## Mathematical Foundations for Finance

## Exercise sheet 7

Please hand in your solutions until Wednesday, November 4, 12:00 via the course homepage.

**Exercise 7.1** Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space endowed with a filtration  $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_k)_{k=0,1,\ldots,T}$ , and let  $\tau$  be an  $\mathbb{F}$ -stopping time. We define

$$\mathcal{F}_{\tau} := \{ A \in \mathcal{F} \colon A \cap \{ \tau \le k \} \in \mathcal{F}_k \text{ for all } k = 0, 1, \dots, T \}.$$

- (a) Show that  $\mathcal{F}_{\tau}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.
- (b) Suppose  $\sigma, \tau$  are two  $\mathbb{F}$ -stopping times with  $\sigma(\omega) \leq \tau(\omega)$  for all  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Show that  $\mathcal{F}_{\sigma} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{\tau}$ . Conclude that if  $\tau \equiv k_0$  for a fixed  $k_0 \in \{0, 1, \dots, T\}$ , then we have  $\mathcal{F}_{\tau} = \mathcal{F}_{k_0}$ .
- (c) If  $\tau, \sigma$  are two  $\mathbb{F}$ -stopping times, show that  $\tau \vee \sigma$  and  $\tau \wedge \sigma$  are  $\mathbb{F}$ -stopping times, and  $\mathcal{F}_{\tau} \cap \mathcal{F}_{\sigma} = \mathcal{F}_{\tau \wedge \sigma}$ . Moreover, show that  $\{\sigma \leq \tau\} \in \mathcal{F}_{\tau \wedge \sigma}$  and  $\{\sigma = \tau\} \in \mathcal{F}_{\tau \wedge \sigma}$ .
- (d) Show that for a random variable  $Y \in L^0_+(\mathcal{F})$ , we have

$$E[Y | \mathcal{F}_{\tau}] \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau=k\}} = E[Y | \mathcal{F}_{k}] \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau=k\}} P$$
-a.s. for all  $k \in \{0, 1, \dots, T\}$ ,

i.e. that  $E[Y | \mathcal{F}_{\tau}] = E[Y | \mathcal{F}_k]$  *P*-a.s. on the set  $\{\tau = k\}$  or, equivalently,

$$E[Y | \mathcal{F}_{\tau}] = \sum_{k=0}^{T} \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau=k\}} E[Y | \mathcal{F}_{k}] \text{ $P$-a.s.}$$

**Exercise 7.2** Let  $(\widetilde{S}^0, \widetilde{S}^1)$  be an *arbitrage-free* financial market with time horizon T and assume that the bank account process  $\widetilde{S}^0 = (\widetilde{S}^0_k)_{k=0,1,\ldots,T}$  is given by  $\widetilde{S}^0_k = (1+r)^k$  for a constant  $r \ge 0$ . Denote the set of all EMMs for  $S^1 = \widetilde{S}^1/\widetilde{S}^0$  by  $\mathbb{P}_e(S^1)$ . Fix a  $\widetilde{K} > 0$ . The undiscounted payoff of a *European call option* on  $\widetilde{S}^1$  with strike  $\widetilde{K}$  and maturity  $k \in \{1, \ldots, T\}$  is denoted by  $\widetilde{C}^E_k$  and given by

$$\widetilde{C}_k^E = \left(\widetilde{S}_k^1 - \widetilde{K}\right)^+,$$

whereas the undiscounted payoff of an Asian call option on  $\widetilde{S}^1$  with strike  $\widetilde{K}$  and maturity  $k \in \{1, \ldots, T\}$  is denoted by  $\widetilde{C}_k^A$  and given by

$$\widetilde{C}_k^A := \left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \widetilde{S}_j^1 - \widetilde{K}\right)^+.$$

- (a) Fix a  $Q \in \mathbb{P}_e(S^1)$  and show that the function  $\{1, \ldots, T\} \to \mathbb{R}_+, k \mapsto E_Q\left\lfloor \frac{\widetilde{C}_k^E}{\widetilde{S}_k^0} \right\rfloor$  is increasing. *Hint: Use Jensen's inequality for conditional expectations.*
- (b) Fix a  $Q \in \mathbb{P}_e(S^1)$  and show that for all  $k = 1, \ldots, T$ , we have

$$E_Q\left[\frac{\widetilde{C}_k^A}{\widetilde{S}_k^0}\right] \le \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k E_Q\left[\frac{\widetilde{C}_j^E}{\widetilde{S}_j^0}\right].$$

Updated: December 2, 2020

(c) Fix a  $Q \in \mathbb{P}_e(S^1)$  and deduce that for all k = 1, ..., T, we have

$$E_Q\left[\frac{\widetilde{C}_k^A}{\widetilde{S}_k^0}\right] \le E_Q\left[\frac{\widetilde{C}_k^E}{\widetilde{S}_k^0}\right].$$

Interpret this inequality.

**Exercise 7.3** Let  $(\widetilde{S}^0, \widetilde{S}^1)$  follow a binomial model with  $\widetilde{S}_0^1 = 1$ , u > r > d > -1 and  $T \in \mathbb{N}$ . Denote by  $(\widehat{S}^0, \widehat{S}^1)$  the market discounted with  $\widetilde{S}^1$ , i.e.

$$\widehat{S}^0 := rac{\widetilde{S}^0}{\widetilde{S}^1}$$
 and  $\widehat{S}^1 := rac{\widetilde{S}^1}{\widetilde{S}^1} \equiv 1.$ 

- (a) Show that there exists a unique equivalent martingale measure  $Q^{**}$  for  $\widehat{S}^0$ .
- (b) Let  $Q^*$  be the unique equivalent martingale measure for  $S^1 = \tilde{S}^1 / \tilde{S}^0$ . Show that the density of  $Q^{**}$  with respect to  $Q^*$  on  $\mathcal{F}_T$  is given by

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}Q^{**}}{\mathrm{d}Q^*} = S_T^1$$

(c) Show that for an *undiscounted* payoff  $\widetilde{H} \in L^0_+(\mathcal{F}_T)$ , we have

$$\widetilde{S}_k^0 E_{Q^*} \left[ \frac{\widetilde{H}}{\widetilde{S}_T^0} \middle| \mathcal{F}_k \right] = \widetilde{S}_k^1 E_{Q^{**}} \left[ \frac{\widetilde{H}}{\widetilde{S}_T^1} \middle| \mathcal{F}_k \right], \quad k = 0, \dots, T.$$

This formula shows that the martingale pricing method is invariant under a so-called (particular) change of numéraire.

Hint: Use Bayes' formula (Lemma II.3.1) in the lecture notes.