

# Introduction to Algebraic Number Theory

Lecturer: Prof. Dr. Özlem Imamoglu  
 Coordinator: Dr. Danylo Radchenko

## Solutions to Exercise Sheet 2

**Exercise 2.1.** Let  $A = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\sqrt{-3}$  and  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ . Show that the integral closure of  $A$  in  $K$  is  $\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}(\frac{1+\sqrt{-3}}{2})$ .

**Solution.** Since  $A$  is clearly integral over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , by transitivity we get that  $A^K$  is also integral over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and hence equal to  $\mathbb{Z}^K$ . On the other hand, if  $b \neq 0$ , then the minimal polynomial of  $a + b\sqrt{-3} \in K$  is  $x^2 - 2ax + (a^2 + 3b^2)$ . Thus  $a + b\sqrt{-3} \in \mathbb{Z}^K$  iff  $2a, a^2 + 3b^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ . From  $2a \in \mathbb{Z}$  we get that  $a$  is half-integral, and from  $a^2 + 3b^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  we get that if  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and if  $a \in 1/2 + \mathbb{Z}$ , then  $b \in 1/2 + \mathbb{Z}$ . Therefore,  $A^K = \mathbb{Z}^K = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}(\frac{1+\sqrt{-3}}{2})$ .

**Exercise 2.2.** Show that the following numbers are algebraic integers:

(a)  $\alpha = \frac{\sqrt{3} + \sqrt{7}}{2}$ ;

(b)  $\beta = \frac{\alpha^2 - \alpha}{2}$ , where  $\alpha$  is a root of  $x^3 + x - 6$ . (To find a polynomial equation that  $\beta$  satisfies, write down the matrix of multiplication by  $\beta$  in  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ .)

**Solution.** (a) We calculate  $4\alpha^2 = 3 + 2\sqrt{21} + 7 = 10 + 2\sqrt{21}$ , and thus  $(4\alpha^2 - 10)^2 = 84$ . Expanding the last expression we get  $16\alpha^4 - 80\alpha^2 + 16 = 0$ , from which after dividing by 16 we get  $\alpha^4 - 5\alpha^2 + 1 = 0$ . Therefore,  $\alpha$  is an algebraic integer.

(b) In the basis  $1, \alpha, \alpha^2$  the operator  $M_\beta$  of multiplication by  $\beta$  looks as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta \cdot 1 &= 0 \cdot 1 - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \alpha + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \alpha^2, \\ \beta \cdot \alpha &= 3 \cdot 1 - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \alpha - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \alpha^2, \\ \beta \cdot \alpha^2 &= -3 \cdot 1 + \frac{7}{2} \cdot \alpha - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \alpha^2. \end{aligned}$$

From this we find that the characteristic polynomial of  $M_\beta$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \det \begin{pmatrix} x & -3 & 3 \\ \frac{1}{2} & x + \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{7}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & x + \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} &= x(x + \frac{1}{2})^2 + \frac{3}{4} - \frac{21}{4} + \frac{3}{2}(x + \frac{1}{2}) + \frac{3}{2}(x + \frac{1}{2}) + \frac{7}{4}(x) \\ &= x^3 + x^2 + \frac{1}{4}x - \frac{9}{2} + 3(x + \frac{1}{2}) + \frac{7}{4}x = x^3 + x^2 + 5x - 3. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by using Cayley-Hamilton theorem, we see that  $M_\beta$ , and hence  $\beta$  as well, satisfies  $\beta^3 + \beta^2 + 5\beta - 3 = 0$ , and thus it is an algebraic integer.

**Exercise 2.3.** Let  $p$  be a prime, and let  $\zeta_p$  be a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity. Show that the integral closure of  $\mathbb{Z}$  in  $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$  is  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$  as follows. Let  $\alpha = a_0 + a_1\zeta_p + \dots + a_{p-2}\zeta_p^{p-2}$ .

(a) Using the Galois action show that if  $\alpha$  is an algebraic integer, then  $pa_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ;

(b) Show that  $\frac{p}{(1-\zeta_p)^l}$  is an algebraic integer for  $0 \leq l \leq (p-1)$ ;

(c) Show that  $\alpha$  can be written as

$$\alpha = \frac{m_0 + m_1(1 - \zeta_p) + \cdots + m_{p-2}(1 - \zeta_p)^{p-2}}{p},$$

where  $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and, assuming that some  $m_j$  is not divisible by  $p$ , obtain a contradiction using part (b).

**Solution.** (a) The conjugates of  $\alpha$  are given by  $\alpha_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, p-1$ , where

$$\alpha_j = a_0 + a_1\zeta_p^j + \cdots + a_{p-2}\zeta_p^{j(p-2)}$$

(some of  $\alpha_j$  might coincide, but it does not matter). If  $\alpha$  is integral over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , then so are all of its conjugates, and hence  $\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_{p-1} = pa_0 - s \in \mathbb{Z}$ , where  $s = a_0 + \cdots + a_{p-2}$ . Here we have used the fact that  $\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \zeta_p^{jk} = -1$  for  $k$  not divisible by  $p$ . Repeating this argument for  $\alpha\zeta_p^j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, p-1$ , which are also algebraic integers, we get that  $pa_{p-j} - s \in \mathbb{Z}$  (here  $a_{p-1} = 0$ ). From this we conclude that if  $\alpha$  is integral over  $\mathbb{Z}$ , then  $pa_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, p-2$ .

(b) It is enough to show that  $\frac{p}{(1-\zeta_p)^l} \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ . Observe that

$$(1 - \zeta_p)(1 - \zeta_p^2) \cdots (1 - \zeta_p^{p-1}) = p, \quad (\star)$$

since  $(x - \zeta_p)(x - \zeta_p^2) \cdots (x - \zeta_p^{p-1}) = \frac{x^p - 1}{x - 1} = x^{p-1} + \cdots + x + 1$ . Therefore,

$$\frac{p}{(1 - \zeta_p)^l} = \prod_{j=1}^l \frac{1 - \zeta_p^j}{1 - \zeta_p} \prod_{j=l+1}^{p-1} (1 - \zeta_p^j) = \prod_{j=1}^l (1 + \cdots + \zeta_p^{j-1}) \prod_{j=l+1}^{p-1} (1 - \zeta_p^j) \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p],$$

as claimed.

(c) By part (a)  $pa_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , so that  $\alpha = \frac{n_0 + n_1\zeta_p + \cdots + n_{p-2}\zeta_p^{p-2}}{p}$  for some integers  $n_i$ . Using  $\zeta_p = 1 - (1 - \zeta_p)$  and expanding the resulting expression using binomial theorem we get

$$\alpha = \frac{m_0 + m_1(1 - \zeta_p) + \cdots + m_{p-2}(1 - \zeta_p)^{p-2}}{p}$$

for some  $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Suppose that not all  $m_i$  are divisible by  $p$ . Since changing any  $m_i$  by a multiple of  $p$  does not matter for the integrality of  $\alpha$ , we may assume that

$$\alpha = \frac{m_k(1 - \zeta_p)^k + \cdots + m_{p-2}(1 - \zeta_p)^{p-2}}{p},$$

where  $0 \leq k \leq (p-2)$  and  $m_k$  is not divisible by  $p$ . From this we see that

$$\frac{m_k}{(1 - \zeta_p)} = \frac{p}{(1 - \zeta_p)^{k+1}} \alpha - m_{k+1} - \cdots - m_{p-2}(1 - \zeta_p)^{p-2-k-1}.$$

By part (b) the right-hand side is an algebraic integer, therefore  $\frac{m_k}{1-\zeta_p}$  is also an algebraic integer. Taking the product over all conjugates (using  $(\star)$ ) we get that  $\frac{m_k^{p-1}}{p}$  is an algebraic integer, hence a usual integer, which contradicts the choice of  $m_k$ . Therefore, all  $m_j$  have to be divisible by  $p$ , and hence  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}[\zeta_p]$ .

**Exercise 2.4 (Gauss sums).** Let  $p$  be an odd prime, and let  $\zeta_p$  be a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity. For  $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$  define

$$\tau(a) = \sum_{x \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times} \left(\frac{x}{p}\right) \zeta_p^{ax},$$

where  $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right)$  is the Legendre symbol.

- (a) Show that  $\tau(a) = \left(\frac{a}{p}\right)\tau(1)$ ;
- (b) Show that  $\tau(1)^2 = (-1)^{\frac{p-1}{2}}p$ ;
- (c) Let  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  be a quadratic extension. Prove that there exists a root of unity  $\zeta$  such that  $K \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ .

*Hint: in (c) note that  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}) \subset \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}, \sqrt{5}, \dots)$ , and use the result of part (b).*

**Solution.** (a) Using multiplicativity of  $\left(\frac{x}{p}\right)$  we calculate

$$\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \tau(a) = \sum_{x \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times} \left(\frac{ax}{p}\right) \zeta_p^{ax} = \sum_{y \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times} \left(\frac{y}{p}\right) \zeta_p^y = \tau(1),$$

since  $y = ax$  runs over the same set  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times$ . Since  $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = \pm 1$  we get the claim.

(b) By part (a) we have  $\tau(-1) = \left(\frac{-1}{p}\right)\tau(1) = (-1)^{(p-1)/2}\tau(1)$ . Therefore, it is enough to show that  $\tau(1)\tau(-1) = p$ . For this we calculate

$$\tau(1)\tau(-1) = \sum_{x, y \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times} \left(\frac{xy}{p}\right) \zeta_p^{x-y} = \sum_{x, z \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times} \left(\frac{x^2z}{p}\right) \zeta_p^{x(1-z)},$$

where in the second equality we have changed the summation variable to  $z = y/x$ . From the properties of Legendre symbol we have  $\left(\frac{x^2z}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{z}{p}\right)$ . Using the fact that  $\sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \zeta_p^{jk} = p\delta_{k,0} - 1$  for  $k = 0, \dots, p-1$ , we rewrite the last sum as

$$\sum_{x, z \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times} \left(\frac{z}{p}\right) \zeta_p^{x(1-z)} = p - \sum_{z \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times} \left(\frac{z}{p}\right) = p,$$

proving the claim. Here  $\sum_{z \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times} \left(\frac{z}{p}\right)$  vanishes since if  $n$  is any non-residue,  $\left(\frac{n}{p}\right) = -1$ , then

$$\sum_{z \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times} \left(\frac{z}{p}\right) = \sum_{z \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times} \left(\frac{zn}{p}\right) = - \sum_{z \in (\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times} \left(\frac{z}{p}\right).$$

(c) Let  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ ,  $d = \pm 2^e p_1 \dots p_k$ , where  $e \in \{0, 1\}$ , and  $p_i$  are distinct odd primes. Then clearly

$$K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{2}, \sqrt{p_1}, \dots, \sqrt{p_k}).$$

We have  $\sqrt{-1} = \zeta_4$ , and  $\sqrt{2}$  can be expressed in terms of  $\zeta_8$ , since

$$(\zeta_8 + \zeta_8^{-1})^2 = \zeta_4 + 2 + \zeta_4^{-1} = 2.$$

By part (b), for an odd prime  $p$  we have  $\pm p = (\tau(1))^2$ . Therefore either  $\sqrt{p} = \pm\tau(1) \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_p)$  or  $\sqrt{p} = \pm\sqrt{-1}\tau(1) \in \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_4, \zeta_p)$ . Thus we conclude

$$K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_8, \zeta_{p_1}, \dots, \zeta_{p_k}) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_m),$$

where  $m = 8p_1 \dots p_k$ .