## Introduction to Mathematical Finance Solution sheet 10

## Solution 10.1

(a) Let  $\vartheta^1, \vartheta^2 \in \mathcal{A}_k(0)$ . Define

$$\vartheta^3 := \vartheta^1 \mathbb{1}_A + \vartheta^2 \mathbb{1}_{A^c},$$

where  $A := \{\Gamma_k(v_k, \vartheta^1) \leq \Gamma_k(v_k, \vartheta^2)\}$ . We have to show that  $\vartheta^3 \in \mathcal{A}_k(0)$  and  $\Gamma_k(v_k, \vartheta^3) = \min \{\Gamma_k(v_k, \vartheta^1), \Gamma_k(v_k, \vartheta^2)\}$ . Since  $\vartheta^1 \in \mathcal{A}_k(0)$  and  $\vartheta^2 \in \mathcal{A}_k(0)$  we clearly have  $\vartheta_j^3 = 0$  for  $j \leq k$ . Moreover, using that  $(\vartheta^i \bullet X)_k \in L^2$  for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ and  $(\vartheta^3 \bullet X)_k = \mathbbm{1}_A(\vartheta^1 \bullet X)_k + \mathbbm{1}_{A^c}(\vartheta^2 \bullet X)_k$ , we have  $(\vartheta^3 \bullet X)_k \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_k)$  for each k. This gives  $\vartheta^3 \in \mathcal{A}_k(0)$ . Further note that

$$H - v_k - \sum_{j=k+1}^T \vartheta_j^3 \triangle X_j = \mathbb{1}_A \left( H - v_k - \sum_{j=k+1}^T \vartheta_j^1 \triangle X_j \right) \\ + \mathbb{1}_{A^c} \left( H - v_k - \sum_{j=k+1}^T \vartheta_j^2 \triangle X_j \right)$$

is also in  $L^2(\mathcal{F}_T)$  for each k and hence  $\Gamma_k(v_k, \vartheta^3)$  is well-defined. Finally, since  $A \in \mathcal{F}_k$ , we obtain

$$\Gamma_{k}(v_{k},\vartheta^{3}) = E\left[\left(H - v_{k} - \sum_{j=k+1}^{T} \vartheta_{j}^{3} \bigtriangleup X_{j}\right)^{2} \middle| \mathcal{F}_{k} \right]$$
$$= \mathbb{1}_{A}\Gamma_{k}(v_{k},\vartheta^{1}) + \mathbb{1}_{A^{c}}\Gamma_{k}(v_{k},\vartheta^{2})$$
$$= \min\left\{\Gamma_{k}(v_{k},\vartheta^{1}),\Gamma_{k}(v_{k},\vartheta^{2})\right\}.$$

(b) Fix  $k \leq \ell$ . We apply part (a) with  $v_k = x + (\vartheta \bullet X)_k \in L^2(\mathcal{F}_k)$ . So Corollary E.2 yields

$$V_{\ell}(x + (\vartheta \bullet X)_{\ell}) = \underset{\vartheta' \in \mathcal{A}_{\ell}(0)}{\operatorname{ess inf}} \Gamma_{\ell}(x + (\vartheta \bullet X)_{\ell}, \vartheta')$$
  
$$= \underset{\vartheta' \in \mathcal{A}_{\ell}(0)}{\operatorname{ess inf}} E\Big[\Big(H - x - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \vartheta_{j} \bigtriangleup X_{j} - \sum_{j=\ell+1}^{T} \vartheta'_{j} \bigtriangleup X_{j}\Big)^{2}\Big|\mathcal{F}_{\ell}\Big]$$
  
$$= \downarrow \underset{n \to \infty}{\operatorname{lim}} E\Big[\Big(H - x - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \vartheta_{j} \bigtriangleup X_{j} - \sum_{j=\ell+1}^{T} \vartheta^{n}_{j} \bigtriangleup X_{j}\Big)^{2}\Big|\mathcal{F}_{\ell}\Big]$$

Updated: May 17, 2020

1 / 5

for a sequence  $(\vartheta^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{\ell}(0) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_k(0)$ . Note that  $\Gamma_{\ell}(x + \vartheta \bullet X_{\ell}, \vartheta^n)$  is in  $L^1$  due to the definitions of  $\vartheta, (\vartheta^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ . Then using monotone convergence, the tower property and  $(\vartheta^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{\ell}(0) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_k(0)$ , we have

$$E[V_{\ell}(x + (\vartheta \bullet X)_{\ell})|\mathcal{F}_{k}] = E\left[\lim_{n \to \infty} E\left[\left(H - x - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \vartheta_{j} \bigtriangleup X_{j} - \sum_{j=\ell+1}^{T} \vartheta_{j}^{n} \bigtriangleup X_{j}\right)^{2} \middle|\mathcal{F}_{\ell}\right] \middle|\mathcal{F}_{k}\right]$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} E\left[E\left[\left(H - x - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \vartheta_{j} \bigtriangleup X_{j} - \sum_{j=\ell+1}^{T} \vartheta_{j}^{n} \bigtriangleup X_{j}\right)^{2} \middle|\mathcal{F}_{\ell}\right] \middle|\mathcal{F}_{k}\right]$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} E\left[\left(H - x - \sum_{j=1}^{k} \vartheta_{j} \bigtriangleup X_{j} - \sum_{j=k+1}^{T} \vartheta_{j}^{n} \bigtriangleup X_{j}\right)^{2} \middle|\mathcal{F}_{k}\right]$$

$$\geq \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{\vartheta' \in \mathcal{A}_{k}(0)} E\left[\left(H - x - \sum_{j=1}^{k} \vartheta_{j} \bigtriangleup X_{j} - \sum_{j=k+1}^{T} \vartheta_{j}^{n} \bigtriangleup X_{j}\right)^{2} \middle|\mathcal{F}_{k}\right]$$

$$= V_{k}(x + (\vartheta \bullet X)_{k}),$$

and so we have the submartingale property. The integrability then follows from

$$V_T(x + (\vartheta \bullet X)_T) = (H - x - (\vartheta \bullet X)_T)^2 \in L^1.$$

Remark: note that  $V_k$  is a non-negative random variable by definition of  $\Gamma_k$ 

(c) " $\Rightarrow$ " Let  $\vartheta^* \in \mathcal{A}$  be optimal. We already know that  $(V_k(x + (\vartheta^* \bullet X)_k))_{k=0,...,T}$  is a submartingale. To show that it is a martingale, we thus only need to show that

$$E[V_T(c + (\vartheta^* \bullet X)_T)] = E[V_0(c)].$$

By the optimality of  $\vartheta^*$ , we have as in the lecture

$$E[V_0(c)] = E\left[\underset{\vartheta \in \mathcal{A}_0}{\operatorname{ess inf}} E[(H - c - (\vartheta \bullet X)_T)^2 | \mathcal{F}_0]\right]$$
  
= 
$$\inf_{\vartheta \in \mathcal{A}} E[(H - c - (\vartheta \bullet X)_T)^2]$$
  
= 
$$E[(H - c - (\vartheta^* \bullet X)_T)^2] = E[V_T(c + (\vartheta^* \bullet X)_T)].$$

This gives the desired equality.

"⇐" Suppose that  $(V_k(x + (\vartheta^* \bullet X)_k))_{k=0,...,T}$  is a martingale. Then using  $V_T(c + (\vartheta^* \bullet X)_T) = (H - c - (\vartheta^* \bullet X)_T)^2$  gives

$$E[V_0(c)] = E[V_T(c + (\vartheta^* \bullet X)_T)] = E[(H - c - (\vartheta^* \bullet X)_T)^2]$$

Moreover, the same argument as above shows that

$$E[V_0(C)] = \inf_{\vartheta \in \mathcal{A}} E[(H - c - (\vartheta \bullet X)_T)^2],$$

which implies that  $\vartheta^*$  is optimal.

Updated: May 17, 2020

2 / 5

(d) By part (b), we have for every fixed  $\vartheta' \in \mathcal{A}_{k-1}$  that the process  $V(x + (\vartheta' \bullet X))$  is a submartingale. So using  $\vartheta' \in \mathcal{A}_{k-1}$ , we get

$$V_{k-1}(x) = V_{k-1}(x + (\vartheta' \bullet X)_{k-1}) \le E[V_k(x + (\vartheta' \bullet X)_k))|\mathcal{F}_{k-1}] = E[V_k(x + \vartheta'_k \triangle X_k)|\mathcal{F}_{k-1}]$$

Taking essinf yields

$$V_{k-1}(x) \leq \underset{\vartheta' \in \mathcal{A}_{k-1}}{\operatorname{ess\,inf}} E[V_k(x + \vartheta'_k \triangle X_k) | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}].$$

To show " $\geq$ ", we fix  $\vartheta \in \mathcal{A}_{k-1}(0)$  and then compute

$$E[V_k(x+\vartheta_k \triangle X_k)|\mathcal{F}_{k-1}] \leq E\left[E\left[\left(H - (x+\vartheta_k \triangle X_k) - \sum_{j=k+1}^T \vartheta_j \triangle X_j\right)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_k\right] \middle| \mathcal{F}_{k-1}\right]$$
$$= E\left[\left(H - x - \sum_{j=k}^T \vartheta_j \triangle X_j\right)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_{k-1}\right],$$

where the inequality is obtained by observing that the strategy given by  $\tilde{\vartheta}_j = 0$ for  $j \leq k$  and  $\tilde{\vartheta}_j = \vartheta_j$  is in  $\mathcal{A}_k(0)$ . Taking essinf on both sides, we get

$$\underset{\vartheta \in \mathcal{A}_{k-1}}{\operatorname{ess\,inf}} E[V_k(x + \vartheta_k \triangle X_k) | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] \\ \leq \underset{\vartheta \in \mathcal{A}_{k-1}}{\operatorname{ess\,inf}} E\left[\left(H - x - \sum_{j=k}^T \vartheta_j \triangle X_j\right)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_{k-1}\right] = V_{k-1}(x).$$

Finally  $V_T(x) = (H - x)^2$  is clear by definition of  $V_T(x)$ .

## Solution 10.2

(a) *Base:* For k = T, we have  $V_T(x) = (H - x)^2 = x^2 - 2Hx + H^2$ . So  $A_T = 1, B_T = -H$ , and  $C_T = H^2$ .

Induction step: Suppose that  $V_k(x) = A_k x^2 + 2B_k x + C_k$  with  $0 \le A_k \le 1$ . By part (d) in the previous exercise, we need to compute

$$\begin{aligned} \underset{\vartheta \in \mathcal{A}_{k-1}}{\operatorname{ess\,inf}} E\left[V_k(x + \vartheta_k \triangle X_k) \,|\, \mathcal{F}_{k-1}\right] &= \underset{\vartheta \in \mathcal{A}_{k-1}}{\operatorname{ess\,inf}} E[A_k(x + \vartheta_k \triangle X_k)^2 \\ &\quad + 2B_k(x + \vartheta_k \triangle X_k) + C_k |\mathcal{F}_{k-1}] \\ &= \underset{\vartheta \in \mathcal{A}_{k-1}}{\operatorname{ess\,inf}} \{E[A_k x^2 + 2B_k x + C_k |\mathcal{F}_{k-1}] \\ &\quad + 2\vartheta_k E[xA_k \triangle X_k + B_k \triangle X_k |\mathcal{F}_{k-1}] \\ &\quad + \vartheta_k^2 E[A_k(\triangle X_k)^2 |\mathcal{F}_{k-1}]\}. \end{aligned}$$

This is optimisation of a quadratic polynomial and it depends on whether the leading coefficient is 0 or not.

Updated: May 17, 2020

On the event  $G_k := \{ E[A_k(\Delta X_k)^2 | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] = 0 \}$ , we first observe by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for conditional expectations that

$$E[A_k \triangle X_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]^2 = E[\sqrt{A_k} \sqrt{A_k} \triangle X_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]^2 \le E[A_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] E[A_k (\triangle X_k)^2 | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] = 0.$$

On the other hand, note that  $B_k^2 \leq A_k C_k$  because  $V_k(x) \geq 0$ . This implies  $\{A_k = 0\} \subseteq \{B_k = 0\}$ . We have

$$E[A_k(\triangle X_k)^2 \mathbb{1}_{G_k}] = E[E[A_k(\triangle X_k)^2 | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] \mathbb{1}_{G_k}] = 0.$$

Using  $A_k(\Delta X_k)^2 \mathbb{1}_{G_k} \geq 0$  *P*-a.s., we obtain  $A_k(\Delta X_k)^2 \mathbb{1}_{G_k} = 0$  *P*-a.s. Thus  $B_k(\Delta X_k)^2 \mathbb{1}_{G_k} = 0$  *P*-a.s. and hence  $B_k \Delta X_k \mathbb{1}_{G_k} = 0$  *P*-a.s. This yields  $E[B_k \Delta X_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] \mathbb{1}_{G_k} = 0$  *P*-a.s. To sum up, we obtain the implication

$$E[A_k(\triangle X_k)^2 | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] = 0 \implies E[A_k \triangle X_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] = 0 \text{ and } E[B_k \triangle X_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] = 0.$$

Now the optimisation problem on  $G_k$  becomes

$$V_{k-1}(x) = \underset{\vartheta' \in \mathcal{A}_{k-1}}{\operatorname{ess inf}} E\left[V_k(x + \vartheta'_k \triangle X_k) \left| \mathcal{F}_{k-1} \right]\right]$$
$$= \underset{\vartheta' \in \mathcal{A}_{k-1}}{\operatorname{ess inf}} E[A_k x^2 + 2B_k x + C_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]$$
$$= E[A_k x^2 + 2B_k x + C_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}].$$

Thus  $V_{k-1}(x) = A_{k-1}x^2 + 2B_{k-1}x + C_{k-1}$  with  $A_{k-1} = E[A_k|\mathcal{F}_{k-1}], B_{k-1} = E[B_k|\mathcal{F}_{k-1}], C_{k-1} = E[C_k|\mathcal{F}_{k-1}]$ . This yields  $0 \le A_{k-1} \le 1$  and verifies the induction step.

On  $G_k^c = \{ E[A_k(\triangle X_k)^2 | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] \neq 0 \}$ , the optimiser is

$$\vartheta_k(x) = -\frac{E[(xA_k + B_k) \triangle X_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]}{E[A_k(\triangle X_k)^2 | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]}.$$

Setting 0/0 := 0, we make  $\vartheta_k(x)$  well defined on both  $G_k$  and  $G_k^c$ . Now substituting  $\vartheta_k(x)$  in the above gives

$$\begin{split} V_{k-1}(x) &= E[A_k x^2 + 2B_k x + C_k |\mathcal{F}_{k-1}] - 2 \frac{(E[(xA_k + B_k) \triangle X_k |\mathcal{F}_{k-1}])^2}{E[A_k (\triangle X_k)^2 |\mathcal{F}_{k-1}]} \\ &+ \left(\frac{E[(xA_k + B_k) \triangle X_k |\mathcal{F}_{k-1}]}{E[A_k (\triangle X_k)^2 |\mathcal{F}_{k-1}]}\right)^2 E[A_k (\triangle X_k)^2 |\mathcal{F}_{k-1}] \\ &= E[A_k x^2 + 2B_k x + C_k |\mathcal{F}_{k-1}] - \frac{(E[(xA_k + B_k) \triangle X_k |\mathcal{F}_{k-1}])^2}{E[A_k (\triangle X_k)^2 |\mathcal{F}_{k-1}]} \\ &= x^2 \left(E[A_k |\mathcal{F}_{k-1}] - \frac{(E[A_k \triangle X_k |\mathcal{F}_{k-1}])^2}{E[A_k (\triangle X_k)^2 |\mathcal{F}_{k-1}]}\right) \\ &+ x \left(2E[B_k |\mathcal{F}_{k-1}] - 2\frac{E[A_k \triangle X_k |\mathcal{F}_{k-1}]E[B_k \triangle X_k |\mathcal{F}_{k-1}]}{E[A_k (\triangle X_k)^2 |\mathcal{F}_{k-1}]}\right) \\ &+ \left(E[C_k |\mathcal{F}_{k-1}] - \frac{(E[B_k \triangle X_k |\mathcal{F}_{k-1}])^2}{E[A_k (\triangle X_k)^2 |\mathcal{F}_{k-1}]}\right). \end{split}$$

Updated: May 17, 2020

4 / 5

So set

$$A_{k-1} := E[A_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] - \frac{(E[A_k \triangle X_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}])^2}{E[A_k (\triangle X_k)^2 | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]}$$
  

$$B_{k-1} := E[B_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] - \frac{E[A_k \triangle X_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] E[B_k \triangle X_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]}{E[A_k (\triangle X_k)^2 | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]}$$
  

$$C_{k-1} := E[C_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] - \frac{(E[B_k \triangle X_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}])^2}{E[A_k (\triangle X_k)^2 | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]}.$$

We then have in general

$$V_{k-1}(x) = A_{k-1}x^2 + 2B_{k-1}x + C_{k-1},$$

as well as  $0 \le A_{k-1} \le 1$  which proves the induction step.

(b) Note by Dynamic Programming Principle (Exercise 10.1(d)) and part (a), we have

$$V_{k-1}(v_{k-1}) = \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{\vartheta_k} E[V_k(v_{k-1} + \vartheta_k \triangle X_k) | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]$$
  
= 
$$\operatorname{ess\,inf}_{\vartheta_k} E[A_k(v_{k-1} + \vartheta_k \triangle X_k)^2 + 2B_k(v_{k-1} + \vartheta_k \triangle X_k) + C_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}].$$

Setting the differential w.r.t  $\vartheta_k$  to 0, we see that the first order condition is

$$2E[A_k(v_{k-1} + \vartheta_k \triangle X_k) \triangle X_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] + 2E[B_k \triangle X_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}] = 0.$$

Using measurability of  $A_k$ ,  $B_k$ ,  $C_k$  and predictability of the strategy  $\vartheta$  we get that the optimal  $\vartheta_k$  for a given  $v_{k-1}$  is

$$\vartheta_k^*(v_{k-1}) = -\frac{E[B_k \triangle X_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]}{E[A_k(\triangle X_k)^2 | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]} - \frac{E[A_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]}{E[A_k(\triangle X_k)^2 | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]}v_{k-1}$$

Finally since  $v_{k-1} = c + (\vartheta^* \bullet X)_{k-1}$ , we have

$$\vartheta_k^* := -\frac{E[B_k \triangle X_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]}{E[A_k (\triangle X_k)^2 | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]} - \frac{E[A_k | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]}{E[A_k (\triangle X_k)^2 | \mathcal{F}_{k-1}]} (c + (\vartheta^* \bullet X)_{k-1}).$$

Thus  $\vartheta_k^*$ ,  $k = 1, \ldots, T$  give a candidate for an optimal strategy.