

RECALL: CUP PRODUCT

$\cup$  GIVES A "MULTIPLICATION IN COHOMOLOGY"  
MORE PRECISELY:

LET  $H^*(X; R) = \bigoplus H^m(X; R)$

THAT IS, ELEMENTS ARE FINITE SUMS  
 $\sum_i \alpha_i, \alpha_i \in H^i(X; R)$

DEFINE:  $(\sum_i \alpha_i) (\sum_j \beta_j) = \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \cup \beta_j (X)$

SHORTHAND NOTATION:  $\alpha \beta = \alpha \cup \beta$

DIRECT CHECK:  $H^*(X; R)$  WITH SUM AND  $(\cup)$  IS  
A RING. IT IS A RING WITH 1 IF  
 $R$  IS A RING WITH 1.

EXERCISE: AS A RING,  $H^*(\mathbb{R}P^2; \mathbb{Z}/2)$  IS  
ISOMORPHIC TO  $\mathbb{Z}/2[x]/(x^3)$   
QUOTIENT OF POLYNOMIAL RING  $\mathbb{Z}/2[x]$  BY  
IDEAL GENERATED BY  $(x^3)$

HINT: UNDER THE ISO,  $x$  IS THE NON-TRIVIAL  
ELEMENT OF  $H^1(\mathbb{R}P^2; \mathbb{Z}/2)$

$x^2 = \alpha \cup \alpha =$  NON-TRIVIAL ELEM OF  $H^2(\mathbb{R}P^2; \mathbb{Z}/2)$

WE ALSO HAVE ADDITIONAL STRUCTURE:

DEFN: A GRADED RING IS A RING  $A = \bigoplus_{k \geq 1} A_k$  SO  
THAT THE MULTIPLICATION TAKES  $A_k \times A_l$  TO  $A_{k+l}$

NOTATION: IF  $a \in A_k$ , WE WRITE  $|a| = k$ , AND  
CALL  $k$  THE DIMENSION OF  $a$

NOTE: DIMENSION IS NOT DEFINED FOR ALL  $a \in A$   
SOMETIMES  $k$  AS ABOVE IS CALLED DEGREE

RMK:  $H^*(X; R)$  IS A GRADED RING.

DEFN: A GRADED RING IS COMMUTATIVE IF  
 $ab = (-1)^{|a||b|} ba$  (WHENEVER  $|a|, |b|$  ARE DEFINED)

NOTE: THIS TERMINOLOGY IS TERRIBLE.

IN THE CASE OF  $H^*$ :

THM:  $H^*(X; R)$  IS A COMMUTATIVE GRADED RING IF  
 $R$  IS COMMUTATIVE  
THAT IS, IF  $\alpha \in H^k(X; R), \beta \in H^l(X; R)$ , THEN  
 $\alpha \cup \beta = (-1)^{kl} \beta \cup \alpha$

RMK: NOT TRUE FOR COCHAINS!

(1)  $(\psi \cup \varphi)(\sigma) = \varphi(\sigma|_{[v_0, \dots, v_k]}) \psi(\sigma|_{[v_{k+1}, \dots, v_{k+l}])$

(2)  $(\psi \cup \varphi)(\sigma) = \psi(\sigma|_{[v_0, \dots, v_l]}) \varphi(\sigma|_{[v_{l+1}, \dots, v_{k+l}])$

— = NO CLEAR RELATION...

PROOF: LET  $\varphi \in C^k(X; R), \psi \in C^l(X; R)$   
KEY OBSERVATION: (1) AND (2) DIFFER BY A PERMUTATION  
OF THE VERTICES OF  $\Delta_{k+l}$

LET  $\tau_m: \Delta^m \rightarrow \Delta^m$  THE LINEAR HOMEO  
SO THAT  $\tau_m(v_i) = v_{m-i}$   
( $\tau_m$  "REVERSES THE ORDER OF THE VERTICES")

LET  $\epsilon_m = (-1)^{m(m+1)/2}$   
 $\tau_m$  IS COMPOSITION OF THIS  
MANY REFLECTIONS

FINALLY, LET  $\rho: C_m(X) \rightarrow C_m(X)$  DEFINED  
BY  $\rho(\sigma) = \epsilon_m \sigma \circ \tau_m$

CLAIM:  $\rho$  IS A CHAIN-MAP, CHAIN-HOMOTOPIC TO  $id$

ASSUME THE CLAIM FOR THE MOMENT:

CLAIM  $\Rightarrow$   $\rho$  INDUCES  $id$  IN  $H^*$   
COMPUTATIONS:  $\varphi \in Z^k(X; R), \psi \in Z^l(X; R)$

$(\rho^* \psi \cup \rho^* \varphi)(\sigma) = \psi(\epsilon_{k+l} \sigma|_{[v_{k+l}, \dots, v_m]}) \varphi(\epsilon_k \sigma|_{[v_0, \dots, v_k]})$

$\rho^*(\psi \cup \varphi)(\sigma) = \epsilon_{k+l} \psi(\sigma|_{[v_{k+l}, \dots, v_m]}) \varphi(\sigma|_{[v_0, \dots, v_k]})$

$R$  COMMUTATIVE  $\Rightarrow$

$\epsilon_k \epsilon_{k+l} (\rho^* \psi \cup \rho^* \varphi) = \epsilon_{k+l} \rho^*(\psi \cup \varphi)$

TAKE COHOM CLASSES:  $\rho^* = id$

$\epsilon_k \epsilon_{k+l} [\psi] \cup [\varphi] = \epsilon_{k+l} [\rho^* \psi] \cup [\rho^* \varphi]$

$= \epsilon_{k+l} [\rho^*(\psi \cup \varphi)] = \epsilon_{k+l} [\psi \cup \varphi]$

NUMEROLOGY:  $\epsilon_{k+l} = (-1)^{kl} \epsilon_k \epsilon_l$ , SO THIS IS THE  
REQUIRED EQUALITY

LEFT TO PROVE: CLAIM

$\rho$  IS CHAIN-MAP (THAT IS,  $\partial \rho = \rho \partial$ ):

COMPUTATION:

$\partial(\rho(\sigma)) = \epsilon_m \sum (-1)^i \sigma|_{[v_0, \dots, \hat{v}_i, \dots, v_m]}$

$\rho(\partial \sigma) = \rho(\sum (-1)^i \dots)$

$= \epsilon_{m-1} \sum (-1)^i \sigma|_{[v_0, \dots, \hat{v}_i, \dots, v_m]}$

CHANGE INDICATOR IN SUM  $= \epsilon_{m-1} \sum (-1)^{m-i} \sigma|_{[v_0, \dots, \hat{v}_i, \dots, v_m]}$

$\epsilon_m = \epsilon_{m-1} (-1)^m \Rightarrow$  OK  $\checkmark$

NOTE: WE REALLY NEEDED  $\epsilon_m$  TO MAKE  $\rho$  A  
CHAIN-MAP.

$\rho$  IS CHAIN HOMOTOPIC TO  $id$ :

HEURISTIC PICTURE



CAN BE FILLED IN, IN A NATURAL WAY,  
AND SUBDIVIDED

NATURAL WAY:  $(x, t) \mapsto \sigma((1-t)x + t \rho_m(x))$



IF ONE TRIES TO TURN THIS INTO FORMULAS,  
ONE COMES UP WITH:

NOTATION:  $D_i$  = AFFINE MAP  $\Delta^{m+1} \rightarrow \Delta^m$  WITH  
 $w_0 \mapsto v_0, w_1 \mapsto v_1, w_{i+1} \mapsto v_m, \dots, w_m \mapsto v_i$   
VERTICES =  $w_i$ ; VERTICES =  $v_i$

LET  $\rho(\sigma) = \sum_i (-1)^i \epsilon_{m-i} \sigma \circ D_i$

LET'S CHECK THAT  $\rho$  IS CHAIN HOMOTOPY

NOTATION:  $\sigma \circ D_i = [v_0, \dots, v_i, v_m, \dots, v_i]$

$\partial \rho = \sum_j \sum_i \dots =$   
 $= \sum_{j \leq i} (-1)^i (-1)^j \epsilon_{m-i} [v_0, \dots, \hat{v}_j, \dots, v_i, v_m, \dots, v_i]$   
 $+ \sum_{j > i} (-1)^i (-1)^{i+j+m-j} \epsilon_{m-i} [v_0, \dots, v_i, v_m, \dots, \hat{v}_j, \dots, v_i]$

THE TERMS  $j=i$  LIVE:

$\epsilon_m [v_0, \dots, \hat{v}_i, \dots, v_m] + \sum_{i > 0} \epsilon_{m-i} [v_0, \dots, v_{i-1}, v_m, \dots, v_i]$

$+ \sum_{i < m} (-1)^{m+i-1} \epsilon_{m-i} [v_0, \dots, v_i, v_m, \dots, v_{i+1}]$

$- [v_0, \dots, v_m]$

$i = m$  IN  $(**)$

THE MIDDLE TERMS CANCEL OUT (SINCE  
 $(-1)^{m+i} \epsilon_{m-i+1} = -\epsilon_{m-i}$ )

THE OTHER TERMS ARE  $\rho(\sigma) - \sigma$ .

FINAL CHECK: THE TERMS  $j \neq i$  GIVE  $-\rho$

THIS IS ANOTHER DIRECT COMPUTATION USING  
DEFINITIONS  $\square$