SOLUTION EXERCISE SHEET 6

Exercise 1.(Quotients of Lie groups):

Let *G* be a Lie group and let $K \leq G$ be a closed normal subgroup.

Show that G/K can be equipped with a Lie group structure such that the quotient map $\pi: G \to G/K$ is a surjective Lie group homomorphism with kernel K.

Solution: From the lecture we know that there exists a suitable neighborhood $U \subset \mathfrak{g}$ of the origin such that $\exp|_U : U \to \exp(U)$ is a diffeomorphism. Denote by $\mathfrak{k} = \operatorname{Lie}(K)$ the Lie algebra associated to K. Choose any complement \mathfrak{l} such that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} \oplus \mathfrak{l}$ as vector spaces. Define

$$V := U \cap l$$
.

Since $V \cap k = \{0\}$ it is immediate to verify that $\pi \circ \exp|_V : V \to G/K$ is a homeomorphism onto the image. This gives us a local chart around the point $K \in G/K$. We can get an atlas by suitably translating this chart by the natural action of G on G/K. This gives us back an atlas such that each change of coordinate charts is smooth (since the multiplication in G is smooth).

Note that multiplication and inversion are defined on G/K by passing to the quotient, i.e. the following diagrams commute:

By definition, the quotient map $\pi: G \to G/K$ is a smooth submersion with respect to this smooth structure. Thus, it follows from the constant rank theorem that multiplication and inversion are smooth, and G/K is a Lie group. Moreover, it is clear from the construction that K is the kernel of π .

For more details see Theorem 21.26 in John M. Lee, "Intorduction to Smooth Manifolds", Springer (2013)

Exercise 2.(Joint eigenvectors):

Let *G* be a connected Lie group and let $\pi: G \to GL(V)$ be a finite-dimensional complex representation.

A joint eigenvector of $\{\pi(g): g \in G\}$ is a vector $v \in V$ such that there is a smooth

homomorphism $\chi \colon G \to \mathbb{C}$ with $\pi(g)v = \chi(g) \cdot v$ for all $g \in G$. Similarly, a *joint* eigenvector of $\{d_e\pi(X)\colon X \in \mathfrak{g}\}$ is a vector $v \in V$ such that there is a linear functional $\lambda \colon \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{C}$ with $d_e\pi(X)v = \lambda(X) \cdot v$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Show that a vector $v \in V$ is a joint eigenvector of $\{d_e\pi(X): X \in \mathfrak{g}\}$ if and only if it is a joint eigenvector of $\{\pi(g): g \in G\}$. Moreover, show that $\chi(\exp(X)) = e^{\lambda(X)}$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ (with $\chi: G \to \mathbb{C}$ and $\lambda: \mathfrak{g} \to \mathbb{C}$ as above).

Solution: Let $G_v := \{g \in G : \pi(g)\mathbb{C}v = \mathbb{C}v\}$ be the stabilizer of the line $\mathbb{C}v$. Then G_v is a closed subgroup of G and hence a Lie group whose Lie algebra is

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{Lie}(G_v) &= \{X \in \mathfrak{g} : \exp_G(tX) \in G_v \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}\} \\ &= \{X \in \mathfrak{g} : \, \pi(\exp_G(tX))\mathbb{C}v = \mathbb{C}v \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}\} \\ &= \{X \in \mathfrak{g} : \exp_{\operatorname{GL}(V)}(td_e\pi(X))\mathbb{C}v = \mathbb{C}v \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}\}. \end{split}$$

Now observe that if $A \in \text{End}(V)$, then

$$\exp_{\mathrm{GL}(V)}(tA)\mathbb{C}v \Leftrightarrow \mathbb{C}v \Leftrightarrow A(\mathbb{C}v) \subset \mathbb{C}v$$
.

In fact (\Leftarrow) is immediate by the exponential series and (\Rightarrow) follows from the fact that $A = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\exp_{GL(V)}(tA) - \mathrm{Id}}{t}$.

Thus

$$Lie(G_v) = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} : d_e\pi(X)(\mathbb{C}v) \subset \mathbb{C}v\} = \mathfrak{g}$$

by hypothesis. Since G s connected, this implies that $G_v = G$. Thus for all $g \in G$ there is a well defined $\chi(g) \in \mathbb{C}^*$ with $\pi(g)v = \chi(g)v$ and since $g \mapsto \pi(g)v$ is smooth, so is χ . Finally,

$$\chi(\exp_G(X))v = \pi(\exp_G(X))v = \exp_{GL(V)}(d_e\pi(X))v = e^{\lambda(X)}v.$$

Exercise 3.(Isomorphism theorems for Lie algebras):

Let g be a Lie algebra.

a) Let $\mathfrak{h} \leq \mathfrak{g}$ be an ideal. Show that

$$[X + \mathfrak{h}, Y + \mathfrak{h}] := [X, Y] + \mathfrak{h}$$

defines a Lie algebra structure on g/h.

Solution: All we need to show is that the bracket defined above is well-defined. All the Lie algebra properties will then be inherited from g. Now

let $X, X', Y, Y' \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $U, V \in \mathfrak{h}$ such that X' = X + U and Y' = Y + V. Then

$$\begin{split} [X' + \mathfrak{h}, Y' + \mathfrak{h}] &= [X + U, Y + V] + \mathfrak{h} \\ &= [X, Y] + \underbrace{[X, V] + [U, Y] + [U, V]}_{\in \mathfrak{h}} + \mathfrak{h} \\ &= [X, Y] + \mathfrak{h}. \end{split}$$

This proves that the Lie bracket is well-defined.

b) Show that if $\varphi : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{h}$ is a Lie algebra homomorphism then

$$g/\ker\varphi\cong \operatorname{im}\varphi$$

as Lie algebras.

Solution: Let us first see that $\ker \varphi$ is an ideal in $\mathfrak g$ whence $\mathfrak g/\ker \varphi$ has indeed a Lie algebra structure. Let $X \in \ker \varphi$ and let $Y \in \mathfrak g$. Then

$$\varphi([X,Y]) = [\varphi(X), \varphi(Y)] = [0, \varphi(Y)] = 0$$

whence $[X, Y] \in \ker \varphi$. This shows that $\ker \varphi \leq \mathfrak{g}$ is an ideal.

Clearly, $\operatorname{im} \varphi \leq \mathfrak{h}$ is a Lie subalgebra. We claim that $\psi: \mathfrak{g}/\ker \varphi \to \operatorname{im} \varphi$ defined by

$$\psi(X + \ker \varphi) = \varphi(X)$$

is a well-defined Lie algebra isomorphism. We have

$$X + \ker \varphi = Y + \ker \varphi \iff X - Y \in \ker \varphi \iff \varphi(X) = \varphi(Y)$$

 $\iff \psi(X) = \psi(Y)$

for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$. This proves that ψ is well-defined and injective. Surjectivity is immediate from the definition. Finally, ψ is a Lie algebra homomorphism since

$$\psi([X + \ker \varphi, Y + \ker \varphi]) = \psi([X, Y] + \ker \varphi) = \varphi([X, Y])$$
$$= [\varphi(X), \varphi(Y)]$$
$$= [\psi(X + \ker \varphi), \psi(Y + \ker \varphi)]$$

for all
$$X, Y \in \mathfrak{q}$$
.

c) Let $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{I}$ be ideals of \mathfrak{g} . Show that

$$I/h \le g/h$$
 and $(g/h)/(I/h) \cong g/I$.

Solution: Observe that because $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ also $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{I}$. Consider the homomorphism

 $\varphi : \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h} \to \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{I}$ given by

$$\varphi(X + \mathfrak{h}) = X + \mathfrak{I}.$$

This is a well-defined homomorphism since $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq I$. Let $X + \mathfrak{h} \in \ker \varphi$. Then

$$I = \varphi(X + \mathfrak{h}) = X + I \iff X \in I,$$

i.e. $\ker \varphi = I/h$. As we have seen in part a) kernels of Lie algebra homomorphisms are ideals whence $I/h \le g/h$ and again by part a)

$$(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{h})/(\mathfrak{I}/\mathfrak{h}) \cong \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{I}.$$

d) Let $\mathfrak h$ and $\mathfrak I$ be ideals of $\mathfrak g$. Show that $\mathfrak h+\mathfrak I$ and $\mathfrak h\cap\mathfrak I$ are ideals in $\mathfrak g$, and that

$$\mathfrak{h}/(\mathfrak{h}\cap \mathfrak{I})\cong (\mathfrak{h}+\mathfrak{I})/\mathfrak{I}.$$

Solution: Observe that $I \leq h + I$ because $I \leq g$. Let $X \in h$, $Y \in I$ and $Z \in g$. Then

$$[Z, X + Y] = [Z, X] + [Z, Y] \in h + I.$$

This proves that $h + I \leq g$ is an ideal

Consider the map $\varphi : \mathfrak{h} \to (\mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{I})/\mathfrak{I}$ given by

$$\varphi(X) = X + \mathcal{I}$$
.

We have

$$X \in \ker \varphi \iff X + \mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I} \iff X \in \mathcal{I} \cap \mathfrak{h},$$

whence $\ker \varphi = I \cap \mathfrak{h}$. Therefore $I \cap \mathfrak{h}$ is an ideal in \mathfrak{h} .

Finally, φ is surjective: Let $X + Y + \tilde{I} \in (h + \tilde{I})/\tilde{I}$. Then

$$X + Y + I = X + I \in \text{im}\varphi$$
.

Exercise 4.(Solvable Lie algebras):

a) Show that Lie subalgebras and homomorphic images of solvable Lie algebras are solvable.

Solution: Let g be a solvable Lie algebra. Recall that g is called solvable if

$$\mathfrak{g} \trianglerighteq \mathfrak{g}^{(1)} \trianglerighteq \cdots \trianglerighteq \mathfrak{g}^{(n)} = 0$$

for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ where $\mathfrak{g}^{(0)} := \mathfrak{g}$ and inductively

$$\mathfrak{g}^{(i+1)} = [\mathfrak{g}^{(i)}, \mathfrak{g}^{(i)}] = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{R}} \{ [X, Y] : X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}^{(i)} \}$$

for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

First, let $\mathfrak{h} \leq \mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie subalgebra. Then $\mathfrak{h}^{(0)} = \mathfrak{h} \leq \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^{(0)}$ and inductively

$$\mathfrak{h}^{(i+1)} = [\mathfrak{h}^{(i)}, \mathfrak{h}^{(i)}] \subseteq [\mathfrak{q}^{(i)}, \mathfrak{q}^{(i)}] = \mathfrak{q}^{(i+1)}$$

for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, if $\mathfrak{g}^{(n)} = 0$ then also $\mathfrak{h}^{(n)} = 0$ and \mathfrak{h} is solvable.

Let $\varphi : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{a}$ be a Lie algebra homomorphism. We need to see that $\operatorname{im} \varphi \leq \mathfrak{a}$ is solvable. Because φ is a Lie algebra homomorphism we have that

$$(\mathrm{im}\varphi)^{(i)} = \varphi(\mathfrak{g})^{(i)} = \varphi(\mathfrak{g}^{(i)}) \tag{1}$$

for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. From (1) it follows that $(\operatorname{im}\varphi)^{(n)} = \varphi(\mathfrak{g}^{(n)}) = 0$ because $\mathfrak{g}^{(n)} = 0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ whence $\operatorname{im}\varphi$ is solvable.

b) Show that if h and I are solvable ideals of a Lie algebra g then h+I is a solvable ideal.

Hint: Use exercise 3 d)).

Solution: By d) we have that

$$(\mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{I})/\mathfrak{I} \cong \mathfrak{h}/(\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{I}). \tag{2}$$

Since \mathfrak{h} is solvable so is $\mathfrak{h}/(\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{I})$ as the image of the quotient homomorphism $\pi: \mathfrak{h} \to \mathfrak{h}/(\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{I})$. By the isomorphism (2) we know that $(\mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{I})/\mathfrak{I}$ is solvable and

$$0 = ((h + I)/I)^{(n)} = p(h + I)^{(n)} \stackrel{(1)}{=} p((h + I)^{(n)})$$

for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $p : \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{I} \to (\mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{I})/\mathfrak{I}$ is the quotient homomorphism. Therefore

$$(h+I)^{(n)} \subseteq \ker p = I.$$

Because I is solvable there is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $I^{(m)} = 0$. It follows that

$$(\mathfrak{h}+\mathfrak{I})^{(n+m)}=\left((\mathfrak{h}+\mathfrak{I})^{(n)}\right)^{(m)}\subseteq\mathfrak{I}^{(m)}=0$$

whence h + I is solvable.

c) Deduce that every Lie algebra contains a unique maximal solvable ideal.

Solution: Let g be a Lie algebra and let

$$a_1 \subseteq ... \subseteq a_k \subseteq ...$$

be an increasing sequence of solvable ideals of \mathfrak{g} . Note that every \mathfrak{a}_k is a linear

subspace of \mathfrak{a}_{k+1} whence the sequence $\{\mathfrak{a}_k\}$ has at most $n = \dim \mathfrak{g}$ different elements. Thus every such sequence has a maximal element and by Zorn's lemma there is a maximal solvable ideal $\mathfrak{s} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$.

Let $\mathfrak s$ and $\mathfrak s'$ be two maximal solvable ideals of $\mathfrak g$. By part b) $\mathfrak s + \mathfrak s'$ is also a solvable ideal of $\mathfrak g$ and by the maximality of $\mathfrak s$ and $\mathfrak s'$ we get

$$\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{s} + \mathfrak{s}' = \mathfrak{s}'.$$

This proves uniqueness.

The so obtained unique maximal solvable ideal of \mathfrak{g} is called its *radical*.

Exercise 5.(Weight spaces and ideals):

Let \mathfrak{g} be a Lie algebra, let $\mathfrak{g} \preceq \mathfrak{g}$ be an ideal and let $\pi : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ a finite-dimensional complex representation. For a given linear functional $\lambda : \mathfrak{h} \to \mathbb{C}$ consider its weight space

$$V_{\lambda}^{\mathfrak{h}} := \{ v \in V \mid \pi(X)v = \lambda(X)v \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{h} \}.$$

Show that every weight space $V_{\lambda}^{\mathfrak{h}}$ is invariant under $\pi(\mathfrak{g})$, i.e. $\pi(Y)V_{\lambda}^{\mathfrak{h}} \subseteq V_{\lambda}^{\mathfrak{h}}$ for every $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Solution: Let $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, let $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$, let $X \in \mathfrak{h}$ and let $v \in V_{\lambda}^{\mathfrak{h}}$. Then

$$\pi(X)\pi(Y)v = (\pi(X)\pi(Y) - \pi(Y)\pi(X))v + \pi(Y)\pi(X)v$$
$$= \pi([X,Y])v + \lambda(X)\pi(Y)v$$
$$= \lambda([X,Y])v + \lambda(X)\pi(Y)v.$$

Thus, we are left to prove that $\lambda([X, Y]) = 0$.

Consider the increasing sequence of subspaces

$$W_m = \langle v, \pi(Y)v, \dots, \pi(Y)^m v \rangle \leq V, \quad m \geq 0.$$

Because *V* is finite-dimensional this sequence stabilizes for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$W_{N-1} \leq W_N = W_{N+1} = \cdots$$

We claim that for all $m \ge 0$, W_m is invariant under $\pi(\mathfrak{h})$ and furthermore

$$\pi(X)\pi(Y)^{m}v - \lambda(Y)\pi(X)^{m}v \in W_{m-1} \qquad \forall X \in \mathfrak{h}. \tag{3}$$

We will prove this by induction on m. It holds for m = 0 because $v \in V_{\lambda}^{\mathfrak{h}}$. So let's assume it holds for m - 1. We compute:

$$\pi(X)\pi(Y)^{m}v - \lambda(X)\pi(Y)^{m}v = [\pi(X), \pi(Y)]\pi(Y)^{m-1}v + \pi(Y)\pi(X)\pi(Y)^{m-1}v - \lambda(X)\pi(Y)^{m}v$$

$$= [\pi(X), \pi(Y)]\pi(Y)^{m-1}v + \pi(Y)\pi(X)\pi(Y)^{m-1}v - \pi(Y)\lambda(X)\pi(Y)^{m-1}v.$$

By induction hypothesis, we have that

$$w := \pi(X)\pi(Y)^{m-1}v - \lambda(Y)\pi(X)^{m-1}v \in W_{m-2},$$

and $\pi(Y)w \in W_{m-1}$ by construction of the W_i '2. Moreover, \mathfrak{h} is an ideal, so that $[\pi(X), \pi(Y)] \in \pi(\mathfrak{h})$ and, by induction hypothesis,

$$[\pi(X), \pi(Y)]\pi(Y)^{m-1}v \in W_{m-1}.$$

Thus,

$$\pi(X)\pi(Y)^m v - \lambda(X)\pi(Y)^m v \in W_{m-1}.$$

We know that W_N is invariant for both $\pi(Y)$ and $\pi(X)$. In particular, (3) shows that $\pi(X)$ acts on W_N as an upper triangular matrix in the basis $\{v, \pi(Y)v, ..., \pi(Y)^N v\}$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda(X) & * \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda(X) \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore,

$$\operatorname{tr}_{W_N}([\pi(X), \pi(Y)]) = 0 = \operatorname{tr}_{W_N}(\pi([X, Y])) = N\lambda([X, Y]),$$

which implies that $\lambda([X, Y]) = 0$.

Exercise 6.(Lie's theorem for Lie algebras):

Let \mathfrak{g} be a solvable Lie algebra and let $\rho: \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ be a finite-dimensional complex representation.

Show that $\rho(\mathfrak{g})$ stabilizes a flag $V = V_0 \supseteq V_1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq V_n = 0$, with $\operatorname{codim} V_i = i$, i.e. $\rho(X)V_i \subseteq V_i$ for every $X \in V_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Hint: Use exercise 5.

Solution: By induction, it suffices to show that there is a weight $\lambda \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ for ρ such that $V_{\lambda}^{\mathfrak{g}} \neq \{0\}$.

We will prove this by induction on dim \mathfrak{g} . The case dim $\mathfrak{g}=0$ is trivial. So let's assume that it holds for dim $\mathfrak{g}=m-1$.

Since \mathfrak{g} is solvable (of positive dimension) it properly includes $[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]$. Since $\mathfrak{g}/[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]$ is abelian, any subspace is automatically an ideal. Take a subspace of codimension

one in $\mathfrak{g}/[\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g}]$. Then its inverse image $\mathfrak{h} \leq \mathfrak{g}$ is an ideal of codimension on in \mathfrak{g} . Thus, we can decompose

$$\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{h}+\mathbb{C}Y$$

for some $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Notice that \mathfrak{h} is a solvable ideal of dimension m-1, whence there is a weight $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ such that $V_\lambda^{\mathfrak{h}} \neq \{0\}$. By exercise 5 $V_\lambda^{\mathfrak{h}}$ is invariant under the action of $\rho(\mathfrak{g})$. In particular, $\rho(Y)V_\lambda^{\mathfrak{h}} \subseteq V_\lambda^{\mathfrak{h}}$ and there is $v \in V_\lambda^{\mathfrak{h}} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\rho(Y)v = \beta v$ for some $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$. We define a linear functional $\lambda' \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ by

$$\lambda'(X + \alpha Y) = \lambda(X) + \alpha \beta$$

for all $X \in \mathfrak{h}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$.

By construction $v \in V_{\lambda'}^{\mathfrak{g}} \neq \{0\}$.