

# Probability Theory

## Exercise Sheet 1

**Exercise 1.1** Consider the Probability space  $(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^2), \mathbb{P})$  where  $\mathbb{P}(dx) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2)\} dx$  with  $x = (x_1, x_2)$  for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $dx$  the Lebesgue measure on  $(\mathbb{R}^2, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^2))$ . Find the distribution of the random variable

$$Z : x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mapsto x_1^2 + x_2^2 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

**Exercise 1.2** Let  $\mathcal{Z} := (A_i)_{i \in I}$  be a countable decomposition of a set  $\Omega \neq \emptyset$  in “atoms”  $A_i$ , that is  $\Omega = \bigcup_{i \in I} A_i$ , where  $A_i \cap A_k = \emptyset$  for  $i \neq k$ , and  $I$  countable.

- (a) Show that the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{Z}$  is of the form

$$\sigma(\mathcal{Z}) = \left\{ \bigcup_{i \in J} A_i \mid J \subseteq I \right\}.$$

*Hint:* Recall the definition of  $\sigma(\mathcal{Z})$ .

- (b) Show that the family of  $\sigma(\mathcal{Z})$ -measurable random variables is exactly the family of functions on  $\Omega$  that are constant on “atoms” (that is, all functions  $f$  such that for each  $i$ ,  $f$  is constant on  $A_i$ ).

**Exercise 1.3** Let  $\Omega$  be a non-empty set and let  $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $Y : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be two functions. The  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\Omega$  generated by  $X$  is defined by  $\sigma(X) := \{X^{-1}(B) \mid B \in \mathcal{R}\}$ , where  $\mathcal{R}$  denotes the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra on  $\mathbb{R}$ . In this exercise we will show that:

*Claim:*  $Y$  is  $\sigma(X)$ - $\mathcal{R}$ -measurable  $\iff$  there exists an  $\mathcal{R}$ - $\mathcal{R}$ -measurable function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , such that  $Y = f \circ X$ .

*Hint:* For (b)–(e), cf. the proof of (1.2.16) in the lecture notes.

- (a) Show the  $\Leftarrow$  direction.
- (b) Show the  $\implies$  direction for any  $Y$  of the form  $Y = 1_A$ , where  $A \in \sigma(X)$ .
- (c) Show the  $\implies$  direction for any  $Y$  that is a linear combination of indicator functions, i.e. for  $Y$  of the form  $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i 1_{A_i}$ , where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \sigma(X)$ .
- (d) Show the  $\implies$  direction for any  $Y$  such that  $Y \geq 0$ .
- (e) Complete the proof of the claim (i.e. show the  $\implies$  direction for an arbitrary  $Y$ ).

**Submission:** until 12:00, Sep 29., through the webpage of the course. You should carefully follow the **submission instructions** on the webpage to get your solutions back.

**Office hours:** See the webpage for detailed information

- Präsenz (Group 3): Mon. and Thu., 12:00-13:00 in HG G32.6. with previous reservation.
- Probability Theory Assistants: Tue. 15:30-16:30 and Wed. 11:00-12:00 via Zoom with a 10 minutes slot reservation.

**Exercise class:** Online. In-person exercise classes need previous registration each week.

Exercise sheets and further information are also available on:  
<https://metaphor.ethz.ch/x/2020/hs/401-3601-00L/>

**Solution 1.1** Let  $|x|$  be the Euclidean norm of  $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $|x|^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2$ . Then the random variable  $Z$  is the mapping  $Z(x) = |x|^2$ . Let  $F_Z$  denote the distribution function of  $Z$ , i.e., for  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $F_Z(y) = \mathbb{P}[Z \leq y]$ . Clearly, since  $Z(x) = |x|^2 \geq 0$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , we have  $F_Z(y) = 0$  for all  $y < 0$ . Now let  $y \geq 0$  and  $B_R(0) := \{x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : |x| \leq R\}$  be the (closed) ball centered at  $0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$  with radius  $R \geq 0$ . By the definition of the probability measure  $\mathbb{P}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_Z(y) &= \mathbb{P}[Z \leq y] = \mathbb{P}[B_{\sqrt{y}}(0)] \\ &= \int_{B_{\sqrt{y}}(0)} \frac{1}{2\pi} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}|x|^2\right\} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using the polar coordinates  $x = (x_1, x_2) = (r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta)$  with  $r = |x| \geq 0$  and  $\theta \in [0, 2\pi)$  as well as the relation  $dx = r dr d\theta$ , we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_{\sqrt{y}}(0)} \frac{1}{2\pi} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}|x|^2\right\} dx &= \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\sqrt{y}} \frac{1}{2\pi} \exp\left\{-\frac{r^2}{2}\right\} r dr d\theta \\ &= \int_0^{\sqrt{y}} \exp\left\{-\frac{r^2}{2}\right\} r dr \\ &= -\exp\left\{-\frac{r^2}{2}\right\} \Big|_0^{\sqrt{y}} \\ &= 1 - \exp\left\{-\frac{y}{2}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the distribution function of  $Z$  is

$$F_Z(y) = \begin{cases} 1 - \exp\left\{-\frac{y}{2}\right\}, & \text{if } y \geq 0; \\ 0, & \text{if } y < 0. \end{cases}$$

In other words,  $Z$  has the **exponential distribution with parameter  $\frac{1}{2}$** .

### Solution 1.2

(a) By definition,  $\sigma(\mathcal{Z})$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra that contains all  $A_i$ ,  $i \in I$ , i.e.,

$$\sigma(\mathcal{Z}) := \bigcap_{\substack{\mathcal{U} : \mathcal{U} \text{ is a} \\ \sigma\text{-algebra} \\ \text{containing all } A_i}} \mathcal{U}. \quad (1)$$

We now show that  $\sigma(\mathcal{Z}) = \left\{ \bigcup_{i \in J} A_i \mid J \subseteq I \right\}$ :

“ $\supseteq$ ” For any  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{U}$  that contains all  $A_i$  it holds that:

$$\bigcup_{i \in J} A_i \in \mathcal{U}, \quad J \subseteq I,$$

since  $J$ , being a subset of  $I$ , is countable, and  $\sigma$ -algebras are closed under countable unions by definition. Therefore, we have that

$$\sigma(\mathcal{Z}) \stackrel{(1)}{=} \bigcap \mathcal{U} \supseteq \left\{ \bigcup_{i \in J} A_i \mid J \subseteq I \right\}.$$

“ $\subseteq$ ” Since  $\mathcal{U}$  contains all  $A_i$ , it is sufficient to show that

$$\mathcal{U} = \left\{ \bigcup_{i \in J} A_i \mid J \subseteq I \right\}$$

is a  $\sigma$ -algebra. We verify the conditions:

- $\bigcup_{i \in J} A_i = \Omega$ , by choosing  $J = I$ , so  $\Omega \in \mathcal{U}$ ,
- for any  $J \subset I$ ,  $\left(\bigcup_{i \in J} A_i\right)^c = \bigcup_{i \in I \setminus J} A_i \in \mathcal{U}$ ,
- if  $J_n \subseteq I$ ,  $n \geq 1$ , then

$$\bigcup_{n \geq 1} \left( \bigcup_{i \in J_n} A_i \right) = \bigcup_{\substack{i \in \bigcup_{n \geq 1} J_n \\ =: J \subseteq I}} A_i \in \mathcal{U}.$$

(b) Let

$$F_1 := \{f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ is } \sigma(\mathcal{Z})\text{-measurable}\} \text{ and}$$

$$F_2 := \{f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid f \text{ is constant on } A_i, i \in I\}.$$

We want to show that  $F_1 = F_2$ :

“ $\supseteq$ ” Let  $f \in F_2$ . Then we can write

$$f(x) = a_i \text{ for } x \in A_i,$$

for some  $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ . To check that  $f$  is  $\sigma(\mathcal{Z})$ -measurable, it suffices to check that  $\{x \in \Omega : f(x) \leq a\}$  is a measurable set for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . So let  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and decompose  $I$  in two disjoint sets  $I_1, I_2$  such that

- $a_i \leq a$  for all  $i \in I_1$  and
- $a_i > a$  for all  $i \in I_2$ .

We then have

$$\{f \leq a\} = \bigcup_{i \in I_1} \{f = a_i\} = \bigcup_{i \in I_1} A_i \in \sigma(\mathcal{Z}).$$

“ $\subseteq$ ” Let  $f \in F_1$ . If  $f$  is measurable then the pre-image under  $f$  of any Borel measurable subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  must be measurable. Therefore  $\{x \in \Omega : f(x) = a\} = f^{-1}(\{a\}) \in \sigma(\mathcal{Z})$  for all  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ . Thus, from part (a) we have  $\{x \in \Omega : f(x) = a\} = \bigcup_{i \in J} A_i$  for some  $J \subseteq I$ . In particular, for all  $i \in I$  and  $a \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\{f = a\} \cap A_i \in \{\emptyset, A_i\},$$

which implies that  $f$  is constant on  $A_i$  and  $f \in F_2$ .

### Solution 1.3

(a) If  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $\mathcal{R}$ - $\mathcal{R}$ -measurable,  $Y = f \circ X$  and  $B \in \mathcal{R}$  then

$$(f \circ X)^{-1}(B) = X^{-1}(\underbrace{f^{-1}(B)}_{\in \mathcal{R}}) \in \sigma(X).$$

That is  $Y$  is  $\sigma(X)$ - $\mathcal{R}$ -measurable.

(b) Since  $A \in \sigma(X)$ , there is a  $B \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $A = X^{-1}(B)$ . Therefore

$$Y = 1_A = 1_{X^{-1}(B)} = 1_B \circ X,$$

so the  $\implies$  direction holds for indicator functions.

(c) For each  $i$  we can apply part (b) to get a  $B_i \in \mathcal{R}$  such that  $1_{A_i} = 1_{B_i} \circ X$ . Then

$$Y = \sum_{i=1}^n ((c_i 1_{B_i}) \circ X) = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n c_i 1_{B_i} \right) \circ X = f \circ X,$$

with  $f = \sum_{i=1}^n (c_i 1_{B_i})$ . Furthermore  $f$  is  $\mathcal{R}$ - $\mathcal{R}$ -measurable, so  $\implies$  direction holds for linear combinations of indicator functions.

(d) Define the “step function approximations”

$$Y_n := \sum_{k=0}^{n2^n-1} \frac{k}{2^n} 1_{\{\frac{k}{2^n} \leq Y < \frac{k+1}{2^n}\}} + n 1_{\{Y \geq n\}}.$$

We then have  $Y_n \uparrow Y$ . Also  $Y_n$  is a linear combination of indicator functions for all  $n$ , and since  $Y$  is  $\sigma(X)$ - $\mathcal{R}$ -measurable the sets  $\left\{ \frac{k}{2^n} \leq Y < \frac{k+1}{2^n} \right\} \subset \Omega$  are in  $\sigma(X)$  (using also that  $[k/2^n, (k+1)/2^n)$  and  $[n, \infty)$  are in  $\mathcal{R}$ ). Thus, from (c) we know that there are  $\mathcal{R}$ - $\mathcal{R}$ -measurable functions  $f_n$  such that  $Y_n = f_n \circ X$ . We define

$$g(x) := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x).$$

Since the limsup of a sequence of measurable functions is measurable, we have that  $g$  is a measurable function from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $(-\infty, \infty]$ . It can happen that  $g(x) = \infty$  (but only for  $x$  outside the range of  $X$ ), so to deal with this technicality we set

$$f(x) := 1_{\{g(x) < \infty\}} g(x), x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then  $f$  is  $\mathcal{R}$ - $\mathcal{R}$ -measurable. Also, since  $Y_n \uparrow Y$  we have that  $f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$  for  $x$  in the range of  $X$ , and thus

$$Y = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Y_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n \circ X = \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n \right) \circ X = f \circ X.$$

This proves the  $\implies$  direction for non-negative  $Y$ .

(e) Write

$$Y = Y^+ - Y^-,$$

for  $Y^+ = Y 1_{\{Y \geq 0\}}$  and  $Y^- = -Y 1_{\{Y < 0\}}$ . Then (d) applies to  $Y^+$  and  $Y^-$ , so we have functions  $f$  and  $g$  such that

$$Y^+ = f \circ X \text{ and } Y^- = g \circ X.$$

Clearly

$$Y = (f - g) \circ X,$$

and  $f - g$  is  $\mathcal{R}$ - $\mathcal{R}$ -measurable, so the claim follows.