

The submanifold N is totally geodesic if it is geodesic at every $p \in N$.

Let N be a connected submanifold of a riemannian manifold (M, g) and let $g|_N$ be the restriction of g to N as well as d_M resp. d_N the corresponding riemannian distance on M resp. N .

Then clearly $d_M(p, q) \leq d_N(p, q) \forall p, q \in N$.

Exercise II.43 Assume $N \subset M$ is totally geodesic.

(1) The inclusion $(N, d_N) \hookrightarrow (M, d_M)$ is locally distance preserving.

(2) Every N -geodesic is an M -geodesic and every M -geodesic contained in N is an N -geodesic.

The following is a characterization of being totally geodesic that we will use below:

Prop. II.44 $N \subset M$ is totally geodesic if and only if the parallel transport for the Riemannian metric on M along curves contained in N preserves the tangent space distribution $\{T_p N : p \in N\}$.

Example IV.43 A totally geodesic manifold is not necessarily embedded. For instance take the 3-dimensional flat torus $\mathbb{T}^3 := \mathbb{Z}^3 \backslash \mathbb{E}^3$, with projection $\pi: \mathbb{E}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^3$. It follows from the definition that if $P \subset \mathbb{E}^3$ is any non 2-dimensional plane then $\pi(P) \subset \mathbb{T}^3$ is totally geodesic. One can choose P in such a way that $\pi(P)$ is dense in \mathbb{T}^3 .

The Lie algebraic concept underlying total geodesicity is:

Def. IV.44 A vector subspace \mathcal{N} of a Lie Algebra \mathfrak{g} is a Lie triple system if $[[\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}], \mathcal{N}] \subset \mathcal{N}$.

Prominent example is the subspace

\mathfrak{p} in the Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{z} \oplus \mathfrak{p}$.

Indeed by II.38:

$$[[\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}], \mathfrak{p}] \subset [\mathfrak{z}, \mathfrak{p}] \subset \mathfrak{p}.$$

Lemma II.45 If $\mathfrak{r} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie triple system then

(1) $[\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{r}]$ is a subalgebra of \mathfrak{g}

(2) $\mathfrak{r} + [\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{r}]$ is a subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} .

Proof.

(1) Let X, Y, Z, W in \mathfrak{r} . We apply the

Jacobi identity to X, Y and $[Z, W]$:

$$[[X, Y], [Z, W]] + [[Z, W], [X, Y]] + [[Y, [Z, W]], X]$$

$$= 0$$

But $[[z, w], \gamma] \in \mathcal{N}$, $[\gamma, [z, w]] \in \mathcal{N}$

which implies (ii).

(2) If x, γ are in \mathcal{N} then $[x, \gamma] \in [\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}]$.

If $x \in \mathcal{N}$ and $\gamma \in [\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}]$ then by

hypothesis $[x, \gamma] \in [\mathcal{N}, [\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}]] \subset \mathcal{N}$.

Finally $[\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{N}]$ is a subalgebra.

□

Theorem II. 46.

Let $M \neq 0$ be a symmetric space

$\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{Is}(M)^\circ$, $\mathfrak{K} = \mathfrak{Stab}_G(o)$, $\pi: \mathfrak{G} \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$

the canonical projection and

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{B} \oplus \mathfrak{N}$$

the Cartan decomposition. Then the

following hold:

(1) If $\mathcal{R} \subset \mathfrak{M}$ is a Lie triple system then $\text{Exp}_0(\mathcal{D}_e \mathcal{R} / \mathcal{R}) \subset M$ is a totally geodesic submanifold, containing $0 \in M$.

(2) If $N \subset M$ is a totally geodesic submanifold containing 0 , then $\mathcal{R} := (\mathcal{D}_e \mathcal{R})^{-1}(T_0 N) \subset \mathfrak{M}$ is a Lie triple system.

Remark II.47 If $P \subset M$ is an arbitrary totally geodesic manifold then pick $p \in P$ and $g \in \mathfrak{G}$ with $L_g(p) = 0$; then $L_g(P) \ni 0$ is totally geodesic as well and (2) Thm II.46 applies.

Proof.

(1) Let $\mathfrak{g}' := \mathfrak{r} + [\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{m}]$; then
(lemma II.45) \mathfrak{g}' is a Lie subalgebra
of \mathfrak{g} . Let $G' < G$ be the correspon-
ding Lie subgroup, and $K' := G \cap K$.

Then it follows from the definition of
smooth structures on G'/K' and G/K

that the inclusion $G'/K' \hookrightarrow G/K$ is

an immersive map. As a result if

$M' := G'_* \circ$ the map

$$G'/K' \longrightarrow M$$

$$gK' \longmapsto g_* \circ$$

is immersive with image M' and

M' is thus a submanifold of M .

Therefore: $D_e \tau (g' \cap \mathfrak{p}) = T_0 M'$;

but from $g' = \mathfrak{r} + [\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}]$ and

$\mathfrak{r} \subset \mathfrak{p}$, $[\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{n}] \subset \mathfrak{g}$ we deduce that

$g' \cap \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{r}$ which implies $D_e \tau (\mathfrak{r}) = T_0 M'$.

Let $v \in T_0 M'$ and $X \in \mathfrak{r}$ with $D_e \tau (X) = v$.

Then (Thm II.39) $t \mapsto \exp(tX)_* o$

is the geodesic through $(0, v)$. On

the other hand $tX \in g' \forall t$, hence

$\exp(tX) \in G' \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$ which implies

$\exp(tX)_* o \in G'_* o = M' \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$ and

hence M' is geodesic at o . Now

G' acts by isometries on M and transitively on M' which implies that M' is

geodesic at every point and hence totally

geodesic.

(2) Now $N \subset M$ is totally geodesic and $o \in N$. Let

$$\mathcal{R} := (D_e \bar{u})^{-1}(T_o N)$$

and $\text{Exp} = \text{Exp}_o \circ D_e \tau|_{\mathcal{R}} : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow M$

the map used in Corollary I.41.

Then $\forall x, \gamma \in \mathcal{R}$, $\text{Exp}(tx)$ and $\text{Exp}(t\gamma)$ are M -geodesics through o with tangent vectors $D_e \tau(x) \in T_o N$ and $D_e \tau(\gamma) \in T_o N$ respectively. As a result:

$$\text{Exp}(tx) \text{ and } \text{Exp}(t\gamma)$$

are contained in $N \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Now consider the restriction of Exp to \mathfrak{r} ; since $\text{Exp}(\mathfrak{r}) \subset N$ we have that $D_{tY} \text{Exp}(\mathfrak{r}) \subset T_{\text{Exp}(tY)}(N)$.

Now Corollary II.41 gives:

$$(D_{tY} \text{Exp})(x) = D_0 L_{\text{exp}tY} \cdot D_e \pi \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\text{ad}(tY)^{2n}(x)}{(2n+1)!} \right)$$

that is:

$$(D_0 L_{\text{exp}tY})^{-1} (D_{tY} \text{Exp})(x) = D_e \pi \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\text{ad}(tY)^{2n}(x)}{(2n+1)!} \right)$$

But (see Prop. I.22) $D_0 L_{\text{exp}tY} : T_0 M \rightarrow$

$\rightarrow T_{\text{Exp}(tY)} M$ implements the parallel transport along $t \mapsto \text{exp}tY$

transport along $t \mapsto \text{exp}tY$

it follows from Prop. II.44 that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\text{ad}(tY)^{2n}(x)}{(2n+1)!} \in \mathcal{R}$$

$$\forall x, Y \in \mathcal{R}, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Taking second derivative in t and setting $t=0$ we get:

$$\text{ad}(Y)^2(x) \in \mathcal{R} \quad \forall x, Y \in \mathcal{R}.$$

Now for $Y, Z \in \mathcal{R}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ad}(Y+Z)^2 &= \text{ad}(Y)^2 + \text{ad}(Y)\text{ad}(Z) + \text{ad}(Z)\text{ad}(Y) + \\ &\quad + \text{ad}(Z)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Which implies

$$[Y, [Z, x]] + [Z, [Y, x]] \in \mathcal{R} \quad \forall x, Y, Z \in \mathcal{R}.$$

Now by Jacobi:

$$[z, [y, x]] + [x, [z, y]] + [y, [x, z]] = 0$$
$$\quad \quad \quad \underbrace{- [x, [y, z]]} \quad \quad \quad \underbrace{- [y, [z, x]]}$$

which implies

~~$$2 [y, [x, z]] = +$$~~

$$2 [y, [z, x]] + [x, [y, z]] \in \mathcal{R}. \quad (*)$$

Exchanging the role of x and y gives

$$2 [x, [z, y]] + [y, [x, z]] \in \mathcal{R} \quad (**)$$

Subtracting $(**)$ from $(*)$ gives:

$$3 [y, [z, x]] + 3 [x, [y, z]] \in \mathcal{R}$$

which equals

$$3 [[x, y], z] \in \mathcal{R}$$

by Jacobi.

