

5.6. Dynamics on $\mathbb{P}(V)$ and the proof of Thm 5.16.

Recall that V is a finite dimensional k -vector space where k is a local field of characteristic zero. Recall that as such k is locally compact, all field operations are continuous and k is endowed with a canonical norm

$$\|\cdot\| : k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$

satisfying $\|x\| \geq 0$ with equality iff $x=0$, $\|x \cdot y\| = \|x\| \|y\|$ and $\|x+y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$.

Actually the classification of such k 's tells us that $k = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$ or a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p . In the latter case, the triangle inequality takes the stronger form $\|x+y\| \leq \max(\|x\|, \|y\|)$.

If e_1, \dots, e_d is a basis of V we can define the norm of $x \in V$, by

$$\|x\| = \max_{1 \leq i \leq d} |x_i|$$

where $x = \sum_{i=1}^d x_i e_i$.

All such norms are equivalent; they make V locally compact and the quotient space

$$P(V) = K^x \setminus V$$

is compact metrizable. We then endow

$\text{End}(V)$ with a norm:

$$\|u\| = \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \|u(x)\|.$$

This gives a topology on $\text{End}(V)$ which coincides with the topology of pointwise convergence. All this is well known

for $K = \mathbb{R}$ and the reader can just stick to this case.

Every $g \in GL(V)$ induces a map

$$\bar{g} : \mathbb{P}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V) ;$$

We can extend this to $End(V) \setminus \{0\}$

in the following way : if $t \in End(V)$

$t \neq 0$, then t induces a well defined

map
$$\bar{t} : \mathbb{P}(V) \setminus \mathbb{P}(Ker t) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$$

called quasiprojective transformation.

The following simple lemma will be

a guiding principle for everything we do.

Lemma 5.19. Let $(g_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence in $GL(V)$. Then there exists a subsequence

$(g_{n_k})_{k \geq 1}$, ~~a sequence~~, $\lambda_k \in k$, $\lambda_k \neq 0$ and

$u \in End(V)$, $u \neq 0$ such that

in $End(V)$,
$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g_{n_k}}{\lambda_k} = u .$$

- 5 - 60 -

In particular the sequence $(\bar{g}_n)_{n \geq 1}$ converges pointwise on $\mathbb{P}(V) \setminus \mathbb{P}(\ker u)$ to the quasi-projective map

$$\bar{u} : \mathbb{P}(V) \setminus \mathbb{P}(\ker u) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V).$$

Proof: Chose $\mu_n \in k^x$ such that

$$\left\| \frac{g_n}{\mu_n} \right\| = 1 \quad \forall n \geq 1. \quad \text{But now}$$

$$\left\{ t \in \text{End}(V) : \|t\| = 1 \right\}$$

is compact metrizable; thus there

is a subsequence $\left(\frac{g_{n_k}}{\mu_{n_k}} \right)_{k \geq 1}$ that

converges to an endomorphism $u \in \text{End}(V)$

with $\|u\| = 1$; in particular $u \neq 0$.

The rest is immediate. \square

This motivates the following definition:

Def. 5.20. The sequence $(g_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in $GL(V)$ is contracting if there is $\lambda_n \neq 0$ $\forall n \geq 1$ and $u \in \text{End}(V)$ of rank 1, such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g_n}{\lambda_n} = u$.

Recall that a subgroup $\Lambda < GL(V)$ is strongly irreducible if every finite index subgroup of Λ acts irreducibly on V , and proximal if Λ acts proximally on $P(V)$. Our first objective is to prove:

Prop. 5.21. Let $\Lambda < GL(V)$ be strongly irreducible. TFAE:

(1) Λ is proximal.

(2) Λ contains a contracting sequence.

For this we will need two lemmas.

Lemma 5.22. Assume Λ acts proximally on $P(V)$. Then for every finite subset $\{x_1, \dots, x_\ell\} \subset P(V)$ there exists $z \in P(V)$ and a sequence $(g_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in Λ with:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{g}_n(x_i) = z \quad 1 \leq i \leq \ell.$$

Proof:

For $\ell = 2$ this follows from proximality.

Indeed if d is a distance on $P(V)$,

we know that there is a sequence $(\lambda_n)_{n \geq 1}$

in Λ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\bar{\lambda}_n(x_1), \bar{\lambda}_n(x_2)) = 0$.

Since $P(V)$ is compact we can extract a convergent subsequence:

$$\bar{\lambda}_{n_k}(x_1) \rightarrow z.$$

But then $\bar{\lambda}_{n_k}(x_2) \rightarrow z$.

The general case is an easy induction on l and left to the reader. \square

The next lemma uses some elementary facts from the theory of linear algebraic groups: it would be interesting to have an elementary proof.

Lemma 5.23. Let $\Lambda \leq GL(V)$ be strongly irreducible, $L < V$ a vector subspace with $1 \leq \dim L \leq \dim V - 1$ and $a, b \in \mathcal{P}(V)$. Then there exists $\lambda \in \Lambda$ with $\lambda(a) \notin \mathcal{P}(L)$ and $\lambda(b) \notin \mathcal{P}(L)$.

Proof:

Let \bar{k} be an algebraic closure of k and for any vector subspace $W \subset V$ let $W_{\bar{k}}$ denote the corresponding \bar{k} -points.

Let $H \leq GL(V_{\bar{k}})$ be the Zariski closure of Λ and H° its connected component of the identity. As H° is of finite index in H , so is $\Lambda' := H^{\circ} \cap \Lambda$ in Λ and hence Λ' acts irreducibly on V .

Consider $H_a = \{h \in H^{\circ} : h(a) \in R(L_{\bar{k}})\}$

$H_b = \{h \in H^{\circ} : h(b) \in R(L_{\bar{k}})\}$.

Observe that $H_a \neq H^{\circ}$, similarly $H_b \neq H^{\circ}$.

Otherwise, if $H_a = H^{\circ}$ we would have

$$\forall \lambda \in \Lambda' : \lambda(a) \in R(L),$$

but then the vector subspace of L generated by the set of lines

$$\{\lambda(a) : \lambda \in \Lambda'\}$$

would be a proper, non-zero Λ' -invariant subspace of V contradicting irreducibility.

Thus H_a and H_b are Zariski closed proper subsets of the connected algebraic group H° ; since connected algebraic groups are irreducible,

$$H_a \cup H_b \neq H^\circ.$$

But then $H^\circ \setminus (H_a \cup H_b)$ is a non-empty Zariski open subset of H° hence of H and since Λ is Zariski dense in H

We conclude

$$\Lambda \cap (H^\circ \setminus (H_a \cup H_b)) \neq \emptyset$$

which concludes the proof. \square

Proof of Prop. 5.21.

(1) \Rightarrow (2) Observe first that $\forall n \geq d = \dim V$, there exists x_1, \dots, x_n in V such that any d of them span V .

By recurrence : for $N=d$ it is true.

Assume $N \geq d$ and $\{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$ has this property. For every subset

$$F \subset \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$$

with $|F|=d-1$, let L_F be the linear span of F . Then $\dim L_F = d-1$ and

$$\bigcup_{|F|=d-1} L_F \neq V.$$

Now pick $x_{N+1} \in V - \left(\bigcup_{|F|=d-1} L_F \right)$.

Then $\{x_1, \dots, x_{N+1}\}$ satisfies the claimed property.

Now pick such a subset $\{x_1, \dots, x_N\}$ with $N=2d-1$. By lemma 5.22 there

exists $(g_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in Λ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}(V)$

with

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{g}_n(x_i) = \beta \quad 1 \leq i \leq 2d-1.$$

- 5-67 -

By lemma 5.17, let $\frac{g_{n_k}}{\lambda_{n_k}} \rightarrow u$ be a convergent subsequence. Since $u \neq 0$, $\text{Ker } u$ contains at most $d-1$ vectors in $\{x_1, \dots, x_{2d-1}\}$. Thus there is a basis $x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_\ell}$ of V with $x_{i_\ell} \notin \text{Ker } u$, $1 \leq \ell \leq d$. Then:

$$z = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \overline{g_{n_k}}(x_{i_\ell}) = \overline{u}(x_{i_\ell})$$

which implies that u has rank 1.

(2) \Rightarrow (1). Let $(g_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a contracting sequence, that is $\frac{g_n}{\lambda_n} \rightarrow u$, $u \neq 0$ and u has rank 1. Let $L = \text{Ker } u$, $z = \int u \in \mathcal{P}(V)$. Given $a, b \in \mathcal{P}(V)$, use lemma 5.23 to obtain $h \in \Lambda$ with $\overline{h}(a) \notin \mathcal{P}(L)$, $\overline{h}(b) \notin \mathcal{P}(L)$. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \overline{g_n h}(a) = z = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \overline{g_n h}(b)$$

in particular, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(\overline{g_n h}(a), \overline{g_n h}(b)) = 0$

and we are done.



We need two more lemmas on measures on $\mathcal{P}(V)$. We will next to consider

$$M^1(\mathcal{P}(V))_0 = \left\{ \nu \in M^1(\mathcal{P}(V)) : \right.$$

$$\nu(\mathcal{P}(L)) = 0 \quad \forall \text{ proper}$$

$$\text{linear subspace } L \subsetneq V \left. \right\}.$$

Observe that if $u \in \text{End}(V)$, $u \neq 0$

then for $\nu \in M^1(\mathcal{P}(V))_0$ the direct

image of ν under \bar{u} is well defined

and still a probability measure. In

- 5-6J -

formulas: for $f \in C(\mathbb{P}(V))$ & $L = \ker u$,

$$\bar{u}_*(v)(f) = \int_{\mathbb{P}(V) \setminus \mathbb{P}(L)} f(\bar{u}(\xi)) d\nu(\xi)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{P}(V)} f(\bar{u}(\xi)) d\nu(\xi)$$

Since $\nu(\mathbb{P}(L)) = 0$.

Moreover $\forall g \in GL(V)$:

$$(\overline{ug})_* \nu = \bar{u}_* \bar{g}_* \nu.$$

Lemma 5.24. Assume $(g_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a sequence in $GL(V)$ with $\frac{g_n}{\lambda_n} \rightarrow u$

$u \in \text{End}(V)$, $u \neq 0$. Let $\nu \in M^+(\mathbb{P}(V))$.

$$\text{Then } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{g}_n \nu = \bar{u}_* \nu.$$

Proof: Let $L = \ker u$, $f \in C(\mathbb{P}(V))$.

$$\text{Then } \bar{g}_n \nu(f) = \int_{\mathbb{P}(V)} f(\bar{g}_n(\xi)) d\nu(\xi)$$

- 5 - ~~Case~~ \mathcal{F}_0 -

$$= \int_{\mathbb{P}(V) \setminus \mathbb{P}(L)} f(\bar{g}_n(\xi)) d\nu(\xi)$$

But $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(\bar{g}_n(\xi)) = f(\bar{u}(\xi)) \quad \forall \xi \notin \mathbb{P}(L)$

hence the result follows by dominated convergence thm. \square

^{proof of the}
The following lemma is reminiscent of the maximum principle for harmonic functions.

Lemma 5.25. Let $\rho: \Gamma \rightarrow GL(V)$ be strongly irreducible and $\mu \in M'(\Gamma)$ admissible. If $\nu \in M'(\mathbb{P}(V))$ is μ -stationary then $\nu(\mathbb{P}(L)) = 0 \quad \forall$ linear subspace $L \subset V$ with $1 \leq \dim L \leq \dim V - 1$.
That is, $\nu \in M'(\mathbb{P}(V))_0$.

Proof:

Let $\lambda = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{1}{2^k} \mu^{\times k}$. Then ν is λ -

stationary and $\text{supp}(\lambda) = \Gamma$.

Let $r = \min \{ \dim W : \emptyset \neq W \subset V \text{ is a linear subspace such that } \nu(\mathbb{P}(W)) > 0 \}$.

Given any $\delta > 0$, the set

$$\{ L : \dim L = r, \nu(\mathbb{P}(L)) \geq \delta \}$$

is finite since by minimality of r

we have $\nu(\mathbb{P}(L_1) \cap \mathbb{P}(L_2)) = 0 \quad \forall L_1 \neq L_2$

$\dim L_1 = \dim L_2 = r$. Thus there is

W with $\dim W = r$ and

$$\nu(\mathbb{P}(W)) \geq \nu(\mathbb{P}(L)) \quad \forall L, \dim L = r.$$

Now consider $f(g) := \nu(g)_* \nu(\mathbb{P}(W))$.

Since $\lambda * \nu = \nu$, we have in

particular that:

$$f(e) = \sum_{g \in \Gamma} \lambda(g) f(g).$$

Since λ is a probability measure and

$$f(g) \leq f(e) \quad \forall g \in \Gamma$$

AND since $\lambda(g) > 0 \quad \forall g \in \Gamma$

We obtain:

$$f(e) = f(g) \quad \forall g \in \Gamma.$$

Hence $\nu(S(g)P(W)) = \nu(P(W)) \quad \forall g \in \Gamma.$

This implies that

$$\{f(g)P(W) : g \in \Gamma\}$$

is finite and hence there is $\Gamma' \leq \Gamma$

of finite index such that W is Γ' -invariant.

Hence $W = V.$



Proof of Thm 5.16.

By prop. 5.15 there is a subset $E \subset \mathcal{R} = \Gamma^{\mathbb{N}}$ of \mathbb{T} -measure 1 such that for every $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots) \in E$

$$\textcircled{4} \quad \nu(\omega) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{P}(\omega_1) \cdots \mathcal{P}(\omega_n)_* \nu \in M'(\mathcal{P}(M))$$

exists and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{P}(\omega_1) \cdots \mathcal{P}(\omega_n) \mathcal{P}(\gamma)_* \nu = \nu(\omega) \quad \forall \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

Now fix on $\omega \in E$. By lemma 5.19 take a subsequence

$$\frac{\mathcal{P}(\omega_{1_{n_k}}) \cdots \mathcal{P}(\omega_{n_k})}{\lambda_{n_k}} \rightarrow \tau(\omega) \neq 0 \text{ in } \text{End } V.$$

By lemma 5.24 and 5.25:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{P}(\omega_1) \cdots \mathcal{P}(\omega_{n_k})_* \nu &= \overline{\tau(\omega)}_* \nu \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{P}(\omega_1) \cdots \mathcal{P}(\omega_{n_k}) \mathcal{P}(\gamma)_* \nu &= \overline{\tau(\omega)}_* \mathcal{P}(\gamma)_* \nu. \end{aligned}$$

which implies:

$$\overline{\tau(w)_* v} = \overline{\tau(w)_* f(x)_* v} \quad \forall x \in \Gamma.$$

Let $W = \text{Ker } \tau(w)$. We claim that there is a sequence $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in Γ and a point $z \notin P(W)$ such that $(f(x_n))_{n \geq 1}$ is contracting and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n)_* v = \delta_z.$$

By Prop. 5.21 we know that there is a ~~contracting~~ sequence $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ with

$(f(x_n))_{n \geq 1}$ contracting. By Lemma 5.24

we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n)_* v = \delta_z$ for some

$z \in P(V)$. But if now for every contracting sequence as above we have $z \in P(W)$

then $f(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is contracting as well

and hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n)_* v = f(x)_* \delta_z = \delta_{f(x)z}$

- 5-75-

thus $f(x) \in P(W) \quad \forall x \in \Gamma$ which
contradicts irreducibility.

Thus let $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence such
that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x_n)}{\lambda_n} = u$, u has

rank 1, $z := \int u \notin P(W) = P(\text{Ker } \tau(W))$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(W) f(x_n) \cdot \nu(f) &= \int_{P(V)} f(\overline{\tau(W) f(x_n) f}) d\nu(f) \\ &= \int_{P(V) \setminus P(\text{Ker } \tau)} f(\overline{\tau(W) f(x_n) f}) d\nu(f) \end{aligned}$$

For every $z \notin P(\text{Ker } \tau)$ $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f(x_n) f = z$.

Since $z \notin P(\text{Ker } \tau(W))$ ~~is not~~ and

$P(V) \setminus P(\text{Ker } \tau(W))$ is open, we have

that for every ~~these~~ $z \notin P(\text{Ker } \tau)$

- 5-71 -

there is $n(\epsilon)$ such that $\forall n \geq n(\epsilon)$

$$f(x_n) \in \mathbb{P}(V) \setminus \mathbb{P}(\text{Ker } \tau(w)).$$

Now $\overline{\tau(w)} : \mathbb{P}(V) \setminus \mathbb{P}(\text{Ker } \tau(w)) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V)$

is continuous and hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \overline{\tau(w)} f(x_n) \in \overline{\tau(w)} \cdot \mathbb{P}$$

$\forall \mathbb{P} \notin \mathbb{P}(\text{Ker } w).$

By dominated convergence we get

$$\left(\overline{\tau(w)} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{P}} \nu \right) (\mathbb{P}) \rightarrow \int \overline{\tau(w)} \mathbb{P}$$

and hence $\mathbb{Q}(w) = \int \overline{\tau(w)} \mathbb{P}$.

