

# Introduction to Mathematical Finance

## Exercise sheet 10

**Exercise 10.1** (Mean-variance hedging). Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space with a filtration  $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_k)_{k=0,1,\dots,T}$ . Suppose that the discounted price process  $X$  satisfies  $E[(\Delta X_k)^2 | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] < \infty$   $P$ -a.s. for all  $k$ . Define

$$\mathcal{A} := \left\{ \text{all predictable processes } \vartheta = (\vartheta_k)_{k=1,\dots,T} : (\vartheta \bullet X)_k \in L^2 \text{ for } k = 1, \dots, T \right\}.$$

Let  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $H \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_T)$ . Mean-variance hedging (MVH) is the problem of approximating, with minimal mean squared error, a given payoff by the final value of a self-financing trading strategy in a financial market. We thus consider the problem

$$\min_{\vartheta \in \mathcal{A}} E[(H - c - (\vartheta \bullet X)_T)^2] \quad (1)$$

The goal of this exercise is to construct a candidate for the optimal strategy using the MOP. For  $\vartheta \in \mathcal{A}$ , we set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_k(\vartheta) &:= \{\vartheta' \in \mathcal{A} : \vartheta'_j = \vartheta_j \text{ for } j \leq k\}, \\ \mathcal{A}_k &:= \mathcal{A}_k(0) = \{\vartheta' \in \mathcal{A} : \vartheta'_j = 0 \text{ for } j \leq k\}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $v_k \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_k)$ , we define

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_k(v_k, \vartheta') &:= E \left[ \left( H - v_k - \sum_{j=k+1}^T \vartheta'_j \Delta X_j \right)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_k \right], \\ V_k(v_k) &:= \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{\vartheta' \in \mathcal{A}_k} \Gamma_k(v_k, \vartheta'). \end{aligned}$$

(a) Show that for each  $k$  and each  $v_k \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_k)$ , the collection of random variables

$$\Lambda_k(v_k) := \left\{ \Gamma_k(v_k, \vartheta') : \vartheta' \in \mathcal{A}_k(0) \right\}$$

is closed under taking minima.

(b) Show that for fixed  $\vartheta \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , the process  $(V_k(x + (\vartheta \bullet X)_k))_{k=0,\dots,T}$  is a submartingale. *Hint: Use Corollary 2 from Appendix E*

(c) Show that  $\vartheta^* \in \mathcal{A}$  is optimal if and only if the process  $(V_k(c + (\vartheta^* \bullet X)_k))_{k=0,\dots,T}$  is a martingale.

(d) Show that  $(V_k)$  satisfies the recursion

$$V_{k-1}(x) = \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{\vartheta' \in \mathcal{A}_{k-1}} E[V_k(x + \vartheta'_k \Delta X_k) | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]$$

with  $V_T(x) = (H - x)^2$ .

### Solution 10.1

(a) Let  $\vartheta^1, \vartheta^2 \in \mathcal{A}_k(0)$ . Define

$$\vartheta^3 := \vartheta^1 \mathbf{1}_A + \vartheta^2 \mathbf{1}_{A^c},$$

where  $A := \{\Gamma_k(v_k, \vartheta^1) \leq \Gamma_k(v_k, \vartheta^2)\}$ . We have to show that  $\vartheta^3 \in \mathcal{A}_k(0)$  and  $\Gamma_k(v_k, \vartheta^3) = \min\{\Gamma_k(v_k, \vartheta^1), \Gamma_k(v_k, \vartheta^2)\}$ . Since  $\vartheta^1 \in \mathcal{A}_k(0)$  and  $\vartheta^2 \in \mathcal{A}_k(0)$  we clearly have  $\vartheta_j^3 = 0$  for  $j \leq k$ . Moreover, using that  $(\vartheta^i \bullet X)_k \in L^2$  for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $(\vartheta^3 \bullet X)_k = \mathbf{1}_A(\vartheta^1 \bullet X)_k + \mathbf{1}_{A^c}(\vartheta^2 \bullet X)_k$ , we have  $(\vartheta^3 \bullet X)_k \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_k)$  for each  $k$ . This gives  $\vartheta^3 \in \mathcal{A}_k(0)$ . Further note that

$$\begin{aligned} H - v_k - \sum_{j=k+1}^T \vartheta_j^3 \Delta X_j &= \mathbf{1}_A \left( H - v_k - \sum_{j=k+1}^T \vartheta_j^1 \Delta X_j \right) \\ &\quad + \mathbf{1}_{A^c} \left( H - v_k - \sum_{j=k+1}^T \vartheta_j^2 \Delta X_j \right) \end{aligned}$$

is also in  $L^2(\mathcal{F}_T)$  for each  $k$  and hence  $\Gamma_k(v_k, \vartheta^3)$  is well-defined. Finally, since  $A \in \mathcal{F}_k$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_k(v_k, \vartheta^3) &= E \left[ \left( H - v_k - \sum_{j=k+1}^T \vartheta_j^3 \Delta X_j \right)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_k \right] \\ &= \mathbf{1}_A \Gamma_k(v_k, \vartheta^1) + \mathbf{1}_{A^c} \Gamma_k(v_k, \vartheta^2) \\ &= \min \left\{ \Gamma_k(v_k, \vartheta^1), \Gamma_k(v_k, \vartheta^2) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

(b) Fix  $k \leq \ell$ . We apply part (a) with  $v_k = x + (\vartheta \bullet X)_k \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_k)$ . So Corollary E.2 yields

$$\begin{aligned} V_\ell(x + (\vartheta \bullet X)_\ell) &= \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{\vartheta' \in \mathcal{A}_\ell(0)} \Gamma_\ell(x + (\vartheta \bullet X)_\ell, \vartheta') \\ &= \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{\vartheta' \in \mathcal{A}_\ell(0)} E \left[ \left( H - x - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \vartheta_j \Delta X_j - \sum_{j=\ell+1}^T \vartheta'_j \Delta X_j \right)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_\ell \right] \\ &= \downarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E \left[ \left( H - x - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \vartheta_j \Delta X_j - \sum_{j=\ell+1}^T \vartheta_j^n \Delta X_j \right)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_\ell \right] \end{aligned}$$

for a sequence  $(\vartheta^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_\ell(0) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_k(0)$ . Note that  $\Gamma_\ell(x + \vartheta \bullet X_\ell, \vartheta^n)$  is in  $L^1$  due to the definitions of  $\vartheta, (\vartheta^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Then using monotone convergence, the tower property and  $(\vartheta^n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_\ell(0) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_k(0)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
E[V_\ell(x + (\vartheta \bullet X)_\ell) | \mathcal{F}_k] &= E \left[ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E \left[ \left( H - x - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \vartheta_j \Delta X_j - \sum_{j=\ell+1}^T \vartheta_j^n \Delta X_j \right)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_\ell \right] \middle| \mathcal{F}_k \right] \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E \left[ E \left[ \left( H - x - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \vartheta_j \Delta X_j - \sum_{j=\ell+1}^T \vartheta_j^n \Delta X_j \right)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_\ell \right] \middle| \mathcal{F}_k \right] \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E \left[ \left( H - x - \sum_{j=1}^k \vartheta_j \Delta X_j - \sum_{j=k+1}^T \vartheta_j^n \Delta X_j \right)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_k \right] \\
&\geq \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{\vartheta' \in \mathcal{A}_k(0)} E \left[ \left( H - x - \sum_{j=1}^k \vartheta_j \Delta X_j - \sum_{j=k+1}^T \vartheta'_j \Delta X_j \right)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_k \right] \\
&= V_k(x + (\vartheta \bullet X)_k),
\end{aligned}$$

and so we have the submartingale property. The integrability then follows from

$$V_T(x + (\vartheta \bullet X)_T) = (H - x - (\vartheta \bullet X)_T)^2 \in L^1.$$

*Remark: note that  $V_k$  is a non-negative random variable by definition of  $\Gamma_k$*

- (c) “ $\Rightarrow$ ” Let  $\vartheta^* \in \mathcal{A}$  be optimal. We already know that  $(V_k(x + (\vartheta^* \bullet X)_k))_{k=0, \dots, T}$  is a submartingale. To show that it is a martingale, we thus only need to show that

$$E[V_T(c + (\vartheta^* \bullet X)_T)] = E[V_0(c)].$$

By the optimality of  $\vartheta^*$ , we have as in the lecture

$$\begin{aligned}
E[V_0(c)] &= E \left[ \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{\vartheta \in \mathcal{A}_0} E[(H - c - (\vartheta \bullet X)_T)^2 | \mathcal{F}_0] \right] \\
&= \inf_{\vartheta \in \mathcal{A}} E[(H - c - (\vartheta \bullet X)_T)^2] \\
&= E[(H - c - (\vartheta^* \bullet X)_T)^2] = E[V_T(c + (\vartheta^* \bullet X)_T)].
\end{aligned}$$

This gives the desired equality.

“ $\Leftarrow$ ” Suppose that  $(V_k(x + (\vartheta^* \bullet X)_k))_{k=0, \dots, T}$  is a martingale. Then using  $V_T(c + (\vartheta^* \bullet X)_T) = (H - c - (\vartheta^* \bullet X)_T)^2$  gives

$$E[V_0(c)] = E[V_T(c + (\vartheta^* \bullet X)_T)] = E[(H - c - (\vartheta^* \bullet X)_T)^2]$$

Moreover, the same argument as above shows that

$$E[V_0(C)] = \inf_{\vartheta \in \mathcal{A}} E[(H - c - (\vartheta \bullet X)_T)^2],$$

which implies that  $\vartheta^*$  is optimal.

- (d) By part (b), we have for every fixed  $\vartheta' \in \mathcal{A}_{k-1}$  that the process  $V(x + (\vartheta' \bullet X))$  is a submartingale. So using  $\vartheta' \in \mathcal{A}_{k-1}$ , we get

$$V_{k-1}(x) = V_{k-1}(x + (\vartheta' \bullet X)_{k-1}) \leq E[V_k(x + (\vartheta' \bullet X)_k) | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] = E[V_k(x + \vartheta'_k \Delta X_k) | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}].$$

Taking ess inf yields

$$V_{k-1}(x) \leq \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{\vartheta' \in \mathcal{A}_{k-1}} E[V_k(x + \vartheta'_k \Delta X_k) | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}].$$

To show “ $\geq$ ”, we fix  $\vartheta \in \mathcal{A}_{k-1}(0)$  and then compute

$$\begin{aligned} E[V_k(x + \vartheta_k \Delta X_k) | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] &\leq E \left[ E \left[ \left( H - (x + \vartheta_k \Delta X_k) - \sum_{j=k+1}^T \vartheta_j \Delta X_j \right)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_k \right] \middle| \mathcal{F}_{k-1} \right] \\ &= E \left[ \left( H - x - \sum_{j=k}^T \vartheta_j \Delta X_j \right)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_{k-1} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where the inequality is obtained by observing that the strategy given by  $\tilde{\vartheta}_j = 0$  for  $j \leq k$  and  $\tilde{\vartheta}_j = \vartheta_j$  is in  $\mathcal{A}_k(0)$ . Taking ess inf on both sides, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{\vartheta \in \mathcal{A}_{k-1}} E[V_k(x + \vartheta_k \Delta X_k) | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] \\ \leq \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{\vartheta \in \mathcal{A}_{k-1}} E \left[ \left( H - x - \sum_{j=k}^T \vartheta_j \Delta X_j \right)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_{k-1} \right] = V_{k-1}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Finally  $V_T(x) = (H - x)^2$  is clear by definition of  $V_T(x)$ .

**Exercise 10.2** (Mean-variance hedging continued).

- (a) Prove by backward induction that

$$V_k(x) = A_k x^2 + 2B_k x + C_k,$$

where  $A_k, B_k, C_k$  are  $\mathcal{F}_k$ -measurable random variables with  $0 \leq A_k \leq 1$  and  $A_T = 1, B_T = -H, C_T = H^2$ .

- (b) Use the Dynamic Programming Principle to construct a candidate for an optimal strategy
- $\vartheta^*$
- .

**Solution 10.2**

- (a)
- Base:*
- For
- $k = T$
- , we have
- $V_T(x) = (H - x)^2 = x^2 - 2Hx + H^2$
- . So
- $A_T = 1, B_T = -H$
- , and
- $C_T = H^2$
- .

*Induction step:* Suppose that  $V_k(x) = A_k x^2 + 2B_k x + C_k$  with  $0 \leq A_k \leq 1$ . By part (d) in the previous exercise, we need to compute

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{\vartheta \in \mathcal{A}_{k-1}} E \left[ V_k(x + \vartheta_k \Delta X_k) \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1} \right] &= \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{\vartheta \in \mathcal{A}_{k-1}} E[A_k(x + \vartheta_k \Delta X_k)^2 \\ &\quad + 2B_k(x + \vartheta_k \Delta X_k) + C_k \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] \\ &= \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{\vartheta \in \mathcal{A}_{k-1}} \{ E[A_k x^2 + 2B_k x + C_k \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] \\ &\quad + 2\vartheta_k E[x A_k \Delta X_k + B_k \Delta X_k \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] \\ &\quad + \vartheta_k^2 E[A_k (\Delta X_k)^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] \}. \end{aligned}$$

This is optimisation of a quadratic polynomial and it depends on whether the leading coefficient is 0 or not.

On the event  $G_k := \{E[A_k(\Delta X_k)^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] = 0\}$ , we first observe by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for conditional expectations that

$$E[A_k \Delta X_k \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]^2 = E[\sqrt{A_k} \sqrt{A_k} \Delta X_k \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]^2 \leq E[A_k \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] E[A_k (\Delta X_k)^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] = 0.$$

On the other hand, note that  $B_k^2 \leq A_k C_k$  because  $V_k(x) \geq 0$ . This implies  $\{A_k = 0\} \subseteq \{B_k = 0\}$ . We have

$$E[A_k (\Delta X_k)^2 \mathbf{1}_{G_k}] = E[E[A_k (\Delta X_k)^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] \mathbf{1}_{G_k}] = 0.$$

Using  $A_k (\Delta X_k)^2 \mathbf{1}_{G_k} \geq 0$   $P$ -a.s., we obtain  $A_k (\Delta X_k)^2 \mathbf{1}_{G_k} = 0$   $P$ -a.s. Thus  $B_k (\Delta X_k)^2 \mathbf{1}_{G_k} = 0$   $P$ -a.s. and hence  $B_k \Delta X_k \mathbf{1}_{G_k} = 0$   $P$ -a.s. This yields  $E[B_k \Delta X_k \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] \mathbf{1}_{G_k} = 0$   $P$ -a.s. To sum up, we obtain the implication

$$E[A_k (\Delta X_k)^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] = 0 \implies E[A_k \Delta X_k \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] = 0 \text{ and } E[B_k \Delta X_k \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] = 0.$$

Now the optimisation problem on  $G_k$  becomes

$$\begin{aligned} V_{k-1}(x) &= \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{\vartheta' \in \mathcal{A}_{k-1}} E \left[ V_k(x + \vartheta'_k \Delta X_k) \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1} \right] \\ &= \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{\vartheta' \in \mathcal{A}_{k-1}} E[A_k x^2 + 2B_k x + C_k \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] \\ &= E[A_k x^2 + 2B_k x + C_k \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $V_{k-1}(x) = A_{k-1}x^2 + 2B_{k-1}x + C_{k-1}$  with  $A_{k-1} = E[A_k \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]$ ,  $B_{k-1} = E[B_k \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]$ ,  $C_{k-1} = E[C_k \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]$ . This yields  $0 \leq A_{k-1} \leq 1$  and verifies the induction step.

On  $G_k^c = \{E[A_k(\Delta X_k)^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] \neq 0\}$ , the optimiser is

$$\vartheta_k(x) = -\frac{E[(xA_k + B_k)\Delta X_k \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]}{E[A_k(\Delta X_k)^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]}.$$

Setting  $0/0 := 0$ , we make  $\vartheta_k(x)$  well defined on both  $G_k$  and  $G_k^c$ . Now substituting  $\vartheta_k(x)$  in the above gives

$$\begin{aligned} V_{k-1}(x) &= E[A_k x^2 + 2B_k x + C_k \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] - 2 \frac{(E[(xA_k + B_k)\Delta X_k \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}])^2}{E[A_k(\Delta X_k)^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]} \\ &\quad + \left( \frac{E[(xA_k + B_k)\Delta X_k \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]}{E[A_k(\Delta X_k)^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]} \right)^2 E[A_k(\Delta X_k)^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] \\ &= E[A_k x^2 + 2B_k x + C_k \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] - \frac{(E[(xA_k + B_k)\Delta X_k \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}])^2}{E[A_k(\Delta X_k)^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]} \\ &= x^2 \left( E[A_k \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] - \frac{(E[A_k \Delta X_k \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}])^2}{E[A_k(\Delta X_k)^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]} \right) \\ &\quad + x \left( 2E[B_k \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] - 2 \frac{E[A_k \Delta X_k \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]E[B_k \Delta X_k \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]}{E[A_k(\Delta X_k)^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]} \right) \\ &\quad + \left( E[C_k \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] - \frac{(E[B_k \Delta X_k \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}])^2}{E[A_k(\Delta X_k)^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]} \right). \end{aligned}$$

So set

$$\begin{aligned} A_{k-1} &:= E[A_k \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] - \frac{(E[A_k \Delta X_k \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}])^2}{E[A_k(\Delta X_k)^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]} \\ B_{k-1} &:= E[B_k \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] - \frac{E[A_k \Delta X_k \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]E[B_k \Delta X_k \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]}{E[A_k(\Delta X_k)^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]} \\ C_{k-1} &:= E[C_k \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] - \frac{(E[B_k \Delta X_k \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}])^2}{E[A_k(\Delta X_k)^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]} \end{aligned}$$

We then have in general

$$V_{k-1}(x) = A_{k-1}x^2 + 2B_{k-1}x + C_{k-1},$$

as well as  $0 \leq A_{k-1} \leq 1$  which proves the induction step.

- (b) Note by Dynamic Programming Principle (Exercise 10.1(d)) and part (a), we have

$$\begin{aligned} V_{k-1}(v_{k-1}) &= \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{\vartheta_k} E[V_k(v_{k-1} + \vartheta_k \Delta X_k) | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] \\ &= \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{\vartheta_k} E[A_k(v_{k-1} + \vartheta_k \Delta X_k)^2 + 2B_k(v_{k-1} + \vartheta_k \Delta X_k) + C_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]. \end{aligned}$$

Setting the differential w.r.t  $\vartheta_k$  to 0, we see that the first order condition is

$$2E[A_k(v_{k-1} + \vartheta_k \Delta X_k) \Delta X_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] + 2E[B_k \Delta X_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] = 0.$$

Using measurability of  $A_k$ ,  $B_k$ ,  $C_k$  and predictability of the strategy  $\vartheta$  we get that the optimal  $\vartheta_k$  for a given  $v_{k-1}$  is

$$\vartheta_k^*(v_{k-1}) = -\frac{E[B_k \Delta X_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]}{E[A_k (\Delta X_k)^2 | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]} - \frac{E[A_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]}{E[A_k (\Delta X_k)^2 | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]} v_{k-1}$$

Finally since  $v_{k-1} = c + (\vartheta^* \bullet X)_{k-1}$ , we have

$$\vartheta_k^* := -\frac{E[B_k \Delta X_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]}{E[A_k (\Delta X_k)^2 | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]} - \frac{E[A_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]}{E[A_k (\Delta X_k)^2 | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]} (c + (\vartheta^* \bullet X)_{k-1}).$$

Thus  $\vartheta_k^*$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, T$  give a candidate for an optimal strategy.