

$$k^t k = I_{p+q}, \quad k^t J k = J,$$

we obtain

$$A^t A = I_p, D^t D = I_q, B^t A = 0 = D^t C \implies A \in O(p), D \in O(q), B = 0 = C.$$

Thus  $k \in O(p) \times O(q)$ . The reverse inclusion is obvious.

By differentiating we obtain

$$\mathfrak{g} = \{X \in \mathfrak{gl}_{p+q}(\mathbb{R}) \mid X^t J + J X = 0\} =: \mathfrak{o}(p, q).$$

From the description as  $\theta$  eigenspaces we can calculate

$$\mathfrak{k} = \{X \in \mathfrak{gl}_{p+q}(\mathbb{R}) \mid J X J = -X^t = X\}.$$

Doing a similar calculation as the above one obtains

$$\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{o}(p) \times \mathfrak{o}(q).$$

Similarly,

$$\mathfrak{p} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} & X \\ -X^t & \end{pmatrix} \mid X \in \text{Mat}_{p \times q}(\mathbb{R}) \right\}.$$

Using Cartan decomposition,  $K = O(p) \times O(q)$  is the deformation retract of  $G = O(p, q)$ . Thus  $K$  has same number of connected component as  $G$ , which is 4. They are given by  $K_i \exp(\mathfrak{p})$ , where  $K_i$  are of form  $O(p)^\circ \times O(q)^\circ$ ,  $O(p)^1 \times O(q)^\circ$ ,  $O(p)^\circ \times O(q)^1$ , and  $O(p)^1 \times O(q)^1$ , where  $O(n)^1 = O(n) \setminus O(n)^\circ$ .

**Exercise 14.** *Following the hint given in lecture, show that for any compact connected Lie group  $K$  and torus  $S \leq K$ , the centralizer  $Z_K(S)$  is the union of all the maximal tori containing  $S$ .*

**Solution 14.** We want to show that

$$Z_K(S) = \bigcup_{\text{torus } T \supseteq S} T.$$

Note that, “ $\supseteq$ ” is obvious; as  $T$  is abelian and contains  $S$ ,  $T$  clearly centralizes  $S$ . We prove “ $\subseteq$ ”. Let  $g \in Z_K(S)$ . This implies that  $S \subset Z_K(g)^\circ$  as  $S$  is connected. Let  $S' \subseteq Z_K(g)^\circ$  be a maximal torus containing  $S$ . by theorem 6.21 we have  $Z(Z_K(g)^\circ) \subset S'$ . But from theorem 6.19 we know that  $g \in Z_K(g)^\circ$ , hence  $g \in Z(Z_K(g)^\circ)$ . Choosing  $T$  to be a maximal torus of  $K$  which contains  $S'$ , we conclude.

**Exercise 15.** *Let  $K$  be the compact connected group  $\text{SO}_N(\mathbb{R})$ . Let  $\{e_i\}$  be the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ .*

(1) *Give a description of the complexified Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of  $K$ .*

- (2) Show that with a maximal torus  $T \leq K$  can be represented by block diagonal matrices  $(t_1, \dots, t_n, [1])$  where each  $t_k$  is a  $2 \times 2$  matrix of form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}, \quad \theta \in \mathbb{R},$$

where  $[1]$  denotes  $1 \times 1$  block which arises only when  $N = 2n + 1$  odd.

- (3) Compute the root space decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . For a given root  $\alpha$  compute  $X_\alpha, Y_\alpha, H_\alpha$ , and the root reflection  $s_\alpha$ .
- (4) Compute the Weyl group  $W$  generated by  $s_\alpha$ . Check that that indeed coincides with  $N(T)/T$ .

**Solution 15.** A summary of the solution is given here. We realize  $\mathrm{SO}_N(\mathbb{R})$  acting on  $\mathbb{R}^N$  equipped with the standard inner product. We check that  $T$  is indeed a torus (compact, connected, abelian), in fact, is homeomorphic to  $(S^1)^r$  for  $r = [N/2]$  when  $\theta$  is restricted to  $\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ . We want to show that it is a maximal torus. It is enough to show that  $Z_K(T) \subseteq T$ . Let  $A \in Z_K(T)$ . We consider an element  $t_k := \mathrm{diag}(1, 1, \dots, -1, -1, \dots, 1, 1)$  where  $(-1, -1)$  lies in  $(2k - 1, 2k)$ th position. Let  $Ae_{2k-1} = \sum_{i=1}^N a_i e_i$ . Then

$$t_k A e_{2k-1} = a_1 e_1 + \dots - a_{2k-1} e_{2k-1} - a_{2k} e_{2k} + \dots + a_N e_N,$$

and

$$A t_k e_{2k-1} = -A e_{2k-1} = -a_1 e_1 - \dots - a_N e_N.$$

Hence  $a_i = 0$  for  $i \neq 2k - 1, 2k$ . In other words  $A$  is a rotation in the plane generated by  $e_{2k-1} e_{2k}$ , thus must be of the form  $t_k$ . So  $T$  is a maximal torus.

Now we complexify  $T$  and  $K$ , and denote them  $H$  and  $G$  respectively. We will now describe  $H$ . We construct a new basis

$$f_j := \frac{e_{2j-1} + e_{2j}}{\sqrt{2}}, f_{n+j} := \frac{e_{2j-1} - e_{2j}}{\sqrt{2}}; \quad 1 \leq j \leq n; \quad (f_{2n+1} = e_{2n+1}).$$

The above basis is an eigenbasis and diagonalize  $T$  over  $\mathbb{C}$  to

$$\{\mathrm{diag}(e^{i\theta_1}, e^{-i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_n}, e^{-i\theta_n}, [1]) \mid \theta_i \in \mathbb{C}\}.$$

For future purpose we define  $H$  to be a permutation of the above

$$H := \{\mathrm{diag}(e^{i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{i\theta_n}, e^{-i\theta_1}, \dots, e^{-i\theta_n}, [1])\},$$

which can be obtained by conjugating by a permutation matrix from  $\mathrm{SO}_N(\mathbb{C})$ .

We now note that the complexified Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{so}_N(\mathbb{C})$  can be written in the split form as

$$\mathfrak{so}_N(\mathbb{C}) := \{X \in M_N(\mathbb{C}) \mid X^t J + JX = 0\},$$

where

$$J = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} & I_n \\ I_n & \end{pmatrix}, & \text{if } N = 2n \\ \begin{pmatrix} & I_n \\ I_n & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & \text{if } N = 2n + 1 \end{cases}.$$

For instance, a generic matrix in  $\mathfrak{so}_{2n+1}(\mathbb{C})$  is given by  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b & x \\ c & -a^t & y \\ -y^t & -x^t & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  with  $a, b, c \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$

and  $x, y \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , and  $b^t = -b$ ,  $c^t = -c$ .

Now we compute the root space decomposition. We have to compute the action of  $\text{ad}(H)$  for  $H \in \mathfrak{h}$  where

$$\mathfrak{h} := \{H := \text{diag}(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n, -\theta_1, \dots, -\theta_n, [0]) \mid \theta_i \in \mathbb{C}\}.$$

We fix a basis of  $\mathfrak{h}$  to be  $\{E_{i,i} - E_{n+i,n+i}\}$ . Let the dual basis of  $\mathfrak{h}^*$  is given by  $\{\lambda_i\}$  such that  $\theta_i = \lambda_i(H)$ . Now we calculate the eigenvectors of  $\text{ad}(E_{i,i} - E_{n+i,n+i})$ . We list the roots  $\alpha \in \Phi$ ,  $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^\alpha$ ,  $Y_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^{-\alpha}$ , and  $H_\alpha = [X_\alpha, Y_\alpha]$ .

$$\Phi = \{\pm(\lambda_j \pm \lambda_k) \mid j < k\} \sqcup \{\pm\lambda_j\},$$

where the second part arises when  $N = 2n + 1$ .

$\alpha$	$X_\alpha$	$Y_\alpha$	$H_\alpha$
$\lambda_j - \lambda_k$	$E_{j,k} - E_{n+k,n+j}$	$E_{k,j} - E_{n+j,n+k}$	$E_{j,j} - E_{k,k} - E_{n+j,n+j} + E_{n+k,n+k}$
$\lambda_j + \lambda_k$	$E_{j,n+k} - E_{k,n+j}$	$E_{n+k,j} - E_{n+j,k}$	$E_{j,j} + E_{k,k} - E_{n+j,n+j} - E_{n+k,n+k}$
$-\lambda_j - \lambda_k$	$E_{n+j,k} - E_{n+k,j}$	$E_{k,n+j} - E_{j,n+k}$	$-E_{j,j} - E_{k,k} + E_{n+j,n+j} + E_{n+k,n+k}$
$\lambda_j$	$E_{j,2n+1} - E_{2n+1,n+j}$	$2(E_{2n+1,j} - E_{n+j,2n+1})$	$2E_{j,j} - 2E_{n+j,n+j}$
$-\lambda_j$	$E_{2n+1,j} - E_{n+j,2n+1}$	$2(E_{j,2n+1} - E_{2n+1,n+j})$	$-2E_{j,j} + 2E_{n+j,n+j}$

The last two rows appear only when  $N = 2n + 1$ . A positive system of roots can be given by

$$\Phi^+ = \{\lambda_j \pm \lambda_k \mid j < k\} \sqcup \{\lambda_j\},$$

where the second part appears only when  $N = 2n + 1$ . simple system of roots is given by

$$\Delta = \{\lambda_j - \lambda_{j+1} \mid 1 \leq j \leq n, \lambda_{n+1} = 0, -\lambda_{n-1}, \text{ for } N \text{ odd or even, resp.}\}.$$

The root space decomposition can be checked by means of the formula

$$\text{ad}(H)X_\alpha = \alpha(H)X_\alpha, \quad \text{ad}(H)Y_\alpha = -\alpha(H)Y_\alpha.$$

Let us choose following ‘‘standard’’ chamber  $C \subseteq \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}$ :

$$C := \{\text{diag}(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n, -\theta_1, \dots, -\theta_n, [0]) \mid \theta_1 > \dots > \theta_n [> 0]\}.$$

With respect to this chamber the positive roots in  $\Phi$  would be

$$\lambda_j \pm \lambda_k, \quad 1 \leq j < k \leq n,$$

for  $N = 2n$ , and

$$\lambda_j \pm \lambda_k, \lambda_k, \quad 1 \leq j < k \leq n,$$

for  $N = 2n + 1$ . We claim that  $\Delta$  as described above is a system of simple roots. First we check that they are indeed simple root. Then we check that  $\Delta$  is linearly independent: If  $\sum c_i(\lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1}) = 0$ , then  $c_i = 0$  invoking linear Independence of  $\lambda_j$ . Finally, we check that  $\Phi^+$  can be obtained by a  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  span of  $\Delta$ . To check this we note (for  $N = 2n + 1$ ), for example,

$$\lambda_j + \lambda_k = (\lambda_j - \lambda_{j+1}) + \cdots + (\lambda_{k-1} - \lambda_k) + 2(\lambda_k - \lambda_{k+1}) + \cdots + 2\lambda_n.$$

Rest of them can be proved similarly.

Now we turn to find the reflections  $s_\alpha$  for  $\alpha \in \Phi$ . Recall the map  $F_\alpha$  whose differential  $dF_\alpha$  sends  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & -1 \end{pmatrix}$  to  $X_\alpha$  so that  $s_\alpha = F_\alpha\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & -1 \end{pmatrix}\right)T$ . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} F_\alpha\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & -1 \end{pmatrix}\right) &= F_\alpha\left(\begin{pmatrix} \cos \pi/2 & \sin \pi/2 \\ -\sin \pi/2 & \cos \pi/2 \end{pmatrix}\right) = F_\alpha\left(\exp\left(\begin{pmatrix} & \pi/2 \\ -\pi/2 & \end{pmatrix}\right)\right) \\ &= \exp\left(\frac{\pi}{2}dF_\alpha\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & -1 \end{pmatrix}\right)\right) = \exp\left(\frac{\pi}{2}(X_\alpha - Y_\alpha)\right). \end{aligned}$$

The Weyl group is generated by  $\{s_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Delta}$ .

Now we construct the Weyl group analytically. We claim the following:

- For  $N = 2n + 1$ ,  $W \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n \rtimes S_n$ .
- For  $N = 2n$ ,  $W \cong H_n \rtimes S_n$ , where  $H_n$  is the hyperplane in  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$  defined by  $\sum \epsilon_i = 0$ .

First we construct the map explicitly. We understand  $\mathbb{C}^N$  as complexification of the standard representation of  $\mathrm{SO}_N(\mathbb{R})$  on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . We checked that the torus  $T$  acts on  $\mathbb{C}^N$  by distinct characters  $e^{\pm i\theta_j}$  for  $j = 1..n$ . Thus any  $g \in N_K(T)$  permutes the eigenlines. But the action of  $g$  is defined on  $\mathbb{R}$  so it preserves the complex conjugation, in the sense that, if  $g$  maps  $e^{i\theta}$  to  $e^{i\theta'}$  then it should map  $e^{-i\theta}$  to  $e^{-i\theta'}$ . Thus  $N_K(T)$  naturally acts as a permutation on  $\{\pm 1, \dots, \pm n\}$  such that  $\sigma(-k) = -\sigma(k)$ . We also have permutations in  $n$  pairs of  $\{-j, j\}$ . Group of such permutation is  $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n \rtimes S_n$ .

Now we show the injectivity. Let  $g$  acts trivially on every eigenline. As  $g$  preserves every one dimensional subspace it must be diagonal. Thus it commutes with  $T$ . Hence  $g \in Z_K(T)$ . But maximality of  $T$  implies that  $Z_K(T) = T \ni g$ . Thus the map is injective.

To show surjectivity we need divide into parities. Let  $N = 2n + 1$  first. For given  $(\sigma, \epsilon)$ , where  $\sigma \in S_n$  and  $\epsilon = (\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n) \in (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})^n$ , we first choose the permutation matrix which permute the eigenplanes  $P_j := \mathbb{R}(e_{2j-1} \oplus +e_{2j})$  to  $p_k$  such that  $\sigma(j) = k$ . Then we transpose between a line and its conjugate line according to epsilon. We need to to make sure that this matrix lie in  $\mathrm{SO}_{2m+1}$ , that is, it has  $\det = 1$ . For that we choose action on  $\mathbb{R}e_{2n+1}$  by  $(-1)^{\sum \epsilon_i}$ . Clearly this matrix lie in  $N_K(T)$ . We prove the even case similarly. Because the codomain only contains  $\epsilon$  with  $\sum \epsilon_i = 0$  the  $\det = 1$  property is immediate.

**Exercise 16.** Say that a pair of chambers  $C, C'$  are adjacent if they are separated by exactly one root hyperplane  $\beta^\perp$ ; in other words, there is a root  $\beta$  so that

- $\beta(C) > 0$ ,
- $\beta(C') < 0$ , and
- $\alpha(C)$  and  $\alpha(C')$  have the same sign for any  $\alpha \in \Phi - \mathbb{Q}\beta$ .

We say in that case that  $\beta$  is a wall of  $C$  and also of  $C'$ .

- (1) Interpret Lemma 6.39 in terms of these notions.
- (2) Show that if the chambers  $C, C'$  are adjacent with common wall  $\beta^\perp$ , then  $s_\beta C = C'$  and  $s_\beta C' = C$ .
- (3) Let  $\beta \in \Phi^+(C)$ . Show that the following are equivalent:
  - (a)  $\beta^\perp$  is a wall of  $C$  (in the sense defined above).
  - (b)  $\beta \in \Delta(C)$ .
  - (c)  $\beta^\perp \cap \overline{C}$  contains a nonempty open subset of the hyperplane  $\beta^\perp$ .
- (4) With notation and assumptions as in the conclusion of Lemma 6.39, show that there exist  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n \in \Delta(C)$  so that  $C = s_{\beta_j} \cdots s_{\beta_1} C_j$  for  $j = 0..n$ .
- (5) Show that for any Weyl chamber  $C$ , the root reflections  $s_\beta$  taken over  $\beta \in \Delta(C)$  generate  $W$ .

**Solution 16.** (1) Left for the reader.

- (2) Let  $x \in C$ . Then

$$\beta(s_\beta(x)) = \beta(x) - \beta(x)\beta(H_\beta) = \beta(x) < 0.$$

We know that  $s_\beta$  reflects with respect to  $\beta^\perp$  and  $s_\beta$  is continuous so  $s_\beta(C) \subseteq C'$ . Similarly,  $s_\beta(C') \subseteq C$ . Thus  $s_\beta(C) = C'$  and  $s_\beta(C') = C$ .

- (3) (a)  $\implies$  (b): By the part (1) if  $\beta^\perp$  is a wall of  $C$  then  $C$  and  $s_\beta(C)$  are adjacent. So that  $\beta^\perp$  is the only root hyperplane between  $C$  and  $s_\beta(C)$  such that the three conditions of the adjacency hold. Let  $\beta(C) > 0$  and  $\beta = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$  where  $\alpha_i \neq \mathbb{Q}\beta$ . Then  $\alpha_i(C) > 0$  and  $\alpha_i(s_\beta(C)) > 0$  which contradicts that  $\beta(s_\beta(C)) < 0$ .
- (b)  $\implies$  (c): Let  $\beta \in \Delta(C)$ . We claim that there exists  $x \in \overline{C}$  so that  $\beta(x) = 0$  but  $\gamma(x) > 0$  for all  $\gamma \in \Delta(C) - \{\beta\}$ . Then  $x$  admits a small convex neighborhood  $U$  that doesn't intersect any root hyperplane other than  $\beta^\perp$ . Moreover,  $s_\beta U \cap U$  contains  $x$ , hence is nonempty. We may assume also that  $U$  is small enough that  $\gamma(U) > 0$  for all  $\gamma \in \Delta(C) - \{\beta\}$ , hence that  $U \cap \beta^\perp \subseteq \overline{C}$ . But  $U \cap \beta^\perp$  is open in  $U$ , so this gives (c).
- (c)  $\implies$  (a): We essentially follow the proof of Lemma 6.39.
- (4) We induct on  $j$ . Note that  $C'' := s_{\beta_j} \cdots s_{\beta_1} C_{j+1}$  is adjacent to  $C_0$ . Let  $\beta_{j+1}^\perp$ , with  $\beta_{j+1} \in \Delta(C_0)$ , be their common wall. Then  $s_{\beta_{j+1}} C'' = C_0$ , as required.
- (5) We know that  $W$  acts freely on the Weyl chambers. As from part (4) we conclude that for given  $C, C' = w(C), w \in W$  Weyl chambers, there exist  $\alpha_i \in \Delta(C)$  such that  $s_{\alpha_1} \cdots s_{\alpha_j}(C) = C'$ . Hence  $w = s_{\alpha_1} \cdots s_{\alpha_j}$ .



**Exercise 17.** A root system is a finite dimensional Euclidean space  $(V, \langle, \rangle)$ , equipped with a spanning subset  $\Phi \subset V$  s.t.  $\forall \alpha \in \Phi$ ,  $s_\alpha : V \rightarrow V$  defined by  $v \mapsto v - 2\frac{\langle \alpha, v \rangle}{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle}$ , satisfies  $s_\alpha(\Phi) = \Phi$  and  $2\frac{\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle}{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle} \in \mathbb{Z}$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$ . A root system is called reduced if  $\mathbb{C}\alpha \cap \Phi = \{\pm\alpha\}$ .

(1) Show that if  $(V, \langle, \rangle, \Phi)$  is a (reduced) root system then  $(X, \Phi, \check{X}, \check{\Phi})$  is a (reduced) root datum, where either

Simply Connected: Weight lattice:

$$X := \{\lambda \in V \mid \langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall \alpha \in \Phi\},$$

and coroot lattice:

$$\check{X} := \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi} \mathbb{Z}\check{\alpha}, \quad \check{\alpha} = 2\frac{\langle \alpha, \cdot \rangle}{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle}.$$

Adjoint: Root lattice:

$$X := \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi} \mathbb{Z}\alpha,$$

and coweight lattice:

$$\check{X} := \{z \in V^* \mid z(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall \alpha \in \Phi\}.$$

(2) Show that there exists a unique (up to isomorphism) simply connected compact group with root system of  $G_2$  type.