

Probability and Statistics

Exercise sheet 3

Exercise 3.1 We have two dice. One is ordinary with the numbers 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6 and one is special where 6 is replaced by 7 (i.e. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7). We flip a coin to decide which die is rolled. If flipping the coin results in heads, the ordinary die is rolled, otherwise the special die is rolled.

- (a) Define a suitable probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ using a Laplace model.
 - (i) Define random variables $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $Y : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that X and Y represent the outcomes of flipping the coin and of the roll of the die, respectively.
 - (ii) What is the cardinality $|\mathcal{F}|$? Give examples of events $E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4 \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\mathbb{P}[E_i] \neq \mathbb{P}[E_j], \forall i \neq j$.
- (b) What is the probability that rolling the die results in an even number?
- (c) Show that $\mathbb{P}[X = x, Y = y] \neq \mathbb{P}[X = x] \mathbb{P}[Y = y]$ for some $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. (This means that the random variables X and Y are not independent; see later and cf. Exercise 2.4 from [Exercise Sheet 2](#).)

Solution 3.1

- (a) $\Omega := \{0, 1\} \times \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$, $\mathcal{F} := 2^\Omega$, $\mathbb{P} : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1], A \mapsto \mathbb{P}[A] = \frac{|A|}{|\Omega|} = \frac{|A|}{12}$. Alternatively one could define $\Omega := \{(0, 1), (0, 2), (0, 3), (0, 4), (0, 5), (0, 6), (1, 1), (1, 2), (1, 3), (1, 4), (1, 5), (1, 7)\}$. Then Y can be defined more simply as $Y(\omega) := \omega_2$.

$$(i) \quad X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \omega \mapsto X(\omega) := \omega_1 \text{ and } Y : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \omega \mapsto Y(\omega) := \begin{cases} \omega_2, & \omega_2 \leq 5, \\ 6, & \omega_2 = 6 \text{ and } \omega_1 = 0, \\ 7, & \omega_2 = 6 \text{ and } \omega_1 = 1. \end{cases}$$

An elegant way to write this is $Y(\omega) := \omega_2 I_{\{\omega_2 \leq 5\}} + (\omega_1 + \omega_2) I_{\{\omega_2 > 5\}}$.

- (ii) $|\mathcal{F}| = 2^{12} = 4096$, so there are many different possibilities to choose the examples—e.g. $E_1 = \emptyset, E_2 = \{(0, 1)\}, E_3 = \{(0, 1), (0, 3), (1, 2)\}, E_4 = \Omega$ with $\mathbb{P}[E_1] = 0, \mathbb{P}[E_2] = \frac{1}{12}, \mathbb{P}[E_3] = \frac{3}{12}, \mathbb{P}[E_4] = 1$.
- (b) We can directly count that $|\{\omega \in \Omega : \exists k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } Y = 2k\}| = 3 + 2 = 5$. Hence, the probability is $\mathbb{P}[\{\omega \in \Omega : \exists k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ with } Y = 2k\}] = \frac{5}{12}$.
- (c) To show the dependence of the two random variables, it is sufficient to find one counterexample for the equation. A simple choice is $x = 0$ and $y = 7$. First we compute the left-hand side as

$$\mathbb{P}[X = 0, Y = 7] = \frac{|\{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) = 0 \text{ and } Y(\omega) = 7\}|}{12} = 0.$$

Now, we consider the right-hand side by starting with calculating the probability

$$\mathbb{P}[X = 0] = \mathbb{P}[\{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) = 0\}] = \frac{|\{\omega \in \Omega : X(\omega) = 0\}|}{12} = \frac{6}{12} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Analogously, we get

$$\mathbb{P}[Y = 7] = \mathbb{P}[\{\omega \in \Omega : Y(\omega) = 7\}] = \frac{|\{\omega \in \Omega : Y(\omega) = 7\}|}{12} = \frac{1}{12}.$$

So we can conclude that

$$\mathbb{P}[X = 0, Y = 7] = 0 \neq \frac{1}{24} = \mathbb{P}[X = 0] \mathbb{P}[Y = 7].$$

Exercise 3.2 Let $(S_n)_{n=0,1,\dots,2N}$ be a simple random walk on $\{-1, 1\}^{2N}$. Fix $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$

- Prove that $T_a := \min\{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : S_n = a\} \wedge 2N$ is a stopping time.
- Prove that $\tau_b := \min\{n > T_a : S_n = b\} \wedge 2N$ is a stopping time.
- Show that $L = \max\{0 \leq n \leq 2N : S_n = 0\}$ is not a stopping time if $N \geq 1$.

Solution 3.2 Stopping times are defined in Definition 3.9 in the [lecture notes](#). Let $n \in \{0, \dots, 2N\}$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ be arbitrary but fixed.

- We need to show that $\{\omega \in \Omega : T_a(\omega) \leq n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n$, where \mathcal{F}_n is defined in Definition 3.8 in the [lecture notes](#). Recall that $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$. Recall also that for any stopping time T , $\{T \leq 2N\} = \Omega$ is in \mathcal{F}_{2N} (even in \mathcal{F}_0).

case 1) $n = 2N$: In this case, $\{\omega \in \Omega : T_a(\omega) \leq 2N\} = \Omega \in \mathcal{F}_{2N}$.

case 2) $n \in \{1, \dots, 2N - 1\}$: According to Definition 3.8, we define a set

$$C := \left\{ x \in \{-1, 1\}^n : \exists k \in \{0, \dots, n\} \text{ with } \sum_{i=1}^k x_i = a \right\} \subseteq \{-1, 1\}^n.$$

With this definition, we get

$$\{\omega \in \Omega : T_a(\omega) \leq n\} = \{\omega \in \{-1, 1\}^{2N} : (X_1(\omega), \dots, X_n(\omega)) \in C\} \in \mathcal{F}_n.$$

case 3) $n = 0$: If $a = 0$, then $T_a \equiv 0$ and $\{\omega \in \Omega : T_a(\omega) \leq 0\} = \Omega \in \mathcal{F}_0$. If $a \neq 0$, then $T_a(\omega) \neq 0$ for all ω and $\{\omega \in \Omega : T_a \leq 0\} = \emptyset \in \mathcal{F}_0$.

- We need to show that $\{\omega \in \Omega : \tau_b(\omega) \leq n\} \in \mathcal{F}_n$, where \mathcal{F}_n is defined in Definition 3.8 in the [lecture notes](#).

case 1) $n = 2N$: In this case, $\{\omega \in \Omega : \tau_b(\omega) \leq 2N\} = \Omega \in \mathcal{F}_{2N}$.

case 2) $n \in \{1, \dots, 2N - 1\}$: According to Definition 3.8, we define a set

$$C := \left\{ x \in \{-1, 1\}^n : \exists k < \ell \in \{0, \dots, n\} \text{ with } \sum_{i=1}^k x_i = a \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} x_i = b \right\} \subseteq \{-1, 1\}^n.$$

With this definition, we get

$$\{\omega \in \Omega : \tau_b(\omega) \leq n\} = \{\omega \in \{-1, 1\}^{2N} : (X_1(\omega), \dots, X_n(\omega)) \in C\} \in \mathcal{F}_n.$$

case 3) $n = 0$: Since $T_a \geq 0$ we directly get that $\tau_b > 0$, which implies $\{\omega \in \Omega : \tau_b \leq 0\} = \emptyset \in \mathcal{F}_0$.

- We prove the result by contradiction. If L were a stopping time, then (3.12) would imply for example that $\{\omega : L(\omega) \leq 0\} \in \mathcal{F}_0 = \{\emptyset, \Omega\}$. But we can show that $\{\omega : L(\omega) \leq 0\}$ is neither the empty set \emptyset nor the whole sample space Ω .

We start by showing $\{\omega : L(\omega) \leq 0\} \neq \emptyset$ by writing down explicitly an $\omega^* \in \{\omega : L(\omega) \leq 0\}$, namely $\omega^* = (1, \dots, 1)$, since $L(\omega^*) = 0$, because $S_n(\omega^*) = n \neq 0, \forall n > 0$.

We finish the proof by showing $\{\omega : L(\omega) \leq 0\} \neq \Omega$ by writing down explicitly an $\omega^\circ \notin \{\omega : L(\omega) \leq 0\}$, namely $\omega^\circ = (1, -1, 1, 1, 1, \dots, 1)$. Then $L(\omega^\circ) = 2$ because $S_2(\omega^\circ) = 0$ and $S_n(\omega^\circ) > 0, \forall n > 2$.

Exercise 3.3 Let $(S_n)_{n=0,1,\dots,N}$ be a simple random walk on $\{-1, 1\}^N$. Consider the strategy of first betting 1 and then successively tripling your bet until you win for the first time.

- Describe this strategy by a gambling strategy V .
- Calculate the resulting total income $(V \cdot S)_N$ and its expected value $\mathbb{E}[(V \cdot S)_N]$.
- Calculate the distribution of $(V \cdot S)_N$ and use this to compute $\mathbb{E}[(V \cdot S)_N]$ again.
- Can you find a gambling system V with $(V \cdot S)_N = S_N^4$?

Solution 3.3

- Set $V_1 := 1$ and recursively $V_{k+1} := 3V_k I_{\{X_k = -1\}}$ so that

$$V_{k+1} = 3^k I_{\{X_1 = \dots = X_k = -1\}} = 3^k I_{\{S_k = -k\}}.$$

This is indeed a gambling system as V_{k+1} only depends on X_1, \dots, X_k . With $\tau := \min\{k \in \{1, \dots, N\} : X_k = +1\}$ and $\min \emptyset := N + 1$, we can also write $V_k = 3^{k-1} I_{\{k \leq \tau\}}$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$.

- By definition,

$$\begin{aligned} (V \cdot S)_N &= \sum_{k=1}^N V_k (S_k - S_{k-1}) = \sum_{k=1}^N V_k X_k \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^N 3^{k-1} X_k I_{\{k \leq \tau\}}. \end{aligned}$$

On the set $\{\tau = N + 1\} = \{-1, \dots, -1\}$, this gives

$$(V \cdot S)_N = \sum_{k=1}^N 3^{k-1} (-1) = - \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} 3^i = -\frac{1}{2}(3^N - 1).$$

On $\{\tau = K \leq N\}$, we have analogously

$$\begin{aligned} (V \cdot S)_N &= \sum_{k=1}^K 3^{k-1} X_k = \sum_{k=1}^{K-1} 3^{k-1} (-1) + 3^{K-1} (+1) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}(3^{K-1} - 1) + 3^{K-1} = \frac{1}{2}(3^{K-1} + 1). \end{aligned}$$

We can write this as

$$(V \cdot S)_N = -\frac{1}{2}(3^N - 1) I_{\{\tau > N\}} + \frac{1}{2}(3^{\tau-1} + 1) I_{\{\tau \leq N\}}.$$

Because V is a gambling system, we have $\mathbb{E}[(V \cdot S)_N] = 0$ from Satz 3.17 from the [lecture notes](#).

- Because $\mathbb{P}[\tau = N + 1] = 2^{-N}$ and $\mathbb{P}[\tau = K \leq N] = 2^{-K}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left[(V \cdot S)_N = -\frac{1}{2}(3^N - 1)\right] &= 2^{-N} \text{ and} \\ \mathbb{P}\left[(V \cdot S)_N = \frac{1}{2}(3^{K-1} + 1)\right] &= 2^{-K} \text{ for } K \in \{1, \dots, N\}. \end{aligned}$$

So we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathbb{E}[(V \cdot S)_N] &= -2^{-(N+1)}(3^N - 1) + \sum_{K=1}^N 2^{-(K+1)}(3^{K-1} + 1) = \\
 &= -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^N + \frac{1}{2} 2^{-N} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{K=1}^N \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{K-1} + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{K=1}^N 2^{-(K-1)} \\
 &= -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^N + \frac{1}{2} 2^{-N} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^N - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} 2^{-N} + \frac{1}{2} = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Of course, the result is the same as in (b), but the computations are much more tedious.

- (d) No. By Satz 3.17, we have $\mathbb{E}[(V \cdot S)_N] = 0$ for any gambling system. But S_N^4 is nonnegative and not identically 0, i.e., $\mathbb{P}[S_N^4 > 0] > 0$. So we must have $\mathbb{E}[S_N^4] > 0$, and no V as desired can exist.

If you have feedback regarding the exercise sheets, please send a mail to [Jakob Heiss](#).