

D-MATH  
 HS 2019  
 Prof. E. Kowalski

## Solutions 5

Commutative Algebra

- ① a. For all  $b \in B$  the map

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_b : M \times N &\longrightarrow M \otimes_A N \\ (m, n) &\longmapsto bm \otimes n\end{aligned}$$

is  $A$ -bilinear, so there is a unique  $A$ -linear map

$$\varphi_b : M \otimes_A N \longrightarrow M \otimes_A N$$

sending  $m \otimes n$  to  $bm \otimes n$  for all  $b \in B$ ,  $m \in M$ ,  $n \in N$ . It's easy to check that  $\varphi_b$  is also  $B$ -linear, so the ring morphism

$$\begin{aligned}B &\longrightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(M \otimes_A N) \\ b &\longmapsto \varphi_b\end{aligned}$$

defines the unique  $B$ -module structure with the desired property.

- b. By the universal property, there is a unique  $A$ -linear map

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi : M \times N &\longrightarrow M \otimes_B (B \otimes_A N) \\ m \otimes n &\longmapsto m \otimes (1 \otimes n).\end{aligned}$$

For all  $b \in B$ ,  $m \in M$ ,  $n \in N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(b(m \otimes n)) &= \varphi(bm \otimes n) \\ &= bm \otimes (1 \otimes n) \\ &= b(m \otimes (1 \otimes n)) = b\varphi(m \otimes n),\end{aligned}$$

so  $\varphi$  is  $B$ -linear. The inverse is the  $B$ -linear map given by the  $B$ -bilinearity of

$$\begin{aligned}M \times (B \otimes_A N) &\longrightarrow M \otimes_A N \\ (m, b \otimes n) &\longmapsto bm \otimes n.\end{aligned}$$

- ② Fix an  $A$ -isomorphism  $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$ . Pick a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  of  $A \neq 0$ . Then  $k := A/\mathfrak{m}$  is an  $A$ -module, and the map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{id}_k \otimes \varphi : k \otimes_A M &\longrightarrow k \otimes_A N \\ \lambda \otimes m &\longmapsto \lambda \otimes \varphi(m) \end{aligned}$$

is  $k$ -linear. If  $(e_i)_{i=1, \dots, m}$  is a basis of  $M$  over  $A$ , then  $([e_i])_i$  is a basis of  $M/\mathfrak{m}M \sim k \otimes_A M$  over  $k$ , thus  $\dim_k M/\mathfrak{m}M = m$  and analogously  $\dim_k N/\mathfrak{m}N = n$ .

To conclude, note that the inverse of  $\text{id}_k \otimes \varphi$  is  $\text{id}_k \otimes \varphi^{-1}$ , so  $\text{id}_k \otimes \varphi$  is an isomorphism of  $k$ -vector spaces, which implies  $m = n$ .

- ③ a. Denote by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1 : K &\longrightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(E \otimes_A F) \\ \lambda &\longmapsto (e \otimes f \xrightarrow{\varphi^\lambda} \lambda e \otimes f) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_2 : K &\longrightarrow \text{End}_{\mathbb{Z}}(E \otimes_A F) \\ \lambda &\longmapsto (e \otimes f \xrightarrow{\varphi^\lambda} e \otimes \lambda f) \end{aligned}$$

the two  $K$ -vector space structures on  $E \otimes_A F$ .

Pick basis  $(e_i)_i$  of  $E$  and  $(f_j)_j$  of  $F$ . Write an element  $e \otimes f \in (E \otimes_A F)^{\varphi_1}$  as

$$e \otimes f = \sum \frac{a_{ij}}{b_{ij}} e_i \otimes f_j,$$

with  $a_{ij}, b_{ij} \in A$ ,  $b_{ij} \neq 0$ . Define

$$\alpha : E \otimes_A F \longrightarrow E \otimes_A F$$

by

$$\alpha(e \otimes f) = \sum e_i \otimes \frac{a_{ij}}{b_{ij}} f_j.$$

Then clearly  $\alpha$  is an isomorphism of  $k$ -vector spaces, and for all  $\lambda \in K$ , the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E \otimes_A F & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & E \otimes_A F \\ \varphi_\lambda \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi^\lambda \\ E \otimes_A F & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & E \otimes_A F \end{array}$$

i.e.  $\varphi^\lambda \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ \varphi_\lambda$  for all  $\lambda \in K$ , which means that  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  define the same  $k$ -vector space structure.

b. Consider the map

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi : E \times F &\longrightarrow E \otimes_A F \\ (e, f) &\longmapsto e \otimes f\end{aligned}$$

and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E \times F & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & (E \otimes_A F)^{\varphi_1} \\ & \searrow \varphi & \uparrow \alpha \\ & & (E \otimes_A F)^{\varphi_2} \end{array}$$

$\alpha^{-1}$

Observe that  $\varphi$  to  $(E \otimes_A F)^{\varphi_1}$  is linear of the first component, but  $\alpha \circ \varphi$  is linear on the second component, and vice versa. Since in  $E \otimes_K F$ ,  $\lambda(e \otimes f) = \lambda e \otimes f = e \otimes \lambda f$  for all  $\lambda \in K$ ,  $e \in E$ ,  $f \in F$ , there is an induced  $K$ -linear map

$$E \otimes_K F \longrightarrow E \otimes_A F.$$

The inverse is the induced  $A$ -linear map by

$$\begin{aligned}E \times F &\longrightarrow E \otimes_K F \\ (e, f) &\longmapsto e \otimes f,\end{aligned}$$

which turns out to be  $K$ -linear, too.

④ Define

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi : \text{Hom}_A(M \otimes_A N, L) &\longrightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M, \text{Hom}_A(N, L)) \\ \phi &\longmapsto (m \mapsto (n \mapsto \phi(m \otimes n)))\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi : \text{Hom}_A(M, \text{Hom}_A(N, L)) &\longrightarrow \text{Hom}_A(M \otimes_A N, L) \\ \psi &\longmapsto (m \otimes n \mapsto \psi(m)(n)).\end{aligned}$$

Then  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  are morphisms of  $A$ -modules, mutually inverse:

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi \circ \Phi(\phi) &= (m \otimes n \mapsto \Phi(\phi)(m)(n)) = (m \otimes n \mapsto \phi(m \otimes n)) = \phi \\ \Phi \circ \Psi(\psi) &= (m \mapsto (n \mapsto \Psi(\psi)(m \otimes n))) = (m \mapsto (n \mapsto \psi(m)(n))) = \psi.\end{aligned}$$

⑤ a. Let  $(e_i)_{i \in I}$  be a basis of  $F$ , free  $A$ -module. Then by the universal property of free modules, a choice of a set  $\{m_i : i \in I\}$  of  $M$  defines a unique  $A$ -linear morphism  $h \in \text{Hom}_A(F, M)$  by  $h(e_i) = m_i$  for all  $i \in I$ . Hence choose  $m_i \in M$  so that  $f(m_i) = g(e_i)$ . Then

$$f(h(e_i)) = f(m_i) = g(e_i) \quad \forall i \in I,$$

which implies that  $f \circ h = g$ .

- b. Pick a system of generators  $(m_i)_{i \in I}$  of  $M$  (at worst take all the elements of  $M$ ). Let  $X$  be the free  $A$ -module  $A^I$  with basis  $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon : X &\longrightarrow M \\ e_i &\longmapsto m_i. \end{aligned}$$

- c. Note that  $\mathbb{Q}$  as  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module is generated by  $\{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}\}$ ;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \mathbb{Q} \\ & & \downarrow \text{id}_{\mathbb{Q}} \\ \oplus_{n>0} \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbb{Q} \end{array}$$

Using the universal property, let

$$\begin{aligned} f : M &\longrightarrow \mathbb{Q} \\ e_n &\longmapsto \frac{1}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

If there's a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear map  $h : \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow M$ , then for all  $\frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q}$  and for all  $n > 0$ ,

$$h\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) = nh\left(\frac{a}{nb}\right).$$

But  $h\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)$  is a "vector" of integers, so the only possibility is  $h\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) = 0$  for all  $\frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q}$ , so there is not a map  $h$  so that the above diagram commutes.