

3. Any path admits an injective sub-path

Since none of you were able to obtain a full solution to Challenge Problem 3, here is a note about a critical step of the proof you all have encountered (and which was indeed very difficult). (Giada Franz)

Proposition. *Let X be a Hausdorff topological space. Given any continuous curve $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ with $\gamma(0) \neq \gamma(1)$, there exists an injective continuous curve $\tilde{\gamma}: [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ with $\tilde{\gamma}(0) = \gamma(0)$, $\tilde{\gamma}(1) = \gamma(1)$ and $\tilde{\gamma}([0, 1]) \subseteq \gamma([0, 1])$.*

Proof. First, we define the curve $\beta: [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ as $\beta(s) := \gamma(t(s))$ for all $s \in [0, 1]$, where

$$t(s) := \inf A(s), \quad \text{where } A(s) := \{t \in [0, s] : \exists t' \geq s \text{ s.t. } \gamma(t') = \gamma(t)\}.$$

Observe that $s \in A(s)$ and $A(s)$ is closed for every $s \in [0, 1]$. Therefore $t(s)$ is well-defined and actually it holds $t(s) = \min A(s)$. Moreover $\beta([0, 1]) \subseteq \gamma([0, 1])$ and $\beta(0) = \gamma(0)$, $\beta(1) = \gamma(t(1)) = \gamma(1)$.

Claim 1. $t: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is monotonically non-decreasing.

Proof. Given $0 \leq s_1 < s_2 \leq 1$, we want to prove that $t(s_1) \leq t(s_2)$. If $t(s_2) > s_1 \geq t(s_1)$, there is nothing to prove; thus assume $t(s_2) \leq s_1$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} t(s_2) &= \inf\{t \in [0, s_1] : \exists t' \geq s_2 \text{ s.t. } \gamma(t') = \gamma(t)\} \\ &\geq \inf\{t \in [0, s_1] : \exists t' \geq s_1 \text{ s.t. } \gamma(t') = \gamma(t)\} = t(s_1), \end{aligned}$$

as we wanted. □

Claim 2. $t: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is left-continuous, i.e. $\lim_{s \rightarrow s_0^-} t(s) = t(s_0)$ for all $s_0 \in [0, 1]$.

Proof. Let $\{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq [0, 1]$ be an increasing sequence converging to some $s \in [0, 1]$. We want to show that $t(s_n) \rightarrow t(s)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (which is sufficient to prove the claim). First observe that $\{t(s_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is non-decreasing by Claim 1 and $t(s_n) \leq t(s)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence $t(s) \geq t_0 := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t(s_n) = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} t(s_n)$. On the other hand, by definition of $t(s_n)$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $t'_n \geq s_n$ such that $\gamma(t'_n) = \gamma(t(s_n))$. Then, up to subsequence, $\{t'_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to some $t' \geq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} s_n = s$. By continuity of γ , this proves that $\gamma(t') = \gamma(t_0)$. Hence $t_0 \in A(s)$, which proves that $t(s) \leq t_0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t(s_n)$. This, combined with the inequality above, proves that $t(s) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t(s_n)$ as we wanted. □

Claim 3. $t: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is “almost right-continuous”, i.e. either $\lim_{s \rightarrow s_0^+} t(s) = t(s_0)$ or $\gamma(t(s_0)) = \gamma(s_0)$ for all $s_0 \in [0, 1]$.

Proof. Fix $s_0 \in [0, 1]$ and assume that $\gamma(t(s_0)) \neq \gamma(s_0)$. Then there exists $t' > s_0$ such that $\gamma(t(s_0)) = \gamma(t')$. Now consider $s_0 \leq s \leq t'$. By Claim 1, $t(s_0) \leq t(s)$. On the other hand, $t(s_0) \in A(s)$, hence $t(s) = t(s_0)$. This proves that there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $t(s) = t(s_0)$ for all $s_0 \leq s \leq s_0 + \varepsilon$, in particular t is “almost right-continuous”. □

Claim 4. β is “almost injective”, i.e. if $\beta(s_1) = \beta(s_2)$ for some $0 \leq s_1 \leq s_2 \leq 1$, then $\beta(s) = \beta(s_1) = \beta(s_2)$ for all $s \in [s_1, s_2]$.

Proof. Consider $0 \leq s_1 \leq s_2 \leq 1$ such that $\beta(s_1) = \beta(s_2)$, that is $\gamma(t(s_1)) = \gamma(t(s_2))$, and fix $s \in [s_1, s_2]$. By definition of $t(s_2)$, there exists $t' \geq s_2 \geq s$ such that $\gamma(t') = \gamma(t(s_2)) = \gamma(t(s_1))$; hence $t(s_1) \in A(s)$ (since $t(s_1) \leq s_1 \leq s$), which implies $t(s) \leq t(s_1)$. This, together with Claim 1, proves that $t(s) = t(s_1)$ for all $s \in [s_1, s_2]$ and thus $\beta(s) = \gamma(t(s)) = \gamma(t(s_1)) = \beta(s_1)$. \square

Claim 5. β is continuous.

Proof. Fix any $s_0 \in [0, 1]$, then

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow s_0^-} \beta(s) = \lim_{s \rightarrow s_0^-} \gamma(t(s)) \stackrel{\star}{=} \gamma\left(\lim_{s \rightarrow s_0^-} t(s)\right) = \gamma(t(s_0)) = \beta(s_0).$$

Observe that equality \star follows from the hypothesis of X being Hausdorff. Analogously, if we fall in the first case of Claim 3, we have that $\lim_{s \rightarrow s_0^+} \beta(s) = \beta(s_0)$. Hence, let us assume that $\gamma(t(s_0)) = \gamma(s_0)$. By Claim 4, $\gamma(s) = \gamma(t(s_0)) = \gamma(s_0)$ for all $s \in [t(s_0), s_0]$. Hence, if $t(s_0) < s_0$, we easily obtain that β is right-continuous at s_0 in this case too. Viceversa, suppose $t(s_0) = s_0$. Then observe that, for all $s \geq s_0$, $s_0 = t(s_0) \leq t(s) \leq s$. Hence $\lim_{s \rightarrow s_0^+} t(s) = t(s_0)$ and again we obtain the right-continuity of β at s_0 . Therefore, we proved that β is left- and right-continuous at every $s_0 \in [0, 1]$ and thus β is continuous. \square

Consider on $[0, 1]$ the equivalent relation given by $s_1 \sim s_2$ if and only if $\beta(s_1) = \beta(s_2)$. Then define $J := [0, 1]/\sim$ with quotient map $\pi: [0, 1] \rightarrow J$ and $\tilde{\gamma}: J \rightarrow X$ such that $\gamma = \beta \circ \pi^{-1}$, which is well-defined since β is constant in the counterimage of a point through π . Moreover, $\tilde{\gamma}$ is patently injective connecting $\gamma(0)$ and $\gamma(1)$ and it is easily seen to be continuous. Therefore, the proposition we want to prove follows if we show that J is homeomorphic to $[0, 1]$.

Thanks to Claims 4 and 5, $\pi^{-1}(r) \subseteq [0, 1]$ is a closed interval for all $r \in J$. In particular, for all $x \in [0, 1]$, we can define $[a_x, b_x] := \pi^{-1}(\pi(x))$. Note that we could possibly have $a_x = b_x$. Moreover observe that the relation \sim can be equivalently defined as $s_1 \sim s_2$ if and only if $s_1, s_2 \in [a_x, b_x]$ for some $x \in [0, 1]$.

We now define a function $h: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$ as follows. First we set $h(x) = 0$ for all $x \in [a_0, b_0]$ and $h(x) = 1$ for all $x \in [a_1, b_1]$. Then we are left with the open interval (b_0, a_1) to be mapped in $(0, 1)$. In a general step, if we have an open interval $I = (u, v) \subseteq [0, 1]$ to be mapped in an open interval $I' = (u', v') \subseteq [0, 1]$, we define $m := (u + v)/2$, $m' := (u' + v')/2$ and then we set $h(x) = m'$ for all $x \in [a_m, b_m]$ and we recur on the intervals (u, a_m) , (b_m, v) to be mapped in (u', m') , (m', v') respectively. Therefore, at each step $k \in \mathbb{N}$ of this process, h is defined in a union of closed intervals $V_k \subseteq [0, 1]$ and it is monotonically non-decreasing on these intervals, with image $V_k := h(U_k)$. Moreover note that $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} V_k$ and $\bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} V'_k$ are dense in $[0, 1]$. Hence h extends in a unique way to a continuous non-decreasing bijective function $h: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$.

Observe that h descends to the quotient $J = [0, 1]/\sim$ as $\tilde{h}: J \rightarrow I$, since $h(s_1) = h(s_2)$ if and only if $s_1, s_2 \in [a_x, b_x]$ for some $x \in [0, 1]$. Moreover \tilde{h} is continuous and bijective, hence it is a homeomorphism since J and $[0, 1]$ are compact. This shows that J and $[0, 1]$ are homeomorphic, as we wanted, and concludes the proof. \square