

Question 1:

Consider the cycle a given by the inclusion $\partial\Delta^2 \rightarrow \Delta^2$, and let b be its barycentric subdivision. Show explicitly that a and b differ by a relative boundary, i.e. write an element $c \in \Delta_2(\Delta^2)$ such that $\partial c - (a - b) \in \Delta_2(\partial\Delta^2)$. You can present a solution by pictures.

Solution:

Due to a typo, the exercise was much easier than planned. The intended statement is that a is the identity $\Delta^2 \rightarrow \Delta^2$ (and $c \in \Delta_3(\Delta^2)$). As it is written, we already have $(a - b) \in \Delta_1(\partial\Delta^2)$. However, the exercise is still interesting if we want c such that $\partial c - (a - b) = 0$, and not just in $\Delta_1(\partial\Delta^2)$.

The solution to the intended exercise and of the simpler version are attached in the other file.

Question 2:

Let X be a topological space and Y be a subspace of X . Let $i: Y \rightarrow X$ be the inclusion. Let C be space obtained from X by "attaching a cone on Y ". More precisely, is the topological space

$$C = (Y \times [0, 1]) \sqcup X / \sim$$

where \sim is defined as $(y, 0) \sim i(y)$ and $(y, 1) \sim (y', 1)$ for all $y, y' \in Y$. Compute $H_*(C)$.

Solution:

To solve this exercise, we will use excision. Let Z be the image of $Y \times [0, 1]$ in C and let p be the point corresponding to $Y \times \{1\}$. It is standard point set topology to show that (C, Z) is homotopic equivalent to $(C, \{p\})$. Similarly, $(C - \{p\}, Z - \{p\})$ is homotopic equivalent to (X, Y) . Using excision on a

neighbourhood of p contained in the interior of Z , we obtain that $(C, \{p\})$ has the same homology of (X, Y) . Thus $\tilde{H}_*(C) = H_*(X, Y)$.

Concluding the exercise here is ok. To compute $H_*(C)$, we only need to compute $H_0(C)$. This is much harder to do explicitly. We have a long exact sequence:

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_0(X) \rightarrow H_0(C) \rightarrow H_0(C, X) \rightarrow 0.$$

Using excision and homotopy equivalence again, we obtain that $H_0(C, X) \cong H_0(Z, Y)$, which will allow, once we have explicit values, to compute $H_0(C)$.

Question 3:

Show that homotopy equivalence of chain complexes is an equivalence relation.

Solution:

Recall that A_\bullet is chain homotopic to B_\bullet if there are chain maps $f: A_\bullet \rightarrow B_\bullet$ and $g: B_\bullet \rightarrow A_\bullet$ and a collection of maps $h_n: A_n \rightarrow A_{n+1}$, $k_n: B_n \rightarrow B_{n+1}$ such that

$$\text{Id}_B - f \circ g = \partial k + k \partial$$

and

$$\text{Id}_A - g \circ f = \partial h + h \partial.$$

It is clear that being chain homotopic is a symmetric relation. To see that it is reflexive, take $f = g = \text{Id}_A$ and $h = k = 0$.

We will now show that it is transitive.

Let f, g, h, k be the chain maps and maps associated to a chain homotopy equivalence between A_\bullet and B_\bullet and f', g', h', k' be the ones associated to a chain homotopy equivalence between B_\bullet and C_\bullet .

Let

- $F: A_\bullet \rightarrow C_\bullet$ be defined as $f' \circ f$,
- $G: C_\bullet \rightarrow A_\bullet$ be defined as $g \circ g'$,
- and $H: A_\bullet \rightarrow A_{\bullet+1}$ be defined as $h + g \circ h' \circ f$.

We claim that

$$\text{Id}_A - G \circ F = \partial H + H \partial.$$

Recall that g, f, g', f' are chain maps, hence it hold $\partial g = g\partial$. This is not true for h, k, h', k' . Let $a \in A_\bullet$. Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
a - G \circ F(a) &= a - g \circ g' \circ f' \circ f(a) = \\
&= a - g [g' \circ f'(f(a))] = \\
&= a - g [f(a) - \partial h'(f(a)) - h' \partial(f(a))] = \\
&= a - g \circ f(a) + \partial g \circ h' \circ f(a) + g \circ h' \circ f \partial(a) = \\
&= a - (a - \partial h(a) - h \partial(a)) + \partial g \circ h' \circ f(a) + g \circ h' \circ f \partial(a) = \\
&= \partial(h + g \circ h' \circ f)(a) + (h + g \circ h' \circ f)(\partial a) = \\
&= \partial H(a) + H \partial(a)
\end{aligned}$$

The argument for the other direction is completely analogous.