

## Solutions for exercise sheet 10

1. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be as in the hint and let  $\chi \in \sigma(\mathcal{A})$ . If  $a' \in \mathcal{A}'$  is any element and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is such that  $(a')^n = 0$  then

$$0 = \chi((a')^n) = \chi(a')^n$$

and so  $\chi(a') = 0$ . This shows that  $\chi$  vanishes identically on  $\mathcal{A}'$ . Thus,  $\chi$  agrees with the character

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{C} \times \mathcal{A}' \ni (\alpha, a) \mapsto \alpha$$

by  $\mathbb{C}$ -linearity. This shows that  $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$  consists of a point and is thus non-empty and compact.

2. a) By Proposition 11.38 the Pontryagin dual  $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}^d}$  can be identified with the Gelfand dual  $\sigma(\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d))$  under the map

$$\chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{Z}^d} \mapsto \left( a \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d) \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{Z}^d} a \chi \, dm_{\mathbb{Z}^d} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} a_n \chi(n) \right)$$

where we used that  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  is discrete so that the counting measure is its Haar measure. Combining this with the identification  $\mathbb{T}^d \simeq \widehat{\mathbb{Z}^d}$  we obtain that the Gelfand dual is identified with the torus under the map

$$t \in \mathbb{T}^d \mapsto \left( a \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d) \mapsto \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} a_n \chi_t(n) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} a_n e^{2\pi i n \cdot t} \right).$$

Note that for  $t \in \mathbb{T}^d$  the latter character exactly evaluates the Fourier series that  $a$  defines at the value  $t$ .

Also by Proposition 11.38 the Gelfand transform

$$\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d) \rightarrow C(\sigma(\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d))), \quad a \mapsto a^\circ$$

corresponds to the Fourier back transform

$$\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d) \rightarrow C(\widehat{\mathbb{Z}^d}), \quad a \mapsto \check{a}.$$

under the above identification of the Gelfand dual with the Pontryagin dual. Notice that for  $t \in \mathbb{T}^d$  and  $a \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)$

$$\check{a}(\chi_t) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}^d} a\chi_t \, dm_{\mathbb{Z}^d} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} a_n e^{2\pi i n \cdot t}.$$

We put everything together and obtain that the map  $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d) \rightarrow C(\mathbb{T}^d)$  obtained under the above identifications is given at  $f \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  by

$$t \in \mathbb{T}^d \mapsto \check{f}(\chi_t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} f(n) e^{2\pi i n \cdot t}$$

as claimed.

- b)** Recall that the spectrum  $\sigma(a)$  of  $a \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  is the set of values  $\chi(a)$  for  $\chi \in \sigma(\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d))$ . Using the Gelfand dual

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(a) &= \{\chi(a) : \chi \in \sigma(\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d))\} = \{a^\circ(\chi) : \chi \in \sigma(\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d))\} \\ &= a^\circ(\sigma(\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d))). \end{aligned}$$

Part a) identifies the map  $a^\circ$  with the map

$$\tilde{a} : t \in \mathbb{T}^d \mapsto \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^d} a_n e^{2\pi i n \cdot t}.$$

Thus,

$$\sigma(a) = a^\circ(\sigma(\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d))) = \tilde{a}(\mathbb{T}^d).$$

- c)** If  $f \in C(\mathbb{T}^d)$  has an absolutely convergent Fourier series and  $a \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  is the sequence of Fourier coefficients, then  $f = \tilde{a}$  and by **b)**  $\sigma(a) = f(\mathbb{T}^d)$ . The assumption that  $f$  does not attain zero thus means that  $0 \notin \sigma(a)$ . In other words,  $a$  is invertible. Let  $b \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  be its inverse. Then  $f\tilde{b} = \tilde{a}\tilde{b} = \tilde{ab} = \tilde{\delta}_0 = 1$  and  $\frac{1}{f}$  is equal to  $\tilde{b}$ . The latter however has an absolutely convergent Fourier series by definition.
- 3. a)** It is straightforward to check that  $\chi_t$  is a character for any  $t \in \mathbb{T}^d$ . If  $\chi_{t_1} = \chi_{t_2}$  then  $t = t_1 - t_2$  satisfies that  $\chi_t = 1$ . This means that  $x \cdot t \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Since  $\mathbb{R}^d$  is connected,  $\mathbb{Z}^d$  is discrete, and the map  $x \mapsto x \cdot t$  is continuous,  $x \cdot t = 0$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Thus,  $t = 0$  and  $t_1 = t_2$  which proves the desired injectivity.

b) Let us first assume that  $d = 1$ . We use the hint and note that

$$\int_s^{s+\delta} \chi(x) dx = \int_0^{s+\delta} \chi(x) dx - \int_0^s \chi(x) dx$$

is a continuously differentiable function in  $s$  by standard calculus. On the other hand, we may choose  $\delta > 0$  small enough so that  $\chi|_{[0,\delta]}$  is a positive function. Indeed,  $\chi$  is continuous and  $\chi(0) = 1$ . In particular,  $\int_0^\delta \chi(x) dx$  is non-zero. Therefore,

$$\chi(s) = \frac{\int_s^{s+\delta} \chi(x) dx}{\int_0^\delta \chi(x) dx}$$

is continuously differentiable as a function of  $s$ .

Since  $\chi$  is a character, we have  $\chi(s+t) = \chi(s)\chi(t)$  for every  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Taking the derivative with respect to  $s$  we get

$$\chi'(s+t) = \chi'(s)\chi(t)$$

for every  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}^d$  where  $\chi'$  is the derivative of  $\chi$ . In particular, we get

$$\chi'(t) = \chi'(0)\chi(t).$$

The unique solution of this ordinary differential equation is given by

$$t \mapsto \chi(t) = e^{\chi'(0)t}.$$

In particular,  $\chi$  is smooth. Also, since  $|\chi(t)| = 1$  we get that

$$1 = |\chi(1)| = |e^{\chi'(0)}| = e^{\operatorname{Re}(\chi'(0))}$$

and so  $\chi'(0)$  is purely imaginary. This shows that  $\chi$  has the desired form and smoothness and proves part b) for  $d = 1$ .

For  $d > 1$  we note that

$$\chi(t) = \chi(t_1 e_1 + \dots + t_d e_d) = \chi(t_1 e_1) \cdots \chi(t_d e_d)$$

By the claim for  $d = 1$  the right hand side is smooth and thus  $\chi$  is smooth. Also, there exists for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$  some  $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\chi(t_i e_i) = e^{2\pi i x_i t_i}$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}^d$ . Thus,

$$\chi(t) = e^{2\pi i x_1 t_1} \cdots e^{2\pi i x_d t_d} = e^{2\pi i x \cdot t}.$$

- c) Let  $\chi_t \in \widehat{\mathbb{R}^d}$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  compact be given. We need to find  $\delta > 0$  such that for any  $t' \in \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $\|s - t\| < \delta$  we have

$$\chi_s \in U_{K,\varepsilon}(\chi_t) = \{\chi \in \widehat{\mathbb{R}^d} : \|\chi - \chi_t\|_{K,\infty} < \varepsilon\}$$

For this, we use continuity of  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \mapsto e^{2\pi i\alpha}$  at zero and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Let  $\delta_1 > 0$  be such that  $|e^{2\pi i\alpha} - 1| < \varepsilon$  for all  $\alpha$  with  $|\alpha| < \delta_1$ . Furthermore, note that for any  $x \in K$

$$|x \cdot (t - s)| \leq \|x\| \|t - s\| \ll \|t - s\|$$

by compactness. We choose  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|x \cdot (t - s)| < \delta_1$  for all  $x \in K$  and  $s \in \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $\|s - t\| < \delta$ . Together, these two estimate show that for any  $s \in \mathbb{R}^d$  with  $\|s - t\| < \delta$

$$\begin{aligned} \|\chi_t - \chi_s\|_{K,\infty} &= \sup_{x \in K} |\chi_t(x) - \chi_s(x)| = \sup_{x \in K} |\chi_{t-s}(x) - 1| \\ &= \sup_{x \in K} |e^{2\pi i x \cdot (t-s)} - 1| \leq \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

as we needed to show.

4. a) Since the discrete spectrum is empty, we have that the kernel of  $T - \lambda I$  is trivial for any  $\lambda$  and so the approximate point spectrum is equal to the approximate spectrum. We will compute the former.

Suppose first that  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  has absolute value one. We need to find for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  some  $v \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$  with norm one and with  $\|(T - \lambda I)v\| < \varepsilon$ . Note that

$$(T - \lambda I)v = (-\lambda v_1, v_1 - \lambda v_2, \dots).$$

Let us consider for some  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  to be chosen later the vector  $v \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$  given by  $v_1 = \varepsilon$ ,  $v_2 = \frac{v_1}{\lambda}$ ,  $v_3 = \frac{v_2}{\lambda}$  and so forth up to  $v_N, v_{N+1}, \dots$  which we all set equal to zero. Then  $((T - \lambda I)v)_n = 0$  whenever  $n \neq 1, N$ ,  $((T - \lambda I)v)_1 = -\lambda\varepsilon$  and

$$((T - \lambda I)v)_N = v_{N-1} = \frac{v_{N-2}}{\lambda} = \dots = \lambda^{-(N-2)}v_1.$$

This shows that

$$\|(T - \lambda I)v\|^2 = |-\lambda v_1|^2 + |\lambda^{-(N-2)}v_1|^2 = 2|v_1|^2 = 2\varepsilon^2.$$

Furthermore,

$$\|v\|^2 = |v_1|^2 + \dots + |v_{N-1}|^2 = (N-1)\varepsilon^2.$$

Therefore, choosing  $N$  of order  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}$  gives the desired estimates. Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  was arbitrary we conclude.

Suppose that now that there is  $\lambda \in \sigma_{\text{approx}}(T) \setminus \mathbb{S}^1$ . We note that  $\sigma_{\text{approx}}(T) \subset \sigma(T) \subset \overline{B_1(0)}$  and so  $|\lambda| < 1$ . Note that for any sequence  $v^{(k)}$  of elements of  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$  of norm one we have

$$\|(T - \lambda I)v^{(k)}\| \geq \|Tv^{(k)}\| - \|\lambda v^{(k)}\| = (1 - |\lambda|)\|v^{(k)}\| = (1 - |\lambda|).$$

Thus,  $\|(T - \lambda I)v^{(k)}\| \not\rightarrow 0$  as  $1 - |\lambda| > 0$ .

- b)** The adjoint operator is uniquely determined by the property that  $\langle T^*v, w \rangle = \langle v, Tw \rangle$  for all  $v, w \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ . One quickly checks that this property is satisfied by the operator

$$T^* : x \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \mapsto (x_2, x_3, \dots).$$

The discrete spectrum of this operator has already been computed in FA I, Sheet 3 and is equal to the open unit ball in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

- c)** We claim first that  $\text{im}(T - \lambda I)^\perp = \ker(T^* - \bar{\lambda}I)$  for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . Indeed,  $T^* - \bar{\lambda}I = (T - \lambda I)^*$  and so this follows from FA I, Sheet 13, 10b).

Note also that  $\sigma_{\text{disc}}(T) = \emptyset$ . The claim implies that

$$\overline{\text{im}(T - \lambda I)} = \ker(T^* - \bar{\lambda}I)^\perp$$

and so for any  $\lambda \notin \sigma_{\text{disc}}(T)$  we have  $\lambda \in \sigma_{\text{resid}}(T)$  if and only if  $\ker(T^* - \bar{\lambda}I) \neq \{0\}$  if and only if  $\bar{\lambda} \in \sigma_{\text{disc}}(T^*)$ . We thus obtain the claim of part c).

- 5. a)** If  $G$  is compact, we know from Theorem 3.47 that there are at most countably characters on  $G$ . Thus,  $\widehat{G}$  is countable. We claim this implies that  $\widehat{G}$  is discrete. Indeed, suppose that  $\widehat{G}$  is not discrete and let  $m$  be the Haar measure on  $\widehat{G}$ . Then any point in  $\widehat{G}$  has  $m$ -measure zero (see Sheet 8). Since  $\widehat{G}$  is countable, this also yields that  $\widehat{G}$  has measure zero which is impossible as  $\widehat{G}$  is open and non-empty (there is the trivial character).
- b)** Assume that  $G$  is discrete and let  $m_G$  be the Haar measure on  $G$ . Then  $L^1_{m_G}(G) = L^1(G)$  is unital (Sheet 8) and so  $\widehat{G}$  is compact as  $\sigma(L^1(G))$  is compact by Theorem 11.23.
- c)** Suppose that  $G$  is not discrete. Then any point set  $\{g\}$  for  $g \in G$  is not open as otherwise any other point set would also be open (using translations). Let  $U \subset G$  be any open set containing the identity  $e \in G$ . Then there is  $g \in U \setminus \{e\}$ . Since characters separate points, there must be some  $\chi' \in \widehat{G}$  such that  $\chi'(g) \neq \chi'(e) = 1$ . By the geometry of the circle, we can take a power  $n$  of  $\lambda = \chi'(g)$  such that  $\lambda^n$  is in the closed left-half of the circle  $\mathbb{S}^1$ . So setting  $\chi = (\chi')^n$  we get that  $|\chi(g) - 1| \geq 1$ .

To conclude that  $\widehat{G}$  is non-compact, we consider the open sets  $U_n = B_{\frac{1}{n}}(G)$ . By the above we find a sequence  $g_n \in G$  and  $\chi_n \in \widehat{G}$  such that  $g_n \rightarrow e$  and  $|\chi_n(g_n) - 1| \geq 1$ . If  $\chi_{n_k} \rightarrow \chi$  along some subsequence  $(n_k)_k$ , then using the compact-open topology on  $\widehat{G}$  we get that  $\chi_{n_k}(g_{n_k}) \rightarrow \chi(e) = 1$  as  $g_{n_k} \rightarrow e$ . On the other hand, taking the limit in  $|\chi_{n_k}(g_{n_k}) - 1| \geq 1$  that  $|\chi(e) - 1| \geq 1$  which is impossible of course. Thus, the sequence  $\chi_n$  has no convergent subsequence and the space  $\widehat{G}$  cannot be compact (note that  $\widehat{G}$  is metrizable).

6. a) We consider the Hilbert space  $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$  and the operator

$$T : v \in \ell^2(\mathbb{N}) \mapsto T(v) \\ (T(v))_n = \frac{1}{n}v_n.$$

One checks that the discrete spectrum in this case is given by

$$\sigma_{\text{disc}}(T) = \left\{ \frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

which is in particular not closed.

- b) Let  $(\lambda_n)_n$  be a sequence in  $\sigma_{\text{appt}}(T)$  and suppose that  $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . We need to show that  $\lambda \in \sigma_{\text{appt}}(T)$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there is some  $\lambda_n$  with  $|\lambda_n - \lambda| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . Since  $\lambda_n \in \sigma_{\text{appt}}(T)$  we may choose a vector  $v \in \mathcal{H}$  of norm one such that  $\|(T - \lambda_n)v\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . We then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|(T - \lambda)v\| &= \|(T - \lambda_n)v + (\lambda_n - \lambda)v\| \leq \|(T - \lambda_n)v\| + \|(\lambda_n - \lambda)v\| \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + |\lambda_n - \lambda| < \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the claim.

- c) As in the hint, we consider the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H} = L^2([0, 1])^2$  (where we use the Lebesgue measure) and the operator  $T : (f, g) \in \mathcal{H} \mapsto (M_I f, f) \in \mathcal{H}$ . Here, the multiplication operator is as usual given by  $M_I f(x) = xf(x)$ . Let us begin by computing the discrete spectrum and the eigenspaces attached to any eigenvalue.

If  $\lambda \in \sigma_{\text{disc}}(T)$  then there is a non-zero vector  $(f, g) \in \mathcal{H}$  with

$$(T - \lambda)(f, g) = (M_I f - \lambda f, f - \lambda g) = 0.$$

Then  $M_I f(x) = xf(x) = \lambda f(x)$  almost everywhere and so  $f = 0$ . On the other hand, this shows that  $\lambda g = 0$ . So  $\lambda = 0$  as  $(f, g) \neq 0$ . Since  $T(0, g) = 0$  for any  $g$  we have just proven that  $\sigma_{\text{disc}}(T) = \{0\}$  and  $\ker(T) = \{0\} \times L^2([0, 1])$ .

We claim that  $\sigma_{\text{appt}}(T) = [0, 1]$  and that  $0 \notin \sigma_{\text{approx}}(T)$ . Together with the equality  $\sigma_{\text{appt}}(T) = \sigma_{\text{approx}}(T) \cup \sigma_{\text{disc}}(T)$  these two claims show that  $\sigma_{\text{approx}}(T) = (0, 1]$ , which is in particular not closed.

For the first claim, notice that  $\ker(T)^\perp = L^2([0, 1]) \times \{0\}$ . Assume that  $\varepsilon > 0$  is small and that  $(f, 0) \in \mathcal{H}$  is a vector of norm one with the property that  $\|T(f, 0)\| < \varepsilon$ . Then

$$\|T(f, 0)\|^2 = \|M_I f\|_{L^2}^2 + \|f\|_{L^2}^2 < \varepsilon^2$$

and so in particular  $\|(f, 0)\| = \|f\|_{L^2} < \varepsilon$  which is a contradiction.

It remains to prove that  $\sigma_{\text{appt}}(T) = [0, 1]$ . Let  $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ . We consider the interval  $U = [\lambda - \varepsilon, \lambda + \varepsilon] \cap [0, 1]$  and the functions  $f = \mathbb{1}_U$ ,  $g = \frac{1}{\lambda} f$ . Then

$$\|(f, g)\|^2 = \|f\|_{L^2}^2 + \|g\|_{L^2}^2 = (1 + \frac{1}{\lambda^2})|U|$$

where  $|U|$  denotes the length of the interval. Note that  $|U| \in [\varepsilon, 2\varepsilon]$  so that the norm of  $(f, g)$  is comparable to  $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ . By definition, we have  $T(f, g) = (M_I f - \lambda f, 0)$ . Note that as  $f$  is supported on  $U$

$$|(M_I f - \lambda f)(x)| = |x - \lambda|f(x)| \leq \varepsilon|f(x)|$$

and so

$$\|(T - \lambda)(f, g)\|^2 = \|M_I f - f\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \varepsilon^2 \|f\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Taking the square-root and dividing by the norm of  $(f, g)$  we get that  $v = \frac{1}{\|(f, g)\|}(f, g)$  satisfies

$$\|(T - \lambda)v\| \leq \varepsilon \frac{\|f\|_{L^2}}{\|(f, g)\|} = \varepsilon(1 + \frac{1}{\lambda^2}).$$

Since  $\lambda$  is fixed, the right hand side can be made arbitrarily small and so  $\lambda \in \sigma_{\text{appt}}(T)$ .

For the converse we assume that  $\lambda \notin [0, 1]$  and show that  $\lambda \notin \sigma_{\text{appt}}(T)$ . We let  $\delta > 0$  such that  $|\lambda - x| > \delta$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ . For  $(f, g) \in \mathcal{H}$  a unit vector this implies

$$\|M_I f - \lambda f\| \geq \delta \|f\|_{L^2}.$$

If we now assume that there is a sequence  $(f_n, g_n) \in \mathcal{H}$  of unit vectors with  $\|(T - \lambda)(f_n, g_n)\| \rightarrow 0$  then the above lower bound proves that  $f_n \rightarrow 0$ . On the other hand, we know that the second component of  $(T - \lambda)(f_n, g_n)$  is equal to  $f_n - \lambda g_n$  and since  $\lambda$  is non-zero, we must also have  $g_n \rightarrow 0$ . This however is impossible.