

# Mathematical Foundations for Finance

## Exercise sheet 12

Please hand in your solutions until Wednesday, December 9, 12:00 via the course homepage.

**Exercise 12.1** Let  $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a continuous semimartingale null at 0. We define the process

$$Z := \mathcal{E}(X) := e^{X - \frac{1}{2}[X]}.$$

(a) Show via Itô's formula that

$$Z_t = 1 + \int_0^t Z_s dX_s, \quad \forall t \geq 0. \quad (1)$$

Conclude that  $Z$  is a continuous local martingale if and only if  $X$  is a continuous local martingale.

(b) Show that  $Z = \mathcal{E}(X)$  is the only solution to (1) for a given  $X$ .

*Hint: Let  $Z'$  be another solution of (1). Compute  $\frac{Z'}{Z}$  using Itô's formula.*

(c) Let  $Y = (Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be another continuous semimartingale null at 0. Prove *Yor's formula*

$$\mathcal{E}(X)\mathcal{E}(Y) = \mathcal{E}(X + Y + [X, Y]).$$

**Exercise 12.2** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, P)$  with  $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in [0, T]}$  be a filtered probability space and consider two *independent* Brownian motions  $W^1 = (W_t^1)_{t \in [0, T]}$  and  $W^2 = (W_t^2)_{t \in [0, T]}$ . Let  $\tilde{S}^1 = (\tilde{S}_t^1)_{t \in [0, T]}$  and  $\tilde{S}^2 = (\tilde{S}_t^2)_{t \in [0, T]}$  be two *undiscounted* stock price processes with the dynamics

$$\begin{aligned} d\tilde{S}_t^1 &= \tilde{S}_t^1 (\mu_1 dt + \sigma_1 dB_t^1), & \tilde{S}_0^1 &> 0, \\ d\tilde{S}_t^2 &= \tilde{S}_t^2 (\mu_2 dt + \sigma_2 dB_t^2), & \tilde{S}_0^2 &> 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $B^1 = W^1$ ,  $B^2 = \alpha W^1 + \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2} W^2$  for some  $\alpha \in (-1, 1)$ ,  $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\sigma_1, \sigma_2 > 0$ .

(a) Find the SDEs satisfied by  $X^1 := \frac{\tilde{S}^2}{\tilde{S}^1}$  and  $X^2 := \frac{\tilde{S}^1}{\tilde{S}^2}$ , expressed in terms of  $B^1$  and  $B^2$ .

*Remark:* Since  $\tilde{S}^1$  and  $\tilde{S}^2$  have continuous trajectories and satisfy  $\tilde{S}_t^1, \tilde{S}_t^2 > 0$  for all  $t \in [0, T]$   $P$ -a.s., we can choose each of them as *numéraire*.

(b) For  $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ , define the continuous local  $(P, \mathbb{F})$ -martingale  $L^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)} := \beta_1 W^1 + \beta_2 W^2$ . Show that for all  $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ , the stochastic exponential  $Z^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)} := \mathcal{E}(L^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)})$  is a true  $(P, \mathbb{F})$ -martingale on  $[0, T]$ .

(c) For  $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ , define by  $dQ^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)} = Z_T^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)} dP$  a probability measure  $Q^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)}$  which is equivalent to  $P$  on  $\mathcal{F}_T$ . Fix  $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ . Using Girsanov's theorem, show that the two processes  $\tilde{W}_t^1 := W_t^1 - \beta_1 t$  and  $\tilde{W}_t^2 := W_t^2 - \beta_2 t$ ,  $t \in [0, T]$ , are local  $(Q^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)}, \mathbb{F})$ -martingales. Conclude that

$$\tilde{B}^1 := \tilde{W}^1 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{B}_t^2 := W_t^2 - (\alpha\beta_1 + \sqrt{1 - \alpha^2}\beta_2)t, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

are local  $(Q^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)}, \mathbb{F})$ -martingales as well.

*Remark:* One can show that  $\tilde{W}^1$  and  $\tilde{W}^2$  are *independent* Brownian motions under  $Q^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)}$  and correspondingly that  $\tilde{B}^1$  and  $\tilde{B}^2$  are *correlated* Brownian motions under  $Q^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)}$ .

- (d) What conditions on  $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  make the processes  $X^1$  and  $X^2$   $(Q^{(\beta_1, \beta_2)}, \mathbb{F})$ -martingales? Can they be martingales simultaneously under the same measure?

**Exercise 12.3** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, P)$  be a filtered probability space with  $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ . Let  $M = (M_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a local  $(P, \mathbb{F})$ -martingale and  $W = (W_t)_{t \geq 0}$  a  $(P, \mathbb{F})$ -Brownian motion.

- (a) Let  $H = (H_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be in  $L^2(M)$ . Compute  $E \left[ \int_0^T H_s dM_s \right]$  and  $\text{Var} \left[ \int_0^T H_s dM_s \right]$ . How do the expressions look for  $M := W$ ?
- (b) Let  $H_s := \exp(-4s)$ . Show that  $\int_0^T H_s dW_s$  is in fact normally distributed. What are the mean and the variance of this normal distribution? How would the result change if  $H : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  were an arbitrary (deterministic) continuous function?  
*Hint 1: Use the dominated convergence theorem for stochastic integrals from the lecture notes.*  
*Hint 2: If  $X_n \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_n, \sigma_n^2)$ ,  $X_n \rightarrow X$  in probability,  $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu$  and  $\sigma_n^2 \rightarrow \sigma^2 > 0$ , then  $X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ .*
- (c) By coming up with a counterexample, show that the normality of  $\int_0^T H_s dW_s$  from (b) does not hold for an arbitrary continuous process  $H \in L^2(W)$ .