

**Exercise 6.1** Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  and  $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$  be Banach spaces and let

$$A: D_A \subset X \rightarrow Y, \quad B: D_B \subset X \rightarrow Y$$

be linear operators with  $D_A \subset D_B$ . Suppose that there exist  $a \in (0, 1)$  and  $b \geq 0$  such that

$$\|Bx\|_Y \leq a\|Ax\|_Y + b\|x\|_X \quad \text{for every } x \in D_A.$$

Show that if  $A$  has closed graph, then  $(A + B): D_A \rightarrow Y$  has closed graph.

**Solution.** Let  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence in  $D_A$  so that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  in  $X$  and  $(A + B)x_n \rightarrow y$  in  $Y$ . We are going to prove that  $(A + B)x = y$ .

*Claim:*  $(A(x_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is convergent in  $Y$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to prove that it is a Cauchy sequence. By triangle inequality and the assumption,

$$\begin{aligned} \|A(x_n - x_m)\|_Y &\leq \|(A + B)(x_n - x_m)\|_Y + \|B(x_n - x_m)\|_Y \\ &\leq \|(A + B)(x_n - x_m)\|_Y + a\|A(x_n - x_m)\|_Y + b\|x_n - x_m\|_X. \end{aligned}$$

thus

$$\|A(x_n - x_m)\|_Y \leq \frac{1}{1 - a} (\|(A + B)(x_n - x_m)\|_Y + b\|x_n - x_m\|_X).$$

Since  $a, b$  are fixed and  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $((A + B)(x_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  are convergent (and thus Cauchy), this inequality implies that claim.  $\square$

Since the graph of  $A$  is closed by assumption, we have  $x_n \rightarrow x$  in  $D_A$  and  $Ax_n \rightarrow Ax$ . Consequently, writing  $Bx_n = (A + B)x_n - Ax_n$ , we deduce that also  $(B(x_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is convergent and

$$\|B(x - x_n)\|_Y \leq a\|A(x - x_n)\|_Y + b\|x - x_n\|_X \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

which implies  $Bx_n \rightarrow Bx$  in  $Y$ . Thus

$$y = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (A + B)x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Bx_n = Ax + Bx = (A + B)x.$$

$\square$

**Exercise 6.2** Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  and  $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$  be Banach spaces. Let  $A: D_A \subset X \rightarrow Y$  be a closable linear operator. Assume that its closure  $\overline{A}$  is injective. Show that then the inverse operator  $A^{-1}$  is closable and  $\overline{A^{-1}} = (\overline{A})^{-1}$ .

**Solution.** Since the closure  $\overline{A}$  is assumed to be injective,  $A$  is injective and therefore has an inverse  $A^{-1}: A(X) \rightarrow D_A$  defined over the image of  $A$ . The graph  $\Gamma_{A^{-1}} \subseteq Y \times X$  of  $A^{-1}$  is given by

$$\Gamma_{A^{-1}} = \{(y, x) : y \in A(X), x = A^{-1}y\} = \{(Ax, x) : x \in D_A\}.$$

Now if a sequence  $(y_n, x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \Gamma_{A^{-1}}$  is so that  $(y_n, x_n) \rightarrow (0, x)$ , then  $Ax_n = y_n \rightarrow 0$ , hence  $\overline{Ax} = 0$ . Since  $\overline{A}$  is injective,  $x = 0$  and so (Satz 3.4.1)  $A^{-1}$  is closable.

Similarly, for a sequence  $(y_n, x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \Gamma_{A^{-1}}$ , there holds  $(y_n, x_n) \rightarrow (y, x)$  if and only if  $(x_n, Ax_n) \rightarrow (x, y)$ , which is the same as saying that  $\overline{\Gamma_{A^{-1}}} = \Gamma_{\overline{A}^{-1}}$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 6.3** Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  be a Banach space and  $U \subset X$  a closed subspace. Recall the notion of topologically complemented space introduced in Exercise 4.3. Prove that:

- (i) If  $\dim(U) < \infty$ , then  $U$  is topologically complemented.
- (ii) If  $\dim(X/U) < \infty$ , then  $U$  is topologically complemented.

**Solution.** (i) It is sufficient to construct a projection map  $P$  as in Exercise 4.3. Let  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  be a basis of the given finite-dimensional subspace  $U \subset X$  let  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in L(U, \mathbb{R})$  be the associated dual basis, uniquely defined by the conditions

$$f_i(e_j) = \delta_{ij} := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

From the Hahn-Banach Theorem it follows that there exist extensions  $F_i \in L(X; \mathbb{R})$  with  $\|F_i\| = \|f_i\|$ . We define

$$P: X \rightarrow X, \quad P(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n F_i(x) e_i.$$

Then  $P$  is linear and continuous, since

$$\|Px\|_X \leq \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \|F_i\| \|e_i\|_X \right) \|x\|_X.$$

By construction,  $P(X) \subset \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_n\} = U$ . By definition of  $f_i$  and  $F_i$  we have  $P(e_i) = e_i$  for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Therefore,  $P(X) = U$ . Finally, for every  $x \in X$ ,

$$(P \circ P)(x) = P\left(\sum_{i=1}^n F_i(x) e_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n F_i(x) P(e_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n F_i(x) e_i = P(x).$$

It follows from Exercise 4.3 that  $U$  is topologically complemented.

- (ii) Denote by  $\pi: X \rightarrow X/U$ ,  $\pi(x) = [x]$  the canonical quotient map. Since  $\dim(X/U) = m < \infty$  we can choose a basis  $[e_1], \dots, [e_m]$  for  $X/U$  and let as above  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in L(X/U, \mathbb{R})$  be the associated dual basis. Set  $F_i := f_i \circ \pi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and define

$$P: X \rightarrow X, \quad P(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m F_i(x) e_i.$$

Since  $F_i(e_j) = f_i(\pi(e_j)) = f_i([e_j]) = \delta_{ij}$  we have  $P \circ P = P$  as above. Since  $[e_1], \dots, [e_m]$  is a basis for  $X/U$ , the representatives  $e_1, \dots, e_m$  must be linearly independent in  $X$ . Therefore,  $P(x) = 0$  implies  $F_i(x) = f_i([x]) = 0$  for every  $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  which in turn implies  $[x] = [0]$  or  $x \in U$ . Conversely,  $x \in U$  implies  $\pi(x) = [0]$  and  $P(x) = 0$ . Thus we have shown  $\ker(P) = U$ . As in Exercise 4.3, we conclude that  $(1 - P)$  is a continuous projection onto  $U$  which implies that  $U$  is topologically complemented.  $\square$

**Exercise 6.4** Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  be a normed space and let  $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be linear and not identically zero.

- (i) Show that  $f$  is *not* continuous if and only if  $\ker(f)$  is dense in  $X$ .  
(ii) Find an explicit example for  $X$  and  $f$  as in (i).

**Solution.** (i) *Necessity.* Suppose that  $f$  is not continuous. Then there exists a sequence  $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $X$ , which can be normed to  $\|x_k\|_X = 1$  by linearity of  $f$ , such that  $|f(x_k)| \rightarrow \infty$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume  $f(x_k) \neq 0$  for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let now  $z$  be any element in  $X$ . For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  we define

$$y_k := z - \frac{f(z)}{f(x_k)} x_k, \quad \text{so} \quad f(y_k) = f(z) - \frac{f(z)}{f(x_k)} f(x_k) = 0$$

and so  $y_k \in \ker(f)$ . We then see that

$$\|z - y_k\|_X = \left| \frac{f(z)}{f(x_k)} \right| \|x_k\|_X = \frac{|f(z)|}{|f(x_k)|} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

so  $(y_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $z$  and consequently  $\ker(f)$  is dense in  $X$ .

*Sufficiency.* If  $f$  were continuous, then  $\ker(f) = f^{-1}(\{0\})$  would be closed, and thus  $\ker(f) = X$ . But then  $f$  had to be identically 0, contradicting the hypothesis.

- (ii) An example is given by  $X = C^0([0, 1], \mathbb{R})$  with the  $L^1$ -norm  $\|\cdot\|_{L^1((0,1))}$  and  $f = \delta_0$  the evaluation at 0:

$$f(\varphi) = \varphi(0), \quad \text{for every } \varphi \in C^0([0, 1], \mathbb{R}).$$

Then  $\ker f$  contains the space of all compactly supported functions  $C_c^\infty((0, 1), \mathbb{R})$ , which is well-known to be dense in the  $L^1$ -topology.  $\square$

**Exercise 6.5** Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  be a normed space and let  $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous linear functional. Assume  $N := \ker(\varphi) \subsetneq X$  and let  $x_0 \in X \setminus N$ . Prove that the following are equivalent.

- (i) There exists  $y_0 \in N$  with  $\|x_0 - y_0\|_X = \text{dist}(x_0, N)$ .  
(ii) There exists  $x_1 \in X$  with  $\|x_1\|_X = 1$  and  $\|\varphi\| = |\varphi(x_1)|$ .

**Solution.** Recall first that every element  $x \in X$  is of the form  $x = tx_0 + y$  with uniquely determined  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $y \in N$ .

“(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii)”. Call  $d = \text{dist}(x_0, N)$  and set  $x_1 = \frac{1}{d}(x_0 - y_0)$ . Then  $\|x_1\|_X = 1$ . Let  $x \in X$  and let  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $y \in N$  be as above. If  $t = 0$ , then  $\varphi(x) = 0$  is not interesting. Therefore, we assume  $t \neq 0$  and observe

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(x)| &= |\varphi(tx_0 + y)| = |\varphi(td x_1 + t y_0 + y)| = |t|d |\varphi(x_1)|, \\ \|x\|_X &= \|tx_0 + y\|_X = |t| \|x_0 + \frac{1}{t}y\|_X \geq |t| \inf_{\tilde{y} \in N} \|x_0 - \tilde{y}\|_X = |t|d. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\|\varphi\| \leq \sup_{x \in X} \frac{|\varphi(x)|}{\|x\|_X} \leq \frac{|t|d |\varphi(x_1)|}{|t|d} = |\varphi(x_1)|.$$

Since on the other hand  $|\varphi(x_1)| \leq \|\varphi\|$ , the inequalities above are in fact identities and we conclude  $|\varphi(x_1)| = \|\varphi\|$ .

“(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i)”. As above, we have  $x_1 = tx_0 + y_1$  for uniquely determined  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $y_1 \in N$ . In fact,  $t \neq 0$  since  $|\varphi(x_1)| = \|\varphi\| \neq 0$ . Therefore,  $x_0 = \frac{1}{t}(x_1 - y_1)$ . Let  $y_0 := -\frac{1}{t}y_1 \in N$ . Then

$$\|x_0 - y_0\|_X = \frac{1}{t} = \frac{\varphi(x_0)}{\varphi(x_1)},$$

and with  $\|\varphi\| = \varphi(x_1)$  there results  $\|\varphi\| \|x_0 - y_0\| = \varphi(x_0)$ . But then for every  $y \in N$  we have

$$\|\varphi\| \|x_0 - y\| = \varphi(x_0) = \varphi(x_0 - y) \leq \|\varphi\| \|x_0 - y\|,$$

and (i) follows.  $\square$

**Exercise 6.6** Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X) = (C^0([-1, 1]), \|\cdot\|_{C^0([-1, 1])})$ . Recall the map  $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by

$$\varphi(f) = \int_0^1 f(t) dt - \int_{-1}^0 f(t) dt$$

from Exercise 3.2. Consider its kernel  $N := \{f \in X \mid \varphi(f) = 0\}$  and some  $x_0 \in X \setminus N$ . Show that  $N$  is closed. Show that there does not exist any  $y_0 \in N$  such that

$$\|x_0 - y_0\| = \text{dist}(x_0, N).$$

**Solution.** From Exercise 3.2 (i) we know that  $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a continuous linear functional. Therefore  $N = \ker(\varphi)$  is a closed subspace of  $X$ . From Exercise 3.2 (ii) we know that  $\|\varphi\| = 2$ . From Exercise 3.2 (iii) we know that there does not exist any  $x_1 \in X$  with  $\|x_1\|_X = 1$  and  $|\varphi(x_1)| = 2 = \|\varphi\|$ . From Exercise 6.5 we know that this is equivalent to the statement that there does not exist any  $y_0 \in N$  with  $\|x_0 - y_0\| = \text{dist}(x_0, N)$ .  $\square$

**Hints to Exercises.**

- 6.1** Start by proving that  $(Ax_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  is Cauchy.
- 6.3** When working with finite dimensional vector spaces, you may always argue with bases and dual bases.
- 6.5** Start by recalling the first isomorphism theorem for linear maps.