

Exercise 7.1 Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Consider the open set

$$\Omega = (-1, 1) \times (-1, 1) \setminus ([0, 1) \times \{0\}) \subset \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Prove that there is no extension operator $E: W^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Solution. Let $u: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by

$$u(x_1, x_2) := \begin{cases} x_1 & \text{if } x_1 > 0 \text{ and } x_2 > 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

be the function as in Exercise 5.2. We showed there that $u \in W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$. Since Ω is bounded, $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ for any $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Suppose, there exists an extension operator $E: W^{1,p}(\Omega) \rightarrow W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $(Eu)|_{\Omega} = u$ almost everywhere in Ω . Let $Q := (-1, 1) \times (-1, 1)$ and $v := (Eu)|_Q$. Then $Eu \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ implies $v \in W^{1,p}(Q)$. Consequently (as shown in Exercise 6.2) $(x_2 \mapsto v(x_1, x_2)) \in W^{1,p}((-1, 1))$ for almost every $x_1 \in (-1, 1)$. Moreover, since $[0, 1) \times \{0\}$ has measure zero, $v(x_1, x_2) = u(x_1, x_2)$ for almost every $(x_1, x_2) \in Q$.

Hence, there exists some fixed $x_1 \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ such that $(g: x_2 \mapsto v(x_1, x_2)) \in W^{1,p}((-1, 1))$ and such that $g(x_2) = u(x_1, x_2)$ for almost every $x_2 \in (-1, 1)$. By Sobolev's embedding in dimension one, g and hence $x_2 \mapsto u(x_1, x_2)$ has a representative in $C^0((-1, 1))$. However, since we chose $x_1 > \frac{1}{2}$, this leads to a contradiction since

$$x_2 \mapsto u(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} x_1 & \text{for } x_2 > 0, \\ 0 & \text{for } x_2 < 0. \end{cases}$$

is not continuous. □

Exercise 7.2 In this exercise we want to prove that, for every bounded, C^1 domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and every $1 \leq p < \infty$, $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ consists *exactly* of those functions in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with vanishing trace, similarly to Remark 7.5.1 in the 1-dimensional case or Corollary 8.4.3 for the case $p = 2$.

Let $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

(i) Prove that for every $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and every $i = 1, \dots, n$ there holds

$$\int_{\Omega} \partial_i u \varphi \, dx = - \int_{\Omega} u \partial_i \varphi \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} u|_{\partial\Omega} \varphi \nu^i \, d\sigma,$$

where $\nu = (\nu^1, \dots, \nu^n)$ denotes the outer unit normal of $\partial\Omega$ and $u|_{\partial\Omega} \in L^p(\partial\Omega)$ denotes the trace of u .

(ii) Consider the extension of U by zero to \mathbb{R}^n :

$$U(x) = \begin{cases} u(x) & \text{for } x \in \Omega, \\ 0 & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega. \end{cases}$$

Prove that, if the weak derivative of U exist, they are necessarily given by

$$\partial_i U(x) = \begin{cases} \partial_i u(x) & \text{for } x \in \Omega, \\ 0 & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega \end{cases} \quad (*)$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Prove then that $u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ if and only if U is in $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

(iii) Prove that, for every $v \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ so that $v|_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega} = 0$ then $v|_{\Omega} \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ and conclude.

Solution. (i) For $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ it is the classical integration by parts formula. For a general $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, since Ω is a regular domain we can argue by approximation: let $(u_j)_j \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be a sequence of smooth functions so that $u_j \rightarrow u$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Then we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \partial_i u_j \varphi \, dx = - \int_{\Omega} \partial_i u_j \varphi \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} u_j|_{\partial\Omega} \varphi \nu^i \, d\sigma.$$

We may now pass to the limit in this expression: this follows from definition of $W^{1,p}$ -convergence for the integrals over Ω , while for the boundary integral we have that $u_j|_{\partial\Omega}$ converges to $u|_{\partial\Omega}$ in $L^p(\Omega)$ since the trace operator is continuous from $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ to $L^p(\Omega)$.

(ii) If the weak derivatives of U exist, then they must be the functions given (*) above, since $\partial\Omega$ has zero measure.

Consequently, on the one hand if u has vanishing trace, then the formula in (i) is telling us exactly that the weak derivatives of U exist, and thus also that $U \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Vice versa, for every $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, by (i) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} U \partial_i \varphi \, dx &= \int_{\Omega} u \partial_i \varphi \, dx \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} \partial_i u \varphi \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} u|_{\partial\Omega} \varphi \nu^i \, d\sigma \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial_i U \varphi \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} u|_{\partial\Omega} \varphi \nu^i \, d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for U to have weak derivatives it is necessary that, for every $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ there holds

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} u|_{\partial\Omega} \varphi \nu^i d\sigma = 0,$$

and so $u|_{\partial\Omega}$ must vanish.

(iii) *Step 1.* The problem can be reduced to the following model case. Let

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= \{x = (x', x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} \times \mathbb{R} \mid |x'| < 1 \text{ and } |x_n| < 1\}, \\ Q_+ &= \{x = (x', x_n) \in Q \mid x_n > 0\}, \\ Q_0 &= \{x = (x', x_n) \in Q \mid x_n = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $u \in W^{1,p}(Q)$ satisfy $u = 0$ in $Q \setminus Q_+$. Then we claim $\alpha u \in W_0^{1,p}(Q_+)$ for any $\alpha \in C_c^1(Q)$. Note that since α is compactly supported in Q , (αu) extends to a function in $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ which allows mollification. Let $0 \leq \rho \in C_c^\infty(B_1(0))$ satisfy

$$\text{supp}(\rho) \subset \{(x', x_n) \in B_1(0) \mid \tfrac{1}{2} < x_n < 1\}, \quad \int_{B_1(0)} \rho dx = 1$$

and let $\rho_m(x) := m^n \rho(mx)$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, $\|\rho_m * (\alpha u) - (\alpha u)\|_{W^{1,p}} \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, if $x = (x', x_n) \in Q_+$ with $x_n < \frac{1}{4m}$ then $(\alpha u)(x - y) = 0$ whenever $y_n > \frac{1}{2m}$ because u vanishes outside Q_+ . Hence, by choice of $\text{supp}(\rho_m)$,

$$(\rho_m * (\alpha u))(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \rho_m(y) (\alpha u)(x - y) dy = 0 \quad \text{if } x_n < \frac{1}{4m}$$

which implies $\rho_m * (\alpha u) \in C_c^\infty(Q_+)$ and therefore $\alpha u \in W_0^{1,p}(Q_+)$.

Step 2. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and bounded with boundary of class C^1 . Since $\partial\Omega$ is compact and regular, there exist finitely many open sets $U_1, \dots, U_N \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and diffeomorphisms $H_k: Q \rightarrow U_k$ such that for every $k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$

$$H_k(Q_+) = U_k \cap \Omega, \quad H_k(Q_0) = U_k \cap \partial\Omega, \quad \partial\Omega \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^N U_k.$$

Furthermore, there exists an open set $U_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\overline{U_0} \subset \Omega$ and $\Omega \subset \bigcup_{k=0}^N U_k$. Let $(\varphi_k)_{k \in \{0, \dots, N\}}$ be a corresponding partition of unity, i. e. a collection of smooth functions such that for every $k \in \{0, \dots, N\}$

$$0 \leq \varphi_k \leq 1, \quad \text{supp}(\varphi_k) \subset U_k, \quad \sum_{k=0}^N \varphi_k|_\Omega = 1.$$

Let $v \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfy $v(x) = 0$ for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$. By Satz 8.3.3, $v \circ H_k \in W^{1,p}(Q)$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ and it satisfies $v \circ H_k = 0$ in $Q \setminus Q_+$. By Step 1, choosing $\alpha = \varphi_k \circ H_k$, we have $(\varphi_k v) \circ H_k \in W_0^{1,p}(Q_+)$. Let $w_k^{(m)} \in C_c^\infty(Q_+)$ be such that $\|w_k^{(m)} - (\varphi_k v) \circ H_k\|_{W^{1,p}(Q_+)} \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, since $\text{supp}(\varphi_0) \subset U_0 \subset \Omega$, we can approximate $\varphi_0 v$ by $v_0^{(m)} \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ directly using mollification. Then, we have

$$w^{(m)} := v_0^{(m)} + \sum_{k=1}^N (w_k^{(m)} \circ H_k^{-1}) \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$$

and since $v = \sum_{k=0}^N \varphi_k v$ in Ω by partition of unity,

$$\begin{aligned} & \|w^{(m)} - v\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \\ & \leq \|v_0^{(m)} - \varphi_0 v\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} + \sum_{k=1}^N \|w_k^{(m)} \circ H_k^{-1} - \varphi_k v\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} \\ & \leq \|v_0^{(m)} - \varphi_0 v\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)} + \sum_{k=1}^N C \|w_k^{(m)} - (\varphi_k v) \circ H_k\|_{W^{1,p}(Q_+)} \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} 0 \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof of $v|_\Omega \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. \square

Exercise 7.3 Show that the assumption that Ω is of class C^1 cannot be dropped in the characterization of $W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$ given in Exercise 7.2: find a bounded, connected, open set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and $w \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ satisfying $w(x) = 0$ for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \Omega$ such that $w|_\Omega \notin H_0^1(\Omega)$.

Solution. Let $\Omega = (-1, 1)^2 \setminus ([0, 1] \times \{0\})$ and let $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfy $u(x) = 1$ if $|x| < \frac{1}{2}$ and $u(x) = 0$ if $|x| > \frac{3}{4}$. Then $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ and $u(x) = 0$ for almost every $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega$. Suppose by contradiction that there exists a sequence of functions $u_m \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ such that $\|u_m - u\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Let $Q := (0, 1)^2$ and $Q_0 = (0, 1) \times \{0\}$. By Lemma 8.4.2 the trace operator $T: H^1(Q) \rightarrow L^2(Q_0)$ mapping $T: u \mapsto u|_{Q_0}$ is linear and continuous. In particular,

$$\|Tu_m - Tu\|_{L^2(Q_0)} \leq C \|u_m - u\|_{H^1(Q)} \xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

Since $Q_0 \subset \partial\Omega$ implies $Tu_m = u_m|_{Q_0} = 0$, we obtain $u|_{Q_0} = 0$ in $L^2(Q_0)$. This however contradicts the fact that $u(x) = 1$ for $|x| < \frac{1}{2}$. \square

Exercise 7.4 (Hardy's inequalities)

(i) Let $1 < p < \infty$, let $f \in L^p((0, \infty))$ and define

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{x} \int_0^x f(y) dy, \quad \text{for } x > 0.$$

Prove that $g \in L^p((0, \infty))$ with

$$\|g\|_{L^p((0, \infty))} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p((0, \infty))},$$

for some constant $C > 0$ depending only on p .

(ii) Let $n \geq 2$, $1 < p < n$, $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open subset and let $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$. Then the function $x \mapsto \frac{u(x)}{|x|}$ is in $L^p(\Omega)$ with

$$\left\| \frac{u}{|\cdot|} \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq C \|u\|_{W^{1,p}(\Omega)},$$

for a constant $C > 0$ depending only on n and p .

Solution. (i) With a change of variable $y' = \frac{y}{x}$ we may write

$$g(x) = \int_0^1 f(xy) dy.$$

Consequently, using Minkowski's inequality for integrals and the change of variable $z = xy$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|g\|_{L^p((0, \infty))} &= \left(\int_0^\infty |g(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \left(\int_0^\infty \left| \int_0^1 f(xy) dy \right|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^\infty |f(xy)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} dy \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{1}{y^{1/p}} \left(\int_0^\infty |f(z)|^p dz \right)^{1/p} dy = \frac{p}{p-1} \|f\|_{L^p((0, \infty))}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Let us first prove the inequality for $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Using polar coordinates, each $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $x \neq 0$ can be uniquely written as $x = |x|\theta_x$, where $\theta_x = \frac{x}{|x|} \in S^{n-1}$.

By the fundamental theorem of calculus, since u vanishes at infinity we may represent u as

$$u(x) = - \int_{|x|}^{\infty} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}(r\theta_x) dr, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\},$$

where then $\frac{\partial}{\partial r}$ is the radial derivative. Thus, with a change of variable $\rho = \frac{r}{|x|}$, Minkowski's inequality for integrals and again a change of variables $z = \rho x$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{u}{|\cdot|} \right\|_{L^p(\Omega)} &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \frac{1}{|x|} \int_{|x|}^{\infty} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}(r\theta_x) dr \right|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \int_1^{\infty} \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}(\rho x) d\rho \right|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \int_1^{\infty} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial r}(\rho x) \right|^p dx \right)^{1/p} d\rho \\ &= \int_1^{\infty} \frac{1}{\rho^{n/p}} \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} d\rho = \frac{p}{n-p} \left\| \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \end{aligned}$$

It now suffices to recall that gradient in polar coordinates is expressed as

$$|\nabla u| = \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial r} \right| + \frac{1}{r} \left| \frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} \right|,$$

hence $\|\partial_r u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ and this implies in particular the desired inequality in the case $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Now if $u \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)$, we may argue by approximation, noting that if $(u_j)_j \subset C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a sequence approximating u in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, then $x \mapsto \frac{u_j(x)}{|x|}$ converges a.e. to $x \mapsto \frac{u(x)}{|x|}$ and thus, since the sequence is also convergent in L^p , so is its pointwise limit. \square

Hints to Exercises.

7.1 Recall Exercise 5.2.

7.2 For (iii), deal first with the basic case on cylinders.

7.3 Compare with Exercises 5.2 and 7.1.

7.4 Minkowski inequality for integrals: $\| \int f(x, \cdot) dx \|_{L^p} \leq \int \| f(x, \cdot) \|_{L^p} dx$ will be useful.

For (ii), argue first for $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and write u as integral of its radial derivative $u(x) = - \int_{|x|}^\infty \partial_r u(r\theta_x) dr$.